## MINUTE ITEM

28. PROPOSAL OF THE CITY OF LONG BEACH TO EXPEND TIDELAND OIL REVENUES FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF CANAL BRIDGES IN THE NAPLES AREA - L.B.W.O. 10,242.

After consideration of Calendar Item 15 attached, and upon motion duly made and carried, the following resolution was adopted:

THE COMMISSION DETERMINES THAT THE EXPENDITURE OF APPROXIMATELY \$1,092,000 BY THE CITY OF LONG BEACH FROM ITS SHARE OF TIDELAND OIL REVENUES, AS PROPOSED IN ITS LETTER DATED FEBRUARY 14, 1967, FOR RECONSTRUCTION OF FOUR BRIDGES ACROSS TIDELAND CANALS IN THE NAPLES AREA OF THE CITY OF LONG BEACH, IS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROVISIONS OF Ch. 138/64, LST E.S.; SAID DETERMINATION TO BE SUBJECT TO THE CONDITION THAT THE WORK CONFORM IN ESSENT AL DETAILS TO THE PLANS AND BACKGROUND MATERIAL HERETOFORE SUBMITTED.

Attachment
Calendar Item 15 (3 pages)

15.

PROPOSAL OF THE CITY OF LONG BEACH TO EXPEND TIDELAND OIL REVENUES FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF CANAL BRIDGES IN THE NAPLES AREA - L.B.W.O. 10,242.

Pursuant to the requirements of Ch. 138/64, 1st E.S., the City Attorney of Long Beach, on February 14, 1967, filed a letter expressing City intent to expend a portion of its share of tideland oil revenues in the amount of \$1,092,000 for removal and reconstruction of four bridges across tideland canals in the Naples area of the City of Long Beach. In the view of the City, the existing bridges which were built in 1913, are structurally unsound, and esthetically unpleasing; and inadequately provide for projected vehicular traffic on their roadways above and for boat clearance below. Study plans transmitted by the City indicate that the new bridges will have widened roadways, increased boat clearance at soffits from Elev. 10.5 MLIW to Elev. 14.0 MLIW, single spans in lieu of existing double spans, and open handrail instead of solid rail. After review of the background material submitted by the City, it is the opinion of the staff that the bridges in their existing condition are structurally unsound, and that the other factors considered by the City have merit. Cost estimates are considered to be reasonable. Exhibits "A", "B", "C", and "D" supply cost estimates and graphic descriptions of the work.

The City in its letter of February 14, 1967, specified Sec. 6(c) as the particular subdivision of Ch.  $12^{8}$  which the City deems applicable to an authorization of the work. Additionally in a letter dated March 1, 1967, the City also specified Section 6(d) as seing applicable, and, also, stressed the growth of vehicular traffic in this area. The increased soffit elevations should result in a greater use by the boating public of the waterways.

Section 6(c) and (d) of Ch. 138/64, 1st E.S., is repeated hereinafter for the information and convenience of the Commission.

- "Sec. 6. The Legislature hereby finds that the remaining oil revenue hereinabove allocated to the City of Long Beach is needed and can be economically utilized by said City for the fulfillment of the trust uses and purposes described in said acts of 1911, 1925 and 1935 and described as follows in this act, which are hereby found to be matters of state, as distinguished from local, interest and benefit.
- (c) The construction, reconstruction, repair, operation and maintenance of the bulkheads, piers, earthfills, streets, roadways, bridges, bridge approaches, buildings, structures, recreational facilities, landscaping, parking lots, and other improvements on or adjacent to the long Beach tidelands or on or adjacent to the Alamitos Beach Park Lands for the benefit and use of said tidelands or the Alamitos Beach Park Lands.

## CALENDAR ITEM 15. (CONTD.)

(d) The construction, reconstruction, repair, operation and maintenance of small boat harbors, marine stadiums, maritime museum, marine parks, beaches, waterways, and related facilities, on or adjacent to the long Beach ticelands or on or adjacent to the Alamitos Beach Park Lands, or on or adjacent to aquatic recreational areas of the aforesaid nature.

IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE COMMISSION DETERMINE THAT THE EXPENDITURE OF APPROXIMATELY \$1,092,000 BY THE CITY OF LONG BEACH FROM ITS SHARE OF TIDELAND OIL REVENUES, AS PROPOSED IN ITS LETTER DATED FEBRUARY 14, 1967, FOR RECONSTRUCTION OF FOUR BRIDGES ACROSS TIDELAND CANALS IN THE NAPLES AREA OF THE CITY OF LONG BEACH, IS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROVISIONS OF CH. 138/64, 1ST E.S.; SAID DETERMINATION TO BE SUBJECT TO THE CONDITION THAT THE WORK CONFORM IN ESSENTIAL DETAILS TO THE PLANS AND BECKGROUND MATERIAL HERETOFORE SUBMITTED.

Attachment Exhibit "A"

IBWO 10,242

Reconstruction of Canal Bridges in the Naples Area

## EXHIBIT "A"

## COST ESTIMATE

1.	West Toledo Bridge	\$	225,000
2.	East Toledo Bridge		225,000
3•	West Neapolitan Land Bridge		235,000
4.	East Neapolitan Land Bridge		225,000
	Sub-Total	\$	910,000
	Contingencies	ġ	182,000
	TOTAL	<u>\$</u>	1,092,000