# STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Minutes of the Meeting of the State Lands Commission Sacramento, California

### APPEARANCES

Present!

Kenneth Cory, State Controller, Chairman Walter O. McGuire, Special Assistant to the Lieutenant Governor, alternate to Mervyn M. Dymally, Lieutenant Governor, Member Sid McCausland, Deputy Director of Finance, alternate to Roy M. Bell, Director of Finance, Member

Staff Members in Attendance:

William F. Northrop, Executive Officer
R. S. Golden, Assistant Executive Officer
Robert C. Hight, Staff Counsel
James F. Trout, Manager, Land Operations
D. J. Everitts, Manager, Energy and Mineral
Resources Development
Donald Hoagland, Staff Services Analyst
Donald Hoagland, Staff Services Analyst
A. D. Willard, Supervising Mineral Resources
Engineer
W. M. Thompson, Manager, Long Beach Operations
Diane Jones, Secretary

Representing the Office of the Attorney General:

N. Gregory Taylor, Assistant Attorney General

Jan Stevens, Assistant Attorney General

Re Minute Istem 26: Proposed Geothermal Resources Lease of

Reserved Mineral Interests, Sonoma County

Rodney C. Hall, law firm of McKenna & Fedting,

representing George C. Post
C.E. Woods, representing Aminoil USA, Inc.

Robert Membreno, representing the City of

Santa Clara

Re Minute Item 27: P. 6lic Hearings on Value of Natural Gas
Produced from State Leases
Douglas McCloud, Manager, Pacific Gas &
Electric Company

- Ro Minute Item 28: Compromise Settlement

  Maury Hamilton, City Attorney, City of San
  Mateo
- Re Minute Item 29: Authorize Compromise Settlement
  Glen Olson, representing the National Audubon
  Society, Western Regional Office
- Re Minute Item 32: Public Agency Permit East Bay Regional
  Park District
  Lewis Crutcher, Chief of Planning, East Bay
  Regional Park District
- Re Minute Item 36: Amendment of Lease PRC 1390.1 Pacific Gas & Electric Company
  Emile Nurisso, representing Pacific Gas & Electric Company
- Re Minute Item 48: Proposed Incorporation of the Cities of

  Muir and West Pittsburg, Contra Costa County

  Ted Lichti, representing the Committee to
  incorporate the City of West Pittsburg

# MINUTES OF THE STATE LANDS COMMISSION MEETING OF December 15, 1976

Chairman Kenneth Cory, State Controller, called the regular meeting of the State Lands Commission to order at 10:06 a,m. in Room 2170, State Capitol. Other members present included Commission-alternate Sid McCausland, Deputy Director of Finance, representing Roy M. Bell, Director of Finance; and Commission-alternate Walter O. McGuire, Special Assistant to the Lieutenant Governor, representing Mervyn M. Dymally, Lieutenant Governor.

The minutes of the meeting of October 28, 1976, were approved as presented.

### EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S REPORT

W 21247

Mr. William F. Northrop reported that revised bylaws of Waterways Advisory Committee and before the Commission for approval: UPON MOTION DULY MADE AND CARRIEL, THE BYLAWS, ATTACHID AS EXHIBIT 'M' AND BY REFERENCE MADE A PART HEREOF, WERE ADOPTED AS PRESENTED BY A VOTE OF 3-0.

In connection with the City of Eureka, Mr. Northrop read a Resolution into the record expressing the Commission's support of the City with regard to its efforts to resolve title problems and boundary disputes involving its granted tide and submerged lands. The Resolution is on file in the office of the State Lands Commission and by reference made a part hereof.

UPON MOTION DULY MADE AND CARETUR, THE RESOLUTION, ON FILE IN THE OFFICE OF THE STATE LANDS COMMISSION AND BY REFERENCE MADE A PART HERECE, WAS ADOPTED BY A VOTE OF 3-0. IN ADDITION, THE COMMISSION REQUESTED THE STAFF TO CONVEY TO THE CITY THE COMMISSION'S CONCERN SEGARDING THE IMPACT THE STATE HAS MADE ON THE LOCAL COMMINITY AND THAT THEY ARE SYMPATHETIC ABOUT THE PROBLEMS.

