

19. (SALE OF VACANT SCHOOL LAND, APPLICATION NO. 10905, LOS ANGELES LAND DISTRICT, SAN DIEGO COUNTY, FRANCES CAIN - S.W.O. 6335.) The following report was presented to the Commission:

"An offer has been received from Frances Cain of South Pasadena, California, to purchase the SW $\frac{1}{4}$  of NW $\frac{1}{4}$ , SW $\frac{1}{4}$ , NW $\frac{1}{4}$  of NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , E $\frac{1}{2}$  of NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , SW $\frac{1}{4}$  of SE $\frac{1}{4}$  and E $\frac{1}{2}$  of SE $\frac{1}{4}$  of Section 16, T. 18 S., R. 1 E., S.B.M., containing 440 acres in San Diego County. The applicant made an offer of \$880, or \$2 per acre.

"The Assessor of San Diego County has assessed contiguous lands at \$1 to \$2.50 per acre.

"An inspection and appraisal by a member of the Commission's staff on May 28, 1956 establishes the value of the subject land at an average of \$5.72+ per acre.

"Before the land was advertised for sale the applicant was advised of the appraised value, whereupon she posted the necessary amount to meet the appraised value of \$2,520.

"The land was advertised for sale with a stipulation that no offer of less than \$2,520 would be accepted. No other application was received pursuant to the advertising."

UPON MOTION DULY MADE AND UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED, IT WAS RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS:

THE SALE IS AUTHORIZED OF THE SW $\frac{1}{4}$  OF NW $\frac{1}{4}$ , SW $\frac{1}{4}$ , NW $\frac{1}{4}$  OF NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , E $\frac{1}{2}$  OF NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , SW $\frac{1}{4}$  OF SE $\frac{1}{4}$  AND E $\frac{1}{2}$  OF SE $\frac{1}{4}$  OF SECTION 16, T. 18 S., R. 1 E., S.B.M., CONTAINING 440 ACRES IN SAN DIEGO COUNTY, TO THE SINGLE APPLICANT, FRANCES CAIN, AT THE APPRAISED CASH PRICE OF \$2,520, SUBJECT TO ALL STATUTORY RESERVATIONS INCLUDING MINERALS.

20. (SALE OF VACANT SCHOOL LAND, APPLICATION NO. 10960, LOS ANGELES LAND DISTRICT, SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY, MARCUS RUDNICK - S.W.O. 6420.) The following report was presented to the Commission:

"An offer has been received from Marcus Rudnick of Bakersfield, California, to purchase Lots 4 and 5 of Section 6, T. 10 N., R. 24 W., S.B.M., containing 74.85 acres in San Luis Obispo County. The applicant made an offer of \$823.35, or \$11 per acre.

"The Assessor of San Luis Obispo County has assessed contiguous land at \$2.50 per acre.

"An inspection and appraisal by a member of the Commission's staff on June 21, 1956 establishes the value of the subject land at \$10 per acre.

"The land was advertised for sale with a stipulation that no offer of less than \$823.35 would be accepted. No other application was received pursuant to the advertising."

UPON MOTION DULY MADE AND UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED, IT WAS RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS:

THE SALE IS AUTHORIZED OF LOTS 4 AND 5 OF SECTION 6, T. 10 N., R. 24 W., S.B.M., CONTAINING 74.85 ACRES IN SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY, TO THE SINGLE APPLICANT, MARCUS RUDWICK, AT THE APPRAISED CASH PRICE OF \$823.35, SUBJECT TO ALL STATUTORY RESERVATIONS INCLUDING MINERALS.

21. (SALE OF VACANT SCHOOL LAND, APPLICATION NO. 5255, SACRAMENTO LAND DISTRICT, SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY, KATHRYN HOUCHIN, FRANCIS L. HOUCHIN AND ANNA LUMIS, AS TRUSTEES OF THE C. E. HOUCHIN TRUST - S.W.O. 6393.) The following report was presented to the Commission:

"An offer has been received from Kathryn Houchin, Francis L. Houchin and Anna Lumis, as Trustees of the C. E. Houchin Trust, of Bakersfield, California, to purchase the SW $\frac{1}{4}$  of NW $\frac{1}{4}$  and W $\frac{1}{2}$  of SW $\frac{1}{4}$  of Section 16 and Lot 4 of Section 34, T. 31 S., R. 15 E., M.D.M., containing 151.48 acres in San Luis Obispo County. The applicants made an offer of \$4,544.40, or \$30 per acre, the minimum value established by a prior appraisal.

"The Assessor of San Luis Obispo County has assessed contiguous lands at \$1 to \$4 per acre.

"An inspection and appraisal by a member of the Commission's staff on April 7, 1956 establishes the value of the subject land at \$30 per acre.

"The land was advertised for sale with a stipulation that no offer of less than \$4,544.40 would be accepted. No application was received pursuant to the advertising.

"Prior to advertising and prior to filing of the subject application, the application (5196, Sacramento Land District, S.W.O. 6258) of Frances Cain of South Pasadena, California, was received and filed as to Lot 4 of Section 34, T. 31 S., R. 15 E., M.D.M. Said application failed to meet the appraised value.

"Prior to advertising, and prior to filing of the subject application, the application (5228, Sacramento Land District, S.W.O. 6310) of Bill W. Hodges, of Nipomo, California, was received and filed as to Lot 4 of Section 34, T. 31 S., R. 15 E., M.D.M. Said application failed to meet the appraised value.

"Prior to advertising, and prior to filing of the subject application, the application (5247, Sacramento Land District, S.W.O. 6367) of Burton Bowen, of Arroyo Grande, California, was received and filed as to the SW $\frac{1}{4}$  of NW $\frac{1}{4}$  and W $\frac{1}{2}$  of SW $\frac{1}{4}$  of Section 16, T. 31 S., R. 15 E., M.D.M. Said application failed to meet the appraised value."