24. WITHDRAWAL OF APPLICATIONS BY THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA TO ACQUIRE FEDERAL LAND THROUGH STATE INDEMNITY SELECTION, APPLICATIONS NOS. 24, 27, AND 28, RIVERSIDE LAND DISTRICT, IMPERIAL COUNTY - S 8016, S 8033, AND S 8044.

After consideration of Calendar Item 18 attached, and upon motion duly made and carried, the following resolution was adopted:

THE COMMISSION AUTHORIZES WITHDRAWAL OF THE FOLLOWING STATE INDEMNITY SELECTIONS:

- 1. APPLICATION NO. 24, RIVERSIDE LAND DISTRICT, IN ITS ENTIRETY, CONTAINING 601.07 ACRES IN IMPERIAL COUNTY.
- 2. AFPLICATION NO. 27, RIVERSIDE LAND DISTRICT, IN ITS ENTIRETY, CONTAINING 640 ACRES IN IMPERIAL COUNTY.
- 3. APPLICATION NO. 28, RIVERSIDE LAND DISTRICT, AS TO THE WEST 40.41 ACRES CF LOT 2 OF THE NW $\frac{1}{11}$ , SECTION 2, T. 11 S., R. 10 E., S.B.M., IN IMPERIAL COUNTY ONLY.

Attachment: Calendar Item 18 (2 pages) CALENDAR ITEM

18.

11/70

App. 24 Riv. App. 27 Riv.

App. 28 Riv.

WITHDRAWAL OF APPLICATIONS BY THE STATE S 8016, 8033 & 8044
OF CALIFORNIA TO ACQUIRE FEDERAL LAND
THROUGH STATE INDEMNITY SELECTION

On August 31, 1964, the State Lands Division, on behalf of the State of California, filed Indemnity Selection Lists Nos. 24, 27, and 28, Riverside Land District.

Federal lands embraced in the above-numbered Indemnity Selection Applications are:

### App. No. 24, Riv. (Serial No. R-05699)

All, except for West 40.41 acres, of Lot 2 of  $NW_{\pi}^{\frac{1}{4}}$  of Section 2, T. ll S., R. 10 E., S.B.M., containing 601.07 acres.

## App. No. 27, Piv. (Serial No. R-05637)

All of Section 14, T. 11 S., R. 10 E., S.B.M., containing 640 acres.

## App. No. 28, Riv. (Serial No. R-05704)

- 1. West 40.41 acres of Lot 2 of  $NW_{4}^{\frac{1}{4}}$  of Section 2, T. 11 S., 10 E., S.B.M.
- 2. West 40.31 acres of Lot 2 of  $NE_{4}^{1}$  of Section 4, T. 11 S., R. 10 E., S.B.M.

An application-allowed decision was issued on March 31, 1965, by the Riverside District and Land Office of the Bureau of Land Management as to Applications Nos. 24 and 27, Riverside, only. As to Application No. 28, Riverside, the Bureau advised on August 17, 1966, that further appropriate adjudicative action would be taken upon the State's execution and submission of forms of Assurance to Implement Compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. Publication was authorized and ordered in the designated paper, and it appeared in the editions specified.

Execution and submission of the required assurance forms, in compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, has been requested in each of the subject cases. Since certain aspects of the civil rights covenant were unacceptable to the State, clarification and/or revision was required before execution of the assurance form could be authorized by the State Lands Commission.

Pending resolution of the civil rights matter, the Land Transactions Unit staff of the Division undertook a physical inspection and extensive review of Sections 2, 4, and 14 of T. 11 S., R. 10 E., S.B.M., the land embraced in the subject indemnity selection applications. It was concluded that acquisition of Sections 2 and 14, containing 641.48 acres and 640 acres, respectively, would not be to the State's advantage, and staff recommended the withdrawal of Application No. 24,

-1-

A 75

#### CALENDAR ITEM 18. (CONTD.)

Riverside, Application No. 27, Riverside, and that portion of Application No. 28, Riverside, embracing the West 40.41 acres of Lot 2 of  $NW_{\pi}^{\frac{1}{4}}$  of Section 2, T. 11 S., R. 10 E., S.B.M., giving the following reasons as the basis for said recommendation:

# Section 2, T. 11 S., R. 10 E., S.B.M.

- 1. This section is located 1-1/2 miles east of Highway 99 and approximately 2 miles westerly of the Salton Sea, and is totally without public access.
- 2. The overall terrain and surface have been badly eroded and resemble "badlands", typical of a western movie, with limited utility.
- 3. The State already has acquired other land in this area (vicinity of Salton City) that is comparable, perhaps even superior to this section, and it is the staff's firm belief that additional desert land of this type is not advantageous to the State.
- 4. The indemnity bas: offered should be used to greater State advantage through its selecting Federal land of higher value and utilization potential in a less arid location.

#### Section 14, T. 11 S., R. 10 E., S.B.M.

- 1. This section is situated one mile south of Section 2, described above; however, it is less than 1/4 mile east of Highway 99, but approximately 3 miles westerly of Salton Sea.
- 2. Similar terrain and surfaced conditions with limited utility exist on this section to those found on Section 2, described above.

Recommendations numbers 3 and 4 listed under Section 2 above also are applicable for this section.

### EXHIBIT: A. Location map.

IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE COMMISSION AUTHORIZE WILTDRAWAL OF THE FOLLOWING STATE INDEMNITY SELECTIONS:

- 1. APPLICATION NO. 24, RIVERSIDE LAND DISTRICT, IN ITS ENTIRETY, CONTAINING 601.07 ACRES IN IMPERIAL COUNTY.
- 2. APPLICATION NO. 27, RIVERSIDE LAND DISTRICT, IN ITS ENTIRETY, CONTAINING 640 ACRES IN IMPERIAL COUNTY.
- 3. APPLICATION NO. 28, RIVERSIDE LAND DISTRICT, AS TO THE WEST 40.41 ACRES OF LOT 2 OF THE  $NW_{11}^{1}$ , SECTION 2, T. 11 S., R. 10 E., S.B.M., IN IMPERIAL COUNTY ONLY.