With regard to the Division's pending dredgin; applications, Mr. Northrop requested that the Commission approve the staff holding public hearings to consider the magnitude of the increasing sediment load in connection with dredging, and reconsider the potential impact on State land of all dredging applications.

UPON MOTIOL DULY MADE AND CARRIED, THE COMPASSION APPROVED BY A VOTE OF 5-0 THAT THE DAYASION CONDUCT PUBLIC HEARINGS AS OUTLINED ABOVE AND CONDUCT A STUDY OF THE MECRATION PROBLEM OF DRUNG!! G.

In conclusion, Mr. Northrop stated there is a question as to the payment of the maximum ceiling price for State-owned crude oil as reflected in the recent FEA ruling on gravity differential. In this regard the staff requested that the Commission direct them to take all legal steps necessary to recent this matter.

# RYLAWS FOR THE WATERWAYS ADVISORY COMMITTEE

#### ART; LE 1

Section 1. The name of this committee shall be Waterways Advisory Committee.

#### ARTICLE 2

- Section I. The purpose of this Committee shall be advisery. Its primary objective is to advise the State Lands Commission on the use of lands under or adjacent to waterways of the State.
- Section 2. This Committee is not authorized to discuss those cases which are presently under litigation, enter into contracts or official agreements, or issue public proncuncements on behalf of the State Lands Commission.

#### ARTICLE 3

- Lection 7. The membership of this Committee shall be limited to nine (9) members. There shall be no alternates designated for Committee members.
- Section 2. All members shall be appointed by the Executive Office: of the State Lands Commission with the concurrence of the Commission. The

appointments of members are for a period of two (2) years, but at the pleasure of the Executive Officer.

- Section 3. All vacancies shall be filled by the Executive Officer with the concurrence of the Commission.
- Section 4. The State Lands Commission may abolish the Committee when, in its opinion, the Committee's purposes have been accomplished or the services of the Committee are no longer required.
- Section 5. Except as recycled in this section, members of the Committee shall serve without compensation. Ine Committee may request that the State Lands Commission reimburse nongovernmental members for actual and necessary expenses incurred in the performance of their duties.
- Section 6. Initial and subsequent membership shall be representative of various interest groups and no more than one member shall be appointed for each special interest, with the sole exception being that State Government may have representatives from the Legislative and Executive Branches and from professional or technical disciplines which are elemental to the topics or areas of concern.

### ARTICLE 4

- Section 1. The officers of the Committee shall be a chairman and a vice-chairman; and staff services will be furnished by the State Lands Division.
  - Section 2. No officer or member of the Committee shall have authority by reason of such office or membership to contract on behalf of the Committee, the State Lands Division, or the State Lands Commission. Nor shall any member make any form of public pronouncement on behalf of the Committee unless so authorized by a majority vote of the Committee.
  - The chairman of the Committee shall be Section 3. selected by the Executive Officer of the State Lands Commission from the State Lands Division prior to its initial meeting. The vice-chairman shall be elected by and from the Committee at its first public meeting and thereafter shall be elected annually. The vice-chairman shall serve for one year and the term of office shall begin at the close of the pullic meeting at which he or she is effected.

### ARTICLE 5

Section 1. The Committee shall meet at regular monthly meetings unless otherwise determined by the Committee.

- Section 2. Special meetings may be called by the Chairman and shall be called upon written request of three (3) Committee members.
- Section 3. Five (5) out of the Committee shall constitute a quorum. Action by the Committee shall be by majority vote of the members present. A member must be present to vote.
- Section 4. All meetings shall be open to the public to assure that all interested groups and individuals may participate in the workings of the Committee.

#### ARTICLE 6

- Section 1. The Committee shall not participate in any way in any campaign for public office or for any proposition before the voters.
- Section 2. Members shall not, on behalf of the Committee, attempt to influence any action of the Commission,
- Section 3. The Committee may, by a majority vote, designate a member to speak on their behalf at a meeting of the Commission. Such testimony shall be prepared and adopted by the Committee in advance of such meeting.

