#### MINUTE ITEM

3/1/66

26. PROPOSAL OF THE CITY OF LONG BEACH TO EXPEND TIDELAND OIL REVENUES FOR CONSTRUCTIONOF THE ENTRANCE CHANNEL BRIDGE IN THE PORT OF LONG BEACH -L.B. W.O. 10,213.

After consideration of Calendar Item 7 attached, and upon motion duly made and unarizously carried, the following resolution was adopted:

THE COMMISSION DETERMINES THAT THE ADJUSTED ESTIMATED EXPENDITURES OF \$18,720,000 PROPOSED BY THE CITY OF LONG BEACH FROM ITS SHARE OF TIDELAND OIL REVENUES, AS SHOWN ON ATTACHED EXHIBIT "A" AND LISTED HEREINABOVE, FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE ENTRANCE CHANNEL BRIDGE, THE FURCHASE OF NECESSARY RIGHT-OF-WAY, AND THE COMPENSATION FOR AND ALTERATION OF OIL FACILITIES IS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 6, OF CHAPTER 138, STATUTES OF 1964, 1ST EXTRAORDINARY SESSION; SAID DETERMINATION IS SUBJECT TO THE CONDITION, HOWEVER, THAT THE WORK CONFORM IN ESSENTIAL DETAILS TO THE FLANS AND BACKGROUND MATERIAL HERETOFORE SUBMITTED TO THE COMMISSION.

Attachment Calendar Item 7 (4 pages)

#### CALENDAR ITEM

7.

FROFOSAL OF THE CITY OF LONG BEACH TO EXFEND TIDELAND OIL REVENUES FOR CON-STRUCTION OF THE ENTRANCE CHANNEL BRIDGE IN THE PORT OF LONG BEACH -L.B.W.O. 10,213.

On July 2, 1965, the Commission determined that the expenditure of approximately \$18,720,000 by the City of Long Beach from its share of tideland oil revenues for the construction of the Entrance Channel Bridge within the boundaries of the Harbor District is in actordance with the provisions of Section 6, of Chapter 138, Statutes of 1964, 1st Extraordinary Session, subject to the condition that the work conform in essential details to the plans and background material previously submitted. The Commission further determined that the expenditures for Oil Facilities Raising shall be subject to a finding by the Executive Officer that the retention of such wells at such cost is economically justified or, alternatively, a finding by the Executive Officer that the City has made adequate efforts to seek approval by the Unit Working Interest Owners of the abandonment of said wells, the cost of the retention of which is not economically justified. Refer to Exhibits "A", "B", "C", "D", and "E", and for locations and descriptions of the updated descriptions of the work.

Since the time of original consideration by the Commission, the City on January 6, 1966, advised that there has been an adjustment in the individual items making up the total estimated cost which remains unchanged. As shown on attached Exhibit "A" the breakdown contains new items under "Related Work", costs of which have been broken out of the contingency estimates. Also, the breakdown includes, for certain items, higher estimates than had previously been brought to the attention of the Commission. Increases in cost for Property Purchase and Retaining Wall amount to approximately ten and twenty percent respectively, increases which are considered by the Staff to be within the normal expectation for variance between study estimates and final designs. Original and anticipated costs for Oil Facility Alterations now prove to have been considerably underestimated. The Staff has reviewed the City estimates for the presently proposed oil facility work, with the finding that the costs are reasonable for the work intended.

Remuneration in the amount of \$146,450.for the loss of certain of the abandoned wells, valued for their potential as redrills rather than for their current production, is included in the price paid by the City to the Union Pacific Railroad Company for the purchase of land as right-of-way for the bridge.

The City has also advised that there was committed or expended for engineering prior to August 21, 1964, the effective date of Chapter 136, Statutes of 1964, lst E.S., the sum of \$564,000. This amount does not appear on Exhibit "A".

IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE COMMISSION DETERMINE THAT THE ADJUSTED ESTIMATED EXPENDITURES OF \$18,720,000 FROPOSED BY THE CITY OF LONG BEACH FROM ITS SHARE OF TIDELAND OIL REVENUES, AS SHOWN ON ATTACHED EXHIBIT "A" AND LISTED HEREIN-ABOVE, FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE ENTRANCE CHANNEL BRIDGE, THE FURCHASE OF

3/66

# CALENDAR ITEM 7. (CONTD.)

NECESSARY RIGHT-OF-WAY, AND THE COMPENSATION FOR AND ALTERATION OF OIL FACIL-ITIES IS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 6, OF CHAPTER 138, STATUTES OF 1964, 1ST EXTRAORDINARY SESSION; SAID DETERMINATION TO BE SUBJECT TO THE CONDITION, HOWEVER, THAT THE WORK CONFORM IN ESSENTIAL DETAILS TO THE PLANS AND BACKGROUND MATERIAL HERETOFORE SUBMITTED TO THE COMMISSION.

2.

Attachment Exhibit "A"

## EXHIBIT "A"

### L.L.H.O. 10,213

# CITY OF LONG BEACH, CALIFORNIA

# Inter-Departmental Communication

#### Leonard Patnam, City Attorney To

Date January 5, 1966

From Charles L. Vickers

Dep't Harbor

General Manager

Dep't Law

Gerald Desmond Bridge Subject

#### Dear Sir:

Enclosed is a revised estimated cost for the Gerald Desmond Bridge. This revision is for your use in advising the State Lands Commission pursuant to the request of the City Council at their meeting of December 21, 1965. You will notice the total estimated cost remains unchanged.

Description	-	Cost Estimate	Status
	319,000 564,000	\$12,743, <u>0</u> 90 2,195,000	Construction Contract Fre- pareû
Contingency (20% Est.) U.P. Property Purchase	312,000	1,344,000 710,000	n n Design
Fill - West Side Retaining Wall Related Work		195,000 610,000	Construction
Gas Line-Vest Gas Line-East Detour-Vest	100,000 60,000 20,000	a e 47 aan ee ,	Design
Detour-East Site Clearing Electrical Work-West	30,000 30,000 30,000		Construction
Misc. Oil-East & Termo Gate 5 Signals R.R. Crossing-Mitchell	75,000 25,000 25,000		Design
Drail Edison Co. Ramp Water Lines-East Water Lines-West	15,000 50,000 150,000		" Construction Design
Engineering (Inspection, S Field Coordination, etc. Contingency	urveying,	536,000 387,000	
<u> </u>	Total	\$18,720,000	·

-3-

Total

000 و20 راكلا وتلاق

### EXHIBIT "A" (CONTD.)

### L.B.W.O. 10,213

Agreement has now been reached between the Harbor Department and Union Facific Railroad Company for the purchase of Union Pacific property required for the construction of the Gerald Desmond Bridge. Agreement has also now been reached between the Harbor Department through the Department of Oil Properties and the various Fault Block Working Interest Owners as to the extent of alterations, damages and relocation of oil facilities required to accommodate the bridge construction, and cost estimates for this work have been established.

The total of all foreseen bridge costs as of this date is estimated to be \$18,333,000 with a contingency of \$387,000, for a total cost of \$18,720,000. In audition to the \$18,720,000, there was committed or expended for engineering prior to August 21, 1964, the sum of \$564,000. The total estimated cost therefore, is \$19,284,000.

Very truly yours,

/s/ Charles L. Vickers

19.285

Charles L. Vickers General Manager

Encl.