

MINUTE ITEM

This Calendar Item No. C15 was approved as Minute Item No. 15 by the State Lands Commission by a vote of 2 to 0 at its 8-23-79 meeting.

CALENDAR ITEM  
C15.

8/79  
W 21835  
Scott  
PRC 5708

GENERAL PERMIT -  
PUBLIC AGENCY USE

APPLICANT: Stanislaus County - Parks  
1716 Morgan Road  
Modesto, California 95351

AREA, TYPE LAND AND LOCATION: 0.287 + acres of inland non-tidal, navigable land in the bed of the Tuolumne River near Basso Bridge below the town of La Grange, Stanislaus County.

LAND USE: The construction and continued maintenance of a concrete boat launching ramp together with appurtenances necessary for the operation of the launching ramp.

TERMS OF PROPOSED PERMIT:  
Initial period: 49 years from February 1, 1979.

CONSIDERATION: The public use and benefit, with the State reserving the right at any time to set a monetary rental if the Commission finds such action to be in the State's best interest.

PREREQUISITE TERMS, FEES AND EXPENSES:  
Applicant is owner of upland.  
Processing costs have been received.

STATUTORY AND OTHER REFERENCES:  
A. P.R.C.: Div. 6, Parts 1 & 2.  
B. Cal. Adm. Code: Title 2, Div. 3.

OTHER PERTINENT INFORMATION:  
1. The annual rental value of the site is estimated to be \$100.  
2. A negative declaration was prepared by the County of Stanislaus SCH #78061969, pursuant to CEQA and implementing regulations. A notice of determination has been received.

A 26  
S 13

CALENDAR PAGE 094  
MINUTE PAGE 1413

CALENDAR ITEM NO. C15.(CONTD)

3. This project is situated on State land identified as possessing significant environmental values pursuant to P.R.C. 6370.1, and is classified in a use category, Class A, which authorizes Restricted Use.

Staff has coordinated this project with those agencies and organizations who nominated the site as containing significant environmental values. They have found this project to be compatible with their nomination.

APPROVALS OBTAINED:

Department of Transportation, County Fish and Wildlife Service, Department of Fish and Game.

EXHIBITS:

- A. Land Description.
- B. Location Map.
- C. Negative Declaration and Notice of Determination.

IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE COMMISSION:

1. DETERMINE THAT AN EIR HAS NOT BEEN PREPARED FOR THIS PROJECT BUT THAT A NEGATIVE DECLARATION HAS BEEN PREPARED BY THE COUNTY OF STANISLAUS AND FILED WITH THE COUNTY CLERK, ON APRIL 28, 1978.
2. CERTIFY THAT THE COMMISSION HAS REVIEWED AND CONSIDERED THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THE NEGATIVE DECLARATION.
3. DETERMINE THAT THE PROJECT WILL NOT HAVE A SIGNIFICANT EFFECT ON THE ENVIRONMENT.
4. FIND THAT GRANTING OF THE PERMIT WILL HAVE NO SIGNIFICANT EFFECT UPON ENVIRONMENTAL CHARACTERISTICS IDENTIFIED PURSUANT TO SECTION 6370.1, OF THE P.R.C.
5. AUTHORIZE ISSUANCE TO THE COUNTY OF STANISLAUS OF A 49-YEAR GENERAL PERMIT - PUBLIC AGENCY FROM FEBRUARY 1, 1979, IN CONSIDERATION OF THE PUBLIC USE AND BENEFIT, WITH THE STATE RESERVING THE RIGHT AT ANY TIME TO SET

CALENDAR PAGE	095
MINUTE PAGE	1414

CALENDAR ITEM NO. C15. (CONTD)

A MONETARY RENTAL IF THE COMMISSION FINDS SUCH ACTION TO BE IN THE STATE'S BEST INTEREST, FOR THE CONSTRUCTION AND CONTINUED MANITENANCE OF A CONCRETE BOAT LAUNCHING RAMP TOGETHER WITH APPURTENANCES NECESSARY FOR THE OPERATION OF SUCH RAMP ON THE LAND DESCRIBED ON EXHIBIT "A" ATTACHED AND BY REFERENCE MADE A PART HEREOF.

## EXHIBIT "A"

A strip of land 50 feet wide extending into the bed of the Tuolumne River adjacent to fractional Section 25; T3S, R13E, MDM, Stanislaus County, said strip being occupied by a concrete boat launching ramp, the centerline of which is shown on that certain map entitled "Working Copy Traverse Basso Bridge" dated October 17, 1978 and on file in the offices of the State Lands Commission.

Excepting therefrom any portion of the herein above described strip of land laying landward of the ordinary high-water mark of the left bank of the Tuolumne River.

END OF DESCRIPTION

CALENDAR PAGE	097
MINUTE PAGE	1416



COUNTY OF STANISLAUS  
 NEGATIVE DECLARATION  
 ERC DATE 4/28/78

PROJECT TITLE: Basso Bridge Launching Facility.

PROJECT LOCATION: Basso Bridge near the town of La Grange, California.

DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: The project will involve an access road, a 20 car/boat trailer parking lot, a two lane launch ramp and a waterless flush type restroom.

This project is designed to accomodate recreationists that utilize the Tuolumne River for boating and fishing.

