BOARD OF CONTROL IN COMMITTER OF JOSEPH E. CLARK LEASE, MISSION BAY LEASES IN THE SUM OF \$475.00, AND THAT UPON RECEIPT OF SAME THIS ACCOUNT BE WRITTEN OFF THE RECORDS OF THE STATE LANDS COMMISSION.

(REQUEST FOR DEFERMENT OF DRILLING REQUIREMENTS, HONOLULU-SIGNAL-MACCO, COAL OIL POINT AREA, SANTA BARBARA COUNTY - P.R.C. 308) The Commission was informed as follows: On August 29, 1950, (Minute Page 1172, Item 17) the Commission authorized the deferment of drilling and operating requirements under Oil and Gas Lease P.R.C. 308 until October 26, 1950, within which time the lessee might complete analysis and correlation of the information acquired from previous exploration and plan for further exploration on the lease. A request has again been received from the Signal Oil and Gas Company upon behalf of the lessees for an additional extension of the deferment of the drilling and operating requirements for a period of 90 days. This request is based on a statement that the circumstances and facts which supported the previous request upon which the last effective defement was granted are still existent and the lessees will require additional time in connection with the lease to formulate the plans for future exploration. Section 3 (b) of the Operating Stipulation between the United States and the State of California, effective October 1, 1950, provides in part that "No change in the provisions of any lease subject to this stipulation, nor acceptance of surrender, renewal, extension, or modification of any such lease, and no compromise or settlement of any controversy between the State and any lessee relating to any such lease, shall be made unless the State gives the Secretary of the Interior at least 15 days' notice thereof; and the Secretary shall have the power during said period to disapprove such action." In accordance with this provision a copy of the request of the Signal Oil and Gas Company for deferment of the drilling and operating requirements under lease P.R.C. 308 was transmitted to the U.S. Oil and Gas Supervisor, as the designated representative of the Secretary of the Interior, for consideration. On November 3, 1950, the U.S. Oil and Gas Supervisor reported that no objection is offered to the deferment of drilling and operating requirements under the lease for a period of 90 days from October 26, 1950.

UPON MOTION HULT MADE AND UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED, A RESOLUTION WAS ADOPTED AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER TO GRANT HONOLULU-SIGNAL-MACCO, LESSEES UNDER STATE OIL AND GAS LEASE P.R.C. 308, A DEFERMENT OF DRILLING AND OPERATING REQUIREMENTS FOR A PERIOD OF 90 DAYS FROM OCTOBER 26, 1950.

12. (REQUEST FOR DEFERMENT OF DRILLING REQUIREMENTS, HONOLULU-SIGNAL-MACCO, COAL OIL POINT AREA, SANTA BARBARA COUNTY - P.R.C. 309) The Commission was informed as follows: On August 29, 1950, (Minute Page 1172, Item 18)the Commission authorized the deferment of drilling and operating requirements under Oil and Gas Lease P.R.C. 309, until October 26, 1950 on the same bases as recommended for the adjoining dil and Gas Lease P.R.C. 308. The Signal Oil and Gas Company on behalf of the lessees has requested an additional deferment of drilling and operating requirements for a period of 90 days based upon the same considerations detailed in the preceding calendar item. In accordance with the terms of the operating stipulation between the U. S. and the State, the U. S. Oil and Gas Supervisor has reported that no objection is offered to the deferment of drilling and operating requirement under Lease P.R.C. 309 for a period of 90 days from October 26, 1950.

UPON MOTION DULY MADE AND UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED, A RESOLUTION WAS ADOPTED AUTHORI-ZING THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER TO GRANT HONOLULU-SIGNAL-MACCO, LESSEES UNDER STATE OIL AND GAS LEASE P.R.C. 309, A DEFERMENT OF DRILLING AND OPERATING REQUIREMENTS FOUL A PERIOD OF 90 DAYS FROM OCTOBER 26, 1950, ON THE SAME BASES AS RECOMMENDED FOR LEASE P.R.C. 308 IN THE PRECEDING MINUTE ITEM.

13. (APPLICATION FOR GAS PIPE LINE RIGHT OF WAY, DOW CHEMICAL COMPANY, SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY, CONTRA COSTA COUNTIES - W.C. 380, R.R.C. 557) The Commission was