

assigned to the Lido Petroleum Company. Section 16 of said Agreement provides in part that there shall be no assignment, either in part or in whole, voluntarily or involuntarily, without the consent in writing by the State first had and obtained. The proposed assignment will also require the consent of the Standard Oil Company of California, the Huntington Beach Company, the Pacific Electric Railway Company and the Pacific Electric Land Company, in so far as the course of the well operating under Agreement for Easement 340, traverses other lands not under the jurisdiction of the State.

UPON MOTION DULY MADE AND UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED, A RESOLUTION WAS ADOPTED AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER TO APPROVE THE ASSIGNMENT OF AGREEMENT FOR EASEMENT 340, HUNTINGTON BEACH, FROM THE PENNTEX OIL COMPANY TO THE SOUTHWEST EXPLORATION COMPANY, UPON THE SUBMISSION BY THE SOUTHWEST EXPLORATION COMPANY OF COPIES OF THE INSTRUMENTS OF APPROVAL FOR THE TRANSFER BY THE STANDARD OIL COMPANY, THE HUNTINGTON BEACH COMPANY, THE PACIFIC ELECTRIC RAILWAY COMPANY AND THE PACIFIC ELECTRIC LAND COMPANY, AND THE DEPOSIT BY THE SOUTHWEST EXPLORATION COMPANY OF THE PERFORMANCE BOND IN THE SUM OF \$2,000 AS REQUIRED BY SECTION 2a OF THE SUBJECT AGREEMENT FOR EASEMENT.

11. (WITHDRAWAL OF APPLICATION, WEST COAST STEAMSHIP COMPANY, TIDE AND SUBMERGED LANDS, CRESCENT CITY HARBOR - W.O. 266) The Commission was informed that on April 14, 1948, it authorized the issuance of a lease to the West Coast Steamship Company for approximately 15 acres of tide and submerged land in Crescent City Bay at the foot of Kent Street, upon which land, the West Coast Steamship Company proposed to install a pontoon type pier with facilities for the handling and shipping of lumber out of the Crescent City Bay area; also, on February 25, 1949, it authorized the construction of a cableway in lieu of the pier. Because of developments proposed by the Crescent City Harbor District, the West Coast Steamship Company now requests withdrawal of their application for lease of State tide and submerged lands.

UPON MOTION DULY MADE AND UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED, A RESOLUTION WAS ADOPTED RESCINDING THE ACTIONS OF APRIL 14, 1948, AND FEBRUARY 25, 1949, WHICH GAVE AUTHORITY FOR THE ISSUANCE OF A LEASE TO THE WEST COAST STEAMSHIP COMPANY FOR OCCUPANCY OF APPROXIMATELY 15 ACRES OF TIDE AND SUBMERGED LAND IN CRESCENT CITY BAY.

15. (REFUND OVERPAYMENT OIL ROYALTIES, EASEMENTS NOS. 299, BENITO-HUNTINGTON OIL COMPANY; 306, HUNTINGTON-SIGNAL OIL COMPANY; 335, O.R. HOWARD COMPANY; 301, O.D. OIL COMPANY; 329, O.D. OIL COMPANY - W.O.'S 456.8, 456.10) The Commission was informed that at its meeting held April 27, 1949 it was advised of action being taken to close balances of accounts receivable with tideland lease operators as of June 22, 1947. These balances result from an accumulation of differences under the prior lease years.

Claims from the following tideland lease operators properly executed have now been received and are herewith presented to the Commission for approval:

<u>Lessee</u>	<u>Easement No.</u>	<u>Amount of Claim</u>
Benito-Huntington Oil Co.	299	\$ 5.37
O. D. Oil Company	301	4.70
O. D. Oil Company	329	28.98
Huntington-Signal Oil Company	306	10.43
O. R. Howard Company	335	97.55

UPON MOTION DULY MADE AND UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED, A RESOLUTION WAS ADOPTED APPROVING THE REPAYMENT TO THE FOLLOWING HOLDERS OF AGREEMENTS FOR EASEMENTS UNDER CHAPTER 303/1921, THE AMOUNTS OF OVERPAYMENT OF OIL ROYALTIES MADE TO THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, TO AND INCLUDING JUNE 22, 1947:

<u>LESSEE</u>	<u>EASEMENT NO.</u>	<u>AMOUNT OF CLAIM</u>
BENITO-HUNTINGTON OIL CO.	299	\$ 5.37
G. D. OIL COMPANY	301	4.70
O. D. OIL COMPANY	329	28.98
HUNTINGTON-SIGNAL OIL COMPANY	306	10.43
G. R. HOWARD COMPANY	335	97.55

AND AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER TO PRESENT THESE CLAIMS TO THE STATE BOARD OF CONTROL WITH THE RECOMMENDATION THAT SAID BOARD ALLOW THE AMOUNTS SO CLAIMED TO THE LEASE OPERATORS HEREIN DESCRIBED.

16. (ASSIGNMENT OF LEASE P.R.C. 314, CORTE MADERA CREEK ARK SITE NO. 20, G. E. HOLLOWELL AND BEATRICE E. HOLLOWELL TO DOROTHY L. MORSE - W.O. 655) The Commission was informed that G. E. and Beatrice E. Hollowell have requested approval of the assignment of Lease P.R.C. 314, Corte Madera Creek Ark Site No. 20 to Dorothy L. Morse.

UPON MOTION DULY MADE AND UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED, A RESOLUTION WAS ADOPTED AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER TO APPROVE AN ASSIGNMENT OF LEASE P.R.C. 314, CORTE MADERA CREEK ARK SITE NO. 20, BY G. E. AND BEATRICE E. HOLLOWELL TO DOROTHY L. MORSE.

17. (REQUEST FOR CHANGE OF EFFECTIVE DATE, LEASE P.R.C. 460, K. L. SPRINGER, OLD BED OF SAN JOAQUIN RIVER, SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY - W.O. 520) The Commission was informed that on September 15, 1949, the Commission authorized the Executive Officer to issue to K. L. Springer a lease of a portion of the old bed of San Joaquin River near Stockton, subject to receipt of waivers from the littoral owners. One of the littoral owners was not available for some months thus making it impossible for Mr. Springer to obtain the necessary waiver. Waiver was finally obtained in January, 1950, and forwarded to the Division office, lease forms prepared and sent to Mr. Springer January 26, 1950. Since occupancy of the land was not possible, Mr. Springer now requests that the effective date of Lease No. P.R.C. 460 be changed to January 26, 1950, rather than September 15, 1949.

UPON MOTION DULY MADE AND UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED, A RESOLUTION WAS ADOPTED AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER TO CHANGE THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF LEASE NO. P.R.C. 460 COVERING A PORTION OF THE OLD BED OF SAN JOAQUIN RIVER, SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY, FROM SEPTEMBER 15, 1949 to JANUARY 26, 1950.

18. (GRAZING LEASE APPLICATION NO. P.R.C. 1204, KERN COUNTY, RAYMOND F. STOCKTON - SAC. W.O. 216) The Commission was informed that an application has been received from Mr. Stockton of Bakersfield, California, for a grazing lease for a term of five years on Section 16, T. 30 S., R. 34 E., M.D.M., containing 640 acres in Kern County. The land has been advertised for lease and no other applications have been received. The Assessor of Kern County advises that this land, if assessed, would receive an assessment of \$1.75 per acre; thus appraising it at \$3.50 per acre. The applicant has offered twenty cents per acre per year, which is in excess of the minimum of five per cent of the appraised value of the land.