FINDINGS: An Environmental Questionnaire has been completed and the Stanislaus County Environmental Review Committee has found that the project will not have a significant effect on the environment for the following reasons:

1. The project is consistent with the County General Plan.
2. The project is consistent with the area's zoning.
3. No substantial adverse aesthetic effects will occur.
4. There will be no significant growth inducing impact.

Findings are based upon consideration of the questionnaire completed by:

Duke Foster, Stanislaus County Parks Department.

Copies may be obtained at the Stanislaus County Planning Department, 1100 "H" Street, Modesto, California 95354

CALENDAR PAGE	<u>099</u>
MINUTE PAGE	<u>1418</u>

COUNTY OF STANISLAUS  
NOTICE OF DETERMINATION

PROJECT TITLE: Basso Bridge Launching Facility.

PROJECT LOCATION: Basso Bridge near the town of La Grange, California.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW: Pursuant to the Regulations for Compliance With the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970 adopted by the Stanislaus County Board of Supervisors, an environmental review of the above project was conducted. It was found that:

- (x) The project will not have a significant effect on the environment. Accordingly, a Negative Declaration was filed with the County Clerk on \_\_\_\_\_.
- ( ) The project may have a significant effect on the environment. Accordingly, an Environmental Impact Report was prepared.

ACTION TAKEN: The above project was  
( x ) approved ( ) disapproved  
on \_\_\_\_\_.

MITIGATION MEASURES: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Date of filing  
with County Clerk  
4/28/78

CALENDAR PAGE	<u>100</u>
MINUTE PAGE	<u>1419</u>

STANISLAUS COUNTY  
ENVIRONMENTAL QUESTIONNAIRE

1. Who is the contact person for information regarding this project?  Name _____ Address _____  Telephone _____	OFFICIAL USE ONLY	
	Date _____	Application Number _____
	Location _____	
	_____	

2. Describe the proposed project in detail including design concepts, economic considerations, number of employees and customers daily, operating hours, and similar information. (Use separate sheet if necessary.)

The proposed Basso Bridge launching facility will consist of a two-lane launch ramp, a waterless flush restroom, approximately 200 feet of access road and a 20-car/boat trailer parking lot. Usage is expected at approximately 2,500 launches per year. Monies for this project will be funded 100% through the Department of Navigation and Ocean Development and will be a free-use area similar to Fox Grove Regional Park. The facility will be open year around.

3. Describe in detail the nature of the area in which the project will be located. List land use of the subject parcel and surrounding properties.

The area is located near the banks of the Tuolumne River at Basso Bridge in La Grange. The area is presently open to the public as a county park with no facilities available

(OVER PLEASE)

CALENDAR PAGE	101
MINUTE PAGE	1420

The following information is required under Division 6, Title 14, Chapter 3, Article 9 of the California Administrative Code

4. Describe the objectives of the proposed project.

To provide all recreationists an opportunity to utilize benefits derived from river access and use.

5. Describe all mitigation measures proposed to minimize environmental impact.

A minimum of excavation is required for site development and landscaping will follow construction utilizing native vegetation for screening.

6. Describe any known alternatives to the proposed project, or to the location of the project, which could feasibly obtain the same objectives, and why they were rejected.

There were no other alternatives.

7. Explain why this project is justified now, rather than reserving an option for further alternatives in the future.

This project is the highlight of an on-going job which calls for numerous access points along the Tuolumne River (see attached map)

SIGNED: *Ray S. [Signature]*

DATE: \_\_\_\_\_

April 28, 1978

CALENDAR PAGE  
MINUTE PAGE

102  
1421

INITIAL STUDY

Please answer the following questions concerning the proposed projects possible effects. Discuss the nature and degree of effect of any "yes" answer. Consider each question carefully, as incorrect answers may result in delays in processing the application. Each application will be reviewed by Planning Department staff and by the County Environmental Review Committee.

Could the project-- Yes No If yes, discuss degree of effect.

Could the project--	Yes	No	If yes, discuss degree of effect.
1. affect climate?		X	
2. affect local or regional air quality?		X	
3. have substantial effects on, or be affected by, ambient noise levels?		X	
4. remove prime soils?		X	
5. remove land from agricultural use?		X	
6. increase erosion or cause siltation?		X	
7. be in an area of unstable soils?		X	
8. be on a slope of 10% or greater?		X	
9. be in an area of seismic or geologic hazard?		X	
10. affect surface water quality?		X	
11. affect ground water levels or quality?		X	
12. affect flood potential or floodplain?		X	
13. affect an endangered or rare species of animal or plant or its habitat?		X	
14. interfere with the movement of any resident or migratory fish or wildlife species?		X	
15. affect riparian or wetland habitat or mature trees?		X	

	yes	no	degree of effect
16. affect sites of archaeological or historical importance?		X	
17. have a substantial effect on a mineral resource?		X	
18. have a substantial effect on traffic patterns and amount of traffic?		X	
19. have a substantial effect on energy demands?		X	
20. require major modification or expansion of public utility facilities?		X	
21. have a substantial and demonstrable negative aesthetic effect?		X	
22. breach any published national, state, or local standards relating to solid waste or litter control?		X	
23. be in conflict with adopted Stanislaus County plans or regulations?		X	
24. have impacts which achieve short-term, to the disadvantage of long-term, environmental goals?		X	
25. have impacts which are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable?		X	
26. have environmental effects that will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?		X	
27. have impacts on local school districts?		X	
28. affect an existing or planned recreational area?	X		It will enhance it.