#### ARTICLE 7

Section 1. Individual membership shall be terminated at the close of the third consecutive regular meeting, from which the member is absent unless he is excused by the majority of the Committee members or the Executive Officer of the State Lands' Commission.

#### ARTICLE 8

Lands Commission. They may be amended at any regular meeting of the Committee by a two-thirds vote, provided that any amendments must be approved subsequently by the State Lands Commission. Such amendments shall have no effect unless they have been approved by at least two (2) members of the State Lands Commission.

#### ARTICLE 9

Section 1. The Committee shall be guided by Roberts

Rules of Order (Revised) if partiamentary matters

arise and require resolution.

#### WATERWAYS ADVISORY COMMITTEE

### Voting Members

Dick Atlee, State Lands Division

Bob Testa, Senate Committee on Natural Resources

Bob Connelly, Assembly Committee on Land Use and Energy

Frank Goodson, Resources Agency

Larry Moss., Planning and Conservation League

Richard Simpson, California Taxpayers Association

Glenn Crouch, Sacramento River Property Owners Association

AF Thews, Boat Owners Associated Together

Richard Farrell, Marina and Recreation Association

### Committee Consultants

Roy Minnick, State Lands Division

Jin Patton, State Lands Division

Division

Division

Division

Roy Minnick, State Lands Division

In addition, he presented for the record a copy of a letter dated December 3, 1976 addressed to all the States lessees demanding a maximum ceiling price for lower tier oil to be paid the State commencing October 1. Mr. Northrop requested that the Commission ratify the staff's action as set forth

UPON MOTION DULY MADE AND CARRIED, THE COMMISSION 1) DIRECTED THE STAFF TO TAKE ALL LEGAL STEPS NECESSARY TO REMEDY THE MATTER AS OUTLINE ABOVE; AND 2) RATIFIED THE STAFF'S ACTION AS SET FORTH IN THE LETTER TO THE STATE'S OIL AND GAS LESSEESS DATED DECEMBER 3, 1976, FROM THE DIVISION ON FILE IN THE OFFICE OF THE STATE LANDS COMMISSION AND BY REFERENCE MADE A PART HEREOF.

Others matters discussed are outlined in Mr. Northrop's written statement attached as Exhibit "A" and by reference made a part hereof.

Attachment: Exhibit "A"

### EXHEBIT "A"

# EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S REPORT

By

WILLIAM F. NORTHROF EXECUTIVE OFFICER DECEMBER 15, 1976

# WATERWAYS ADVISORY COMMITTEE

W 21247

THE WATERWAYS ADVISORY COMMITTEE HELD AN INFORMAL MEETING ON NOVEMBER 17 AND SCHEDULED ITS FIRST PUBLIC MEETING FOR JANUARY 12. THE GROUP REVISED ITS BYLAWS WHICH ARE BEFORE YOU FOR APPROVAL. STAFF IS PROVIDING THE GROUP WITH BACKGROUND INFORMATION ON THE COMMISSION S STATUTORY CHARGES, ITS PROGRAMS, AS WELL AS PROBLEM AREAS. WITH YOUR CONGURRENCE, STAFF WILL INFORM THE GROUP THAT THE REVISED BYLAWS HAVE BEEN APPROVED.

# CITY OF EUREKA - LITIGATION

W 20698

TREAL OF THE FIRST PHASE OF THE 14 LAWSUITS AGAINST THE CITY OF EUREKA AND THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA INVOLVING MORE THAN A MILE OF THE DOWNTOWN EUREKA MATERFRONT HAS BEEN CONCLUDED. THE TREAL COURT HELD THAT PRIVATE OWNERSH P EXTENDS WATERWARD TO THE LINE IN HUMBOLDT BAY WHERE THE WATER WAS SIX FEET DEEP AT LOW TIDE IN 1857. HOWEVER, THE TREAL COURT REJECTED PLAINTEFFS' CLAIMS THAT THEY OWNED BEYOND THAT DEPTH, AND INVALIDATED CERTAIN DEEDS ISSUED AFTER ADOPTION OF THE 1879 CONSTITUTION. EUREKA'S PARTICIPATION IN THIS LITERATION, AS TRUSTEE OF GRANTED TIDE AND SUBMERGED LANDS, HAS BEEN VERY

EXPENSIVE.

