

15. (BIDS FOR MINERAL EXTRACTION LEASE, SAN FRANCISCO BAY - W.O. 1137, P.R.C. 709.) One bid for lease to permit extraction of sand from the Point Knox, Alcatraz and Presidio Shoals area of San Francisco Bay was received from the Construction Aggregates Corporation in accordance with the offer for bid as authorized by the State Lands Commission (Minute Item 40, Page 1435, August 29, 1951). The bidder has offered \$0.03 per cubic yard for all sand extracted under the lease. A portion of the leased area is under the jurisdiction of the Board of State Harbor Commissioners for San Francisco, and proceeds from sand extracted from such areas will be divided equally between the funds of the Board of Harbor Commissioners and the State Lands Commission pursuant to Section 6216.5 of the Public Resources Code. The State Board of Harbor Commissioners concurs.

UPON MOTION DULY MADE AND UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED, A RESOLUTION WAS ADOPTED AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER TO EXECUTE AND ISSUE TO THE CONSTRUCTION AGGREGATES CORPORATION A MINERAL EXTRACTION LEASE FOR A TERM OF TWENTY YEARS TO PERMIT THE REMOVAL OF SAND FROM POINT KNOX, ALCATRAZ, AND PRESIDIO SHOALS IN SAN FRANCISCO BAY UPON PAYMENT OF AN ANNUAL ADVANCE RENTAL OF \$900 AND OF A ROYALTY OF \$0.03 PER CUBIC YARD FOR ALL SAND EXTRACTED. A PERFORMANCE BOND IN THE PENAL SUM OF \$5000 IS TO BE DEPOSITED BY THE LESSEE TO GUARANTEE THE FAITHFUL PERFORMANCE AND OBSERVANCE OF ALL THE COVENANTS AND CONDITIONS OF THE LEASE.

16. (REVISION OF EASEMENT P.R.C. 652, PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY, ALAMEDA-SAN MATEO COUNTIES - W.O. 1088.) On September 20, 1951, the Commission authorized the Executive Officer to issue to Pacific Gas and Electric Company a right-of-way easement across South San Francisco Bay, 150 feet in width and 10,585 feet in length, in two parcels. The easement forms were prepared and forwarded to Pacific Gas and Electric Company. Prior to signing of the forms by officials of the Company, changes were made in the plans and location, resulting in the Company requesting revisions of the terms of the easement, decreasing the width to 100 feet and increasing the length from 10,585 feet to 11,175 feet, the annual rental accordingly being increased from \$251.70 to \$263.50.

UPON MOTION DULY MADE AND UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED, A RESOLUTION WAS ADOPTED RESCINDING THE ACTION OF THE COMMISSION AS SET FORTH IN ITEM 10, PAGES 1446 AND 1447, MINUTES OF SEPTEMBER 20, 1951, AND IN LIEU THEREOF AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER TO ISSUE TO PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY A RIGHT-OF-WAY EASEMENT 100 FEET IN WIDTH AND 11,175 FEET IN LENGTH IN THREE PARCELS LYING IN SECTIONS 4 AND 9, T. 4 S., R. 3 W., AND IN SECTIONS 13 AND 24, T. 4 S., R. 4 W., M.D.M., CROSSING SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO BAY IN ALAMEDA AND SAN MATEO COUNTIES, FOR A PERIOD OF FIFTEEN YEARS AT AN ANNUAL RENTAL OF \$263.50, WITH THE RIGHT OF RENEWAL FOR THREE ADDITIONAL PERIODS OF TEN YEARS EACH AT SUCH TERMS AND CONDITIONS AS MAY BE DETERMINED PRIOR TO EACH RENEWAL PERIOD, PERFORMANCE BOND OF \$1000 TO BE COVERED BY THE \$50,000 BLANKET BOND HERETOFORE FILED BY PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY.

17. (REVISION OF MINUTES, AUGUST 29, 1951, ITEM 7, PAGE 1420, EUREKA SHIPBUILDERS, INC., HUMBOLDT COUNTY - W.O. 235, P.R.C. 197.) On August 29, 1951, the Commission authorized the issuance to Eureka Shipbuilders, Inc. of a lease of 4.11 acres of tidal and submerged land in Humboldt Bay at an

annual rental of \$81.68. The area was incorrectly given, the correct amount being 8.56 acres. Since receiving the application for lease the applicant sold a portion of the adjacent upland, and desires now to reduce the area applied for to approximately 4.2 acres. The 8.56 acres were appraised at \$850, or \$100 per acre, the 4.2 acres now desired having such value as to require the minimum annual rental of \$50, the original application having been received prior to January 1, 1952. The applicant has also requested that it be permitted to pay an additional rental of \$50 annually in lieu of the \$2000 performance bond required by the Commission action, such increased rental to be from February 13, 1952, the annual rental of \$50 only to apply from August 13, 1948 to February 13, 1952.

UPON MOTION DULY MADE AND UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED, A RESOLUTION WAS ADOPTED RESCINDING THE ACTION OF THE COMMISSION AS SET FORTH IN ITEM 7, PAGE 1420, MINUTES OF AUGUST 29, 1951, AND IN LIEU THEREFOR AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER TO ISSUE TO EUREKA SHIPBUILDERS, INC. A LEASE COVERING APPROXIMATELY 4.2 ACRES OF TIDE AND SUBMERGED LAND IN HUMBOLDT BAY FOR A PERIOD OF FIFTEEN YEARS BEGINNING AUGUST 13, 1948, AT AN ANNUAL RENTAL OF \$50, RENTAL TO BE INCREASED ON FEBRUARY 13, 1952, TO \$100 ANNUALLY, THE ADDITIONAL RENTAL BEING IN LIEU OF BOND, WITH A RIGHT OF RENEWAL FOR AN ADDITIONAL PERIOD OF TEN YEARS AT SUCH TERMS AND CONDITIONS AS MAY BE DETERMINED BY THE COMMISSION PRIOR TO RENEWAL.

18. (APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL OF PROPOSED LEASE BY CRESCENT CITY HARBOR DISTRICT TO RIVER TERMINALS COMPANY - P.R.C. 502.) On January 11, 1952, during a brief visit by the Executive Officer to Crescent City, California, on matters pertaining to the tide and submerged land controversy with the United States, he was delivered the originals of three letters prepared on behalf of the Crescent City Harbor District. It is noted that copies of these letters were sent to each member of the State Lands Commission, as well as to the Governor, the Attorney General, and certain members of the State Assembly and Senate.

These letters are in the nature of an appeal from the action taken by the State Lands Commission at its meeting on November 28, 1951, when it adopted a resolution disapproving the request of the Crescent City Harbor District for amendment of Lease P.R.C. 502, and also disapproved a proposed sublease between the Harbor District and the River Terminals Company. Comments with respect to the letters were sent to the members of the State Lands Commission on January 21, 1952. These comments were attached to a brief summary of the letters, which contained conclusions and recommendations by the Executive Officer. The letters from the Crescent City officials requested that the State Lands Commission reconsider the action taken at its meeting on November 28, 1951, and requested that a rehearing of the entire matter be had by the Commission.

It is believed that the briefs of Mr. O'Connor and of Mr. Jordan and the letters of Mr. Lundberg and of Mr. Brinker have contributed nothing of material value to the statements previously made to the State Lands Commission except to indicate that further review of the details of the proposed sublease is advisable.