MINUTE ITEM

This Calendar Item No. 33
was approved as Mi arte Item
No. 33 by the State Lands
mission by a vote of 3
to at its 3/24/27
meeting.

CALENDAR ITEM

2/77 503.656 RH

33.

STIPULATION FOR THE CASE OF CITY OF LONG BEACH V. ROY CRAWFORD, ET AL., SOC 23519, AMENDING AND FINALIZING THE JUDGMENT PREVIOUSLY ENTERED THEREIN AND FOR DISMISSAL OF APPEAL

In 1970, the City of Long Reach filed a complaint to quiet title of Lot 5 in Block 44 of Resubdivision of Part of Alamitos Bay Townsite (City of Long Beach v. Roy Crawford et al.)

Pursuant to Section 6308, of the Public Resources Code, the State was named as a necessary party since (1) boundaries of granted tidelands and submerged lands were at issue, and (2) plaintiff had expended tideland trust revenues for maintenance of portions of the property as a public beach.

The above entitled action and six companion cases were consolidated for trial:

City of Long Beach v. Hazel Hansen

City of Long Beach v. Herbert McKim

City of Long Beach v. William Sheehan

City of Long Beach v. Catherine Daugherty

City of Long Beach v. Roy Crawford

City of Long Beach v. Robert Michael O'Meara

In the course of pretrial proceedings, the private parties admitted that the seaward boundary of their respective lots is the boundary described in Chapter 138, Statutes of 1963, 1st E.S., and abandoned their contentions of a more seaward location of said boundary. The court found that the entire Crawford parcel, including the improved portion thereof, was subject to public recreational easement of the type described in Glon v. City of Santa Cruz, 2 Cal. 3d 29 (1970). The State had contended that said easement exists over only the unimproved portion of said parcel.

The Hansen and O'Meara cases were settled with the approval of the Commission prior to judgment being entered. The Mullinex case was settled on appeal with the approval of the Commission after substitution of the purchaser of the property in place of the named defendant. The McKim, Daugherty, Crawford and Sheehan cases have been noticed for appeal. Only Daugherty and Sheehan cases have been briefed by appellant. John F. Suttle has been substituted as a party defendant/appellant in place of

A 52,57,58

\$ 27,31

228

CALENDAR ITEM NO. 33. (CONTD)

defendants/appellant Roy Crawford and Louise W. Crawford, after having purchased the Grawford property and having obtained an assignment of claims from Rey Crawford and Louise W. Crawford.

After evaluation of the facts and circumstances, the City of Long Beach and John F. Suttie have reached an agreement to a complete compromise and settlement of the Crawford case. The City, the State and private parties will join in executing entered and a request for dismissal of the appeal. The above referred to stipulation provides for the existence of the Gion This is consistent with the State's position and the settlements of the Mullinex, Hansen and O'Meara cases. Approval of the request for dismissal is necessary since the State is a party. Borms of these documents are on file with the State Lands

EXHIBIT: A. Site Map.

IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE STATE LANDS COMMISSION AUTHORIZE THE OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO EXECUTE THE STIPULATION FOR THE CASE OF THE CITY OF LONG BEACH V. ROY CRAWFORD, SOC NO. 23519, AMENDING AND FINALIZING THE JUDGMENT PREVIOUSLY ENTERED THEREIN AND FOR DISMISSAL OF APPEAL AND TAKE ANY NECESSARY AND APPROPRIATE ACTION TO ACCOMPLISH THE FOREGOING.