IN LIGHT OF THE CITY'S CONSCIENTIOUS AND VIGOROUS EFFORTS TO PROTECT THE PUBLIC'S TITLE TO THESE GRANTED TIDE AND SUBMERGED LANDS, IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE COMMISSION EXPRESS ITS SUPPORT OF THE CITY BY ADOPTING THE FOULDWING RESOLUTION, SUBSTANTIALLY IN THE FORM WHICH IS BEFORE YOU.

# SACRAMENTO RIVER CLEAN-UP

W 21247

ON NOVEMBER 15, 1976, AN ARTICLE APPEARED IN THE SACRAMENTO BEE CONCERNING JUNK AND DEBRIS EXPOSED ALONG THE SACRAMENTO RIVER DUE TO ABNORMALLY LOW WATER LEVELS.

THE STATE LANDS COMMISSION INVESTIGATED THE MATTER AND INCIDATED A CLEAN-UP PROGRAM IN COORDINATION WITH OTHER STATE, COUNTY AND LOCAL AGENCIES. GOOPERATION HAS BEEN SUBSTANTIAL AND HAS RESULTED IN EXPEDIENT CLEAN-UP OPERATIONS. THE SACRAMENTO COUNTY SIDE OF THE REVER FROM THE JUNCTION OF THE SACRAMENTO AND AMERICAN RIVERS TO MILLER PARK HAS BEEN COMPLETED. WORK HAS BEGUN ON THE YOLO COUNTY SIDE, WHICH WELL ENGLUDE THE AREA FROM THE JUNCTION OF THE SACRAMENTO AND AMERICAN RIVERS TO THE ENTRANCE TO THE BARGE CANAL OF THE PORT OF SACRAMENTO.

### COLORADO RIVER PUBLIC SESSION

W 20693 W 20693.1

THE STATE LANDS DIVISION HELD A PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING IN BLYTHE, ON NOVEMBER 18, 1976, TO INFORM THE GENERAL PUBLIC OF THE DIVISION'S DAVIS LAKE AREA PROJECT SOVEREIGN LAND IDENTIFICATION AND MAPPING EFFORTS.

APPROXIMATELY 35 PEOPLE ATTENDED THE MEETING. MOST OF THOSE ATTENDING WERE REPRESENTATIVES OF VARIOUS PUBLIC AGENCIES, SUCH AS THE U. S. BUREAU OF RECLAMATION AND U. S. BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT. OTHER COMPANIES REPRESENTED INCLUDED THE SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY, AND THE SAFECO TITLE INSURANCE AND THE TITLE INSURANCE AND TRUST COMPANIES. NO REPRESENTATIVE OF THE ARIZONA STATE LANDS DEPARTMENT WAS PRESENT.

MANY QUESTIONS WERE ASKED BY THOSE WHO ATTENDED, AND A VERY INFORMATIVE DIALOGUE WAS DEVELOPED. HOWEVER, NO REFERENCE MATERIAL OR TESTIMONY WAS OFFERED BY ANYONE ATTENDING THE MEETING THAT WOULD AFFECT THE POSITION OF THE AREA CLAIMED BY THE STATE AS SPECIFIED IN THE DAVIS LAKE BOUNDARY STUDY.

### DOW + JOINT HEARINGS

W 21/003

ON WEDNESDAY AND THURSDAY, DECEMBER 8 AND 9, SLC PARTICIPATED IN THE COMBINED 5-STATE AGENCY HEARINGS ON THE DOW PETROCHEMICAL PLANT. THE MAIN ISSUE OF THE HEARINGS WAS THE TRADEOFFS TO BE CONSIDERED BETWEEN POSSIBLE SIGNIFICANT ADVERSE ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS AND THE ECONOMIC EFFECTS OF NEW CAPITAL AND JOBS. A SERIES OF SPEAKERS ADDRESSED THE ISSUE ON EACH SIDE, ABOUT TWICE AS MANY SPEAKING AGAINST THE PROJECT AS FOR IT.

