of land inadvertently and erroneously included in Lease No. P.R.C. 143. Said land was previously leased to the Southwest Exploration Company under Lease No. 392. This quitclaim is accepted subject to the proviso that no provisions or conditions of either Lease No. 392 or Lease No. P.R.C. 163 shall in any way be affected or modified.

- 13. (State Oil and Gas Lease No. P.R.C. 163) Upon motion duly made and unanimously carried, a resolution was adopted confirming the action of the Executive Officer in granting Signa? Oil and Gas Company, as Lessees under Lease No P.R.C. 163, a time extension of thirty days within which they are co commence drilling in accordance with the provisions of this Lease. This extension of time is not to be considered as amending or modifying any of the terms and conditions of said Lease No. P.R.C. 163.
- 14. (Agreement No. 415 Standard Oil Company) Upon motion duly made and unanimously carried, a resolution was adopted confirming the Executive Officer's approval of the 47th, 48th, 49th and 50th Revisions of the State's participating interest in the productive areas of the Rio Vista Field. Under these revisions, the State's participating interest is as follows:

Revision	Effective Date	State's Participation
47th	9-13-44	9.744 %
48th	9-21-44	9.691 %
49th	10-5-44	
50th	11-5-44	9.792 % 9.726 <i>9</i>

This approval is granted subject to any change which might result in necessary adjustments of the basic acreage figure to be agreed upon with respect to the 11th to 12th Revisions, and is further subject to the Standard Oil Company's agreeing to extend, for a period of sixty days after the submission by the Standard Oil Company to the Commission of the revised map of the Rio Vista Field which the Standard oil Company has agreed to submit, the time within which the State might make formal objection to the 11th to 50th Revisions inclusive, of the State's percentage allement.

15. (Lease of Tide and Submerged Lands - Bodega Bay, Sonoma County - No. P.R.C. 173) Supervisor E. J. "Nin" Guidotti, Mr. Marshall M. Wallace, County Surveyor, Mr. Charles J. McGoldrick, District Attorney of Sonoma County, and Mr. V. M. Moir of the State Chamber of Commerce at Santa Rosa, all representing the County of Sonoma, appeared before the Commission in regard to a proposed lease to the County of Sonoma of the tide and submerged lands in Bodega Bay. Upon motion duly made and unenimously carried,

a resolution was r opted authorizing the execution of a twenty-five year lease between the State of California and the County of Sonoma for all of the tide and submerged lands within Bodega Bay, consisting of approximately 700 acres, for a total consideration of \$37,500.00 payable annually in advance at the rate of \$1,500.00, upon such terms and conditions as the Commission deems to be in the best interests of the State, provided that Sonoma County, as Lessee, will enforce adequate protective measures for safety, health, and sanitation in order to safeguard and protect the public interest.

- 16. (Tide and submerged lands U. S. vs. Certain Parcels of Land in the City and County of San Francisco, California, William Henry Ash, et al., No. 22416-G) Upon motion duly made and unanimously carried, a resolution was adopted authorizing the Executive Officer to accept the \$62.50 award under judgment by the United States Court for the State's interest in the triangular portion of Lot No. 72, consisting of approximately one-tenth of an acre, as shown on the Tideland Commissioner's Map entitled, "Map of Salt Marsh and Tidelands South of Second Street, San Francisco," dated 1869.
- 17. (Santa Fe Railroad Right of Way Application Escondido Creek, San Diego County No. P.R.C. 167) Upon motion duly made and unanimously carried, the Executive Officer was authorized, in accordance with Sections 465-478 of the Civil Code, to approve the map filed by the Santa Fe Railroad, and describing tide and submerged lands of the State. The map delineates a right of way to the Santa Fe for new location of their main line located over Escondido Creek.
- 18. (Division of Highways Right of Way Application Ventura County No. P.R.C. 169) Upon motion duly made and unanimously carried, the Executive Officer was authorized, in accordance with Section 101.5 of the Streets and Highways Code, to approve the map filed by the Division of Highways and describing a right of way over tide and submerged lands of the State. The map delineates a right of way to the Highway Department for State Highway Road VII-Ven.2-F located in Ventura County.
- 19. (Guadalupe Canal, San Mateo County Fourcans vs. State Lands Commission, Upon motion duly made and unanimously carried, a resolution was adopted authorizing the Executive Office, on behalf of the State, to oppose any Legislation introduced in the Legislature which might grant the consent of the State to be named in any quiet title action involving the Guadalupe Canal area in San Mateo County.