NEGOTIATIONS ARE CONTINUING IN THE DIVISION ON THE LEASE TERMS AND CONDITIONS WITH DOW, LOOKING TOWARD RESOLVING REMAINING ISSUES IN ADVANCE OF FINALIZATION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REQUIREMENTS.

THE FINAL PHASE OF THE COMBINED HEARINGS WILL BE HELD THIS FREDAY, DECEMBER 17. SLC WILL AGAIN PARTICIPATE TO THE CONCLUSION OF THE HEARING PROCESS.

STAFF WILL REPORT BACK TO THE COMMISSION AFTER THE SUPPLEMENTAL DATA HAS BEEN MADE AVAILABLE AND HEARING NOTES HAVE BEEN PUBLISHED.

### CITY OF LONG BEACH

W 10326

THE CITY OF LONG BEACH, UNDER THE TERMS OF THEIR GRANT, IS REQUIRED TO OBTAIN PRIOR APPROVAL FROM THE STATE LANDS COMMISSION FOR THE EXPENDITURE OF OIL REVENUE ON A PROJECT WHICH IS IN EXCESS OF \$50,000. THE CITY CURRENTLY HAS ISSUED A CONTRACT TO EXPEND \$310,000 OF OIL REVENUE ON A FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR A PROPOSED MARINA ON THE TIDELANDS IN THE DOWNTOWN AREA, AND CONTENDS THAT PRIOR APPROVAL IS NOT REQUIRED BECAUSE AN ADEQUATE DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT GANNOT BE MADE UNTIL THE PRELIMINARY PLANNING HAS BEEN DONE. THE CITY'S POSITION IS TO CONDUCT THE FEASIBILITY STUDY AND THEN IF A DECISION IS MADE TO CONSTRUCT THE MARINA, THE FUNDS EXPENDED FOR THE FEASIBILITY STUDY WOULD BE INCORPORATED IN THE PROJECT FUND PRIOR APPROVAL REQUEST TO THE COMMISSION FOR THE MARINA.

THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE AND THE DIVISION CURRENTLY ARE NOT IN AGREEMENT WITH THE CLTY'S CONTENTION THAT THIS TYPE OF EXPENDITURE DOES NOT REQUIRE PRIOR APPROVAL BY

THE COMMISSION. WHILE NOT OBJECTING TO THE CITY'S ACTION IN THIS INSTANCE, UPON THE ADVICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE, THE CITY HAS BEEN FORMALLY NOTIFIED THAT IN THE FUTURE, EXPENDITURES OF OIL REVENUE IN EXCESS OF \$50,000 FOR PLANNING WILL REQUIRE PRIOR APPROVAL. PHASE I AND PHASE II PRIOR APPROVAL ARE NOW GIVEN FOR SUBSIDENCE COSTS AND THIS TYPE OF PROCEDURE WILL BE FOLLOWED IN THE FUTURE.

# DREDGING PERMITS

W 9270.1

THE U. S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS ESTIMATES THAT FUTURE DREDGING REQUIREMENTS TO MAINTAIN HARBOR AND MOORING FACTUITIES IN SAN FRANCISCO BAY WILL BE 200 MILLION CUBIC YARDS OVER THE NEXT 20 YEARS. THE PRACTICE OF THE CORPS OF ENGINEERS HAS BEEN TO APPROVE PLACEMENT OF MOST OF THE DREDGE SPOIL IN SITES WHERE ACTIVE CURRENTS CAUSE THE SPOIL TO MIGRATE CONSIDERABLY.

A SIGNIFICANT COMPONENT OF THE SEDIMENT BEING REMOVED PROBABLY GOMES FROM PREVIOUSLY SPOILED DREDGED MATERIAL.

ADDITIONALLY, THE CORPS STUDY INDICATES THAT THE ANNUAL INFLOW OF SEDIMENT TO THE BAY EXCEEDS THE OUTFLOW BY ABOUT 4 MILLION CUBIC YARDS.

ON TODAY'S CONSENT CALENDAR THERE IS AN EXTENSION OF A MAINTENANCE DREDGING PERMIT IN SAN FRANCISCO BAY (STANDARD; RICHMOND LONG WHARE; 43,000 cu. yds; 10 cents/yd)

SPOILS ARE TO BE DISPOSED OF AT THE U. S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEER'S APPROVED SAN FRANCISCO BAY DISPOSAL SITE SOUTH OF ALCATRAZ ISLAND.

THE DIVISION HAS A LARGE NUMBER OF OTHER DREDGING APPLICATIONS IN VARIOUS STAGES OF PROCESSING. BECAUSE OF THE MAGNITUDE OF THE EVER-INCREASING SEDIMENT LOAD, IT APPEARS NECESSARY TO CONSIDER THE POTENTIAL IMPACT ON STATE LANDS OF ALL APPLICATIONS, AND IT IS SUGGESTED THAT THE STAFF OF ALL APPLICATIONS, AND IT IS SUGGESTED THAT THE STAFF OF ALL APPLICATIONS, AND TO HOLD PUBLIC HEARINGS AND TO CONDUCT BE GIVEN PERMISSION TO HOLD PUBLIC HEARINGS AND TO CONDUCT A STUDY OF THE PROBLEM.

# GRAVITY DIFFERENTIAL

THERE IS SOME QUESTION AS TO THE PAYMENT OF MAXIMUM CEILING PRICE FOR STATE-OWNED CRUDE OIL AS REFLECTED IN THE RECENT FEA RULING ON GRAVITY DIFFERENTIAL. STAFF FEELS SUCH NON-PAYMENT PLACES THE CONTRACTOR IN DEFAULT AND RECOMMENDS TO THE COMMISSION THAT STAFF BE DIRECTED TO TAKE ALL STEPS FOR LEGAL REMEDY ON THIS MATTER, IN THIS CONNECTION, ON DECEMBER 3, 1976, LETTEPS, WERE SENT TO ALL OF THE STATE'S LESSEES DEMANDING THAT THE MAXIMUM CEILING PRICE FOR LOWER TIER OIL BE PAID TO THE STATE PURSUANT TO THEIR RESPECTIVE LEASES, COMMENCING OCTOBER 1. A COPY OF THE LETTER WHICH WAS SENT TO EACH OF THE OIL COMPANIES IS BEFORE YOU, THE STATE S POSITION IS THAT STRIPPER OIL PRICE POSTING IS THE BEST INDICATION OF FAIR MARKET VALUE, AND THE STATE IS ENTITLED TO BE PAID THAT AMOUNT UNLESS RESTRICTED BY FEDERAL REGULATIONS SUCH AS MAXIMUM CELLING PRICES ON UPPER AND LOWER TIER CRUDE. IT IS REQUESTED THAT YOU RATIFY THIS ACTION AND FIND THAT THE STAFF'S POSITION WITH REGARD TO THE FAIR MARKET VALUE OF OIL IS AS STATED IN THIS LETTER.

# RECORD OF ACTION TAKEN BY THE STATE LANDS COMMISSION

AT ITS MEETING OF DECEMBER 15, 1976

During the meeting, the recommendations of the staff relative to Calendar Items C1, C2, C3, C4, C5, C6, C7, C8, C9, C10, C11, C12, C13, C14, C15, C16, C17, C18, C19, C20, C21, C22, C23, 25, 30, 33.  $\frac{74}{24}$ ,  $\frac{35}{37}$ ,  $\frac{3}{37}$ ,  $\frac{3}{38}$ ,  $\frac{4}{42}$ ,  $\frac{4}{43}$ ,  $\frac{4}{44}$ ,  $\frac{4}{45}$ 

Commission action on Calendar Items 26, 27, 28, 29, 31, 32, 36, 39, 40, and 48 are set forth on pages 1561, 1567, 1570, 1576, 1592, 1596, 1612, 1627, 162) and 1646.

Calendar items 24 and 41 were withdrawn from the agenda.

Status of major litigation is set forth on page 1659.