

MINUTE ITEM
This Calendar Item No. C11
was approved as Minute Item
No. 11 by the State Lands
Commission by a vote of 2
to 0 at its 6/30/88
meeting.

CALENDAR ITEM

A 80

C11

06/30/88

S 37

1552 PRC 1552
Smith

AMENDMENT OF LEASE 1552
TO INCLUDE A NEW 20-INCH PIPELINE

APPLICANT: Southern Pacific Pipe Lines, Inc.
888 South Figueroa Street
Los Angeles, California 90017

AREA, TYPE LAND AND LOCATION:
A 0.92+-acre parcel of sovereign land in the
old bed of the Colorado River, near Yuma, in
Imperial County.

LAND USE: Installation, use, and maintenance of a 20-inch
diameter pipeline and continued maintenance of
an existing 12-inch diameter pipeline.

TERMS OF ORIGINAL LEASE:
Initial period: 49 years beginning August 16,
1955.

Consideration: \$100 for entire lease term.

TERMS OF PROPOSED AMENDMENT:
Amendment effective August 16, 1985.

Public liability insurance: Combined single
limit coverage of \$1,000,000.

CONSIDERATION: \$100 per annum; with the State reserving
the right to fix a different rental on each
fifth anniversary of the lease.

BASIS FOR CONSIDERATION:
Pursuant to 2 Cal. Adm. Code 2003.

(CALENDAR ITEM NO. C 11 CONT'D)

STATUTORY AND OTHER REFERENCES:

- A. P.R.C.: Div. 6, Parts 1 and 2; Div. 13.
- B. Cal. Adm. Code: Title 2, Div. 3; Title 14, Div. 6.

AB 884: 07/04/88.

OTHER PERTINENT INFORMATION:

1. Southern Pacific Pipe Lines (SPPL), in response to anticipated shortages of refined petroleum products in 1984-85 for Phoenix-Arizona area, desired, as an interim measure, to increase the carrying capacity of its existing pipeline system between Colton, California and Phoenix, Arizona by looping certain sections of the existing 12-inch pipeline with a 20-inch pipeline within the existing right-of-way.

The 12-inch pipeline, looped by the 20-inch pipeline, will be mothballed in the ground and may be activated at a later date.

2. Pursuant to the Commission's delegation of authority and the State CEQA Guidelines (14 Cal. Adm. Code 15025), the staff has prepared a Proposed Negative Declaration identified as EIR ND 385, State Clearinghouse No. 85052912. Such Proposed Negative Declaration was prepared and circulated for public review pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.

Based upon the Initial Study, the Proposed Negative Declaration, and the comments received in response thereto, there is no substantial evidence that the project will have a significant effect on the environment. (14 Cal. Adm. Code 15074(b))

EXHIBITS:

- A. Land Description.
- B. Location Map.
- C. Negative Declaration #385

(CALENDAR ITEM NO. 11 CONT'D)

IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE COMMISSION:

1. CERTIFY THAT A NEGATIVE DECLARATION, EIR NO 385, STATE CLEARINGHOUSE NO. 85052912, WAS PREPARED FOR THIS PROJECT PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF THE CEQA AND THAT THE COMMISSION HAS REVIEWED AND CONSIDERED THE INFORMATION CONTAINED THEREIN.
2. DETERMINE THAT THE PROJECT, AS APPROVED, WILL NOT HAVE A SIGNIFICANT EFFECT ON THE ENVIRONMENT.
3. APPROVE AMENDMENT OF LEASE PRC 1552, RIGHT-OF-WAY EASEMENT, TO INCLUDE A 20-INCH DIAMETER PIPELINE IN CONSIDERATION OF ANNUAL RENT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$100 WITH THE STATE RESERVING THE RIGHT TO FIX A DIFFERENT RENTAL ON EACH FIFTH ANNIVERSARY OF THE AMENDMENT AND PROVISION OF PUBLIC LIABILITY INSURANCE FOR COMBINED SINGLE LIMIT COVERAGE OF \$1,000,000; EFFECTIVE AUGUST 16, 1985.
4. ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE LEASE SHALL REMAIN UNCHANGED FOR THE LAND DESCRIBED ON EXHIBIT "A" ATTACHED AND BY REFERENCE MADE A PART HEREOF.

EXHIBIT "A"

LAND DESCRIPTION

WP 1552

A strip of California State sovereign land 100 feet wide in Imperial County, California and in Yuma County, Arizona, said strip lying within an abandoned channel of the Colorado River and within projected Section 30, T16S, R23E, SBM; the centerline of said strip being described as follows:

BEGINNING at a point on the west line of said Section 30 lying 83.22 feet northerly of the California-Arizona State Boundary, thence easterly to a point on east line of Section 14, T8S, R23W, GSRM, said point lying 37.78 feet southerly of said State Boundary.

EXCEPTING THEREFROM any portion lying easterly of the centerline of said abandoned channel and westerly of the low water mark along the right bank of said abandoned channel.

END OF DESCRIPTION

PREPARED FEBRUARY 4, 1988 BY BIU 1.

0717b

CALENDAR PAGE	70
MINUTE PAGE	1707




EXHIBIT "B"
WP 1552



EXHIBIT C

GEORGE DEUKMEJIAN, Governor

STATE OF CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION

STATE LANDS COMMISSION
1807 13TH STREET
SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814



PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

EIR ND 385

File Ref.: W 23603

SCH#: 85052912

Project Title: Gas Pipeline Replacement

Project Proponent: Southern Pacific Pipe Lines

Project Location: Banning-Beaumont area Riverside County; Frink area (easterly of Salton Sea), and the Winterhaven area of Imperial County.

Project Description: A linear project running along and within the Southern Pacific Railroad right-of-way between Colton, Riverside County and Yuma, Arizona. The specific project will involve the replacement of three sections (each section being approximately 22 miles long) of existing gas pipeline from a 12" line to a 20" line.

Contact Person: Ted T. Fukushima

Telephone: (916)322-7813


This document is prepared pursuant to the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (Section 21000 et seq., Public Resources Code), the State CEQA Guidelines (Section 15000 et seq., Title 14, California Administrative Code), and the State Lands Commission regulations (Section 2901 et seq., Title 2, California Administrative Code).

Based upon the attached Initial Study, it has been found that:

the project will not have a significant effect on the environment.

mitigation measures included in the project will avoid potentially significant effects.

CALENDAR PAGE	72
MINUTE PAGE	1709



Southern Pacific Pipe Lines
 SYSTEM MAP

Date Filed: 1/23/85

File Ref.: VI 23 513

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT FORM - Part I

(To be completed by applicant)

FORM GD-3(11/82)

RECEIVED
STATE LANDS COMMISSION
JAN 23 1985

A. GENERAL INFORMATION

1. Name, address, and telephone number:

a. Applicant

Southern Pacific Pipe Lines
610 S. Main st. Rm. 728
Los Angeles, CA 90014
(213) 629-6689

b. Contact person if other than applicant:

Mr. J. A. Whitelaw
c/o Southern Pacific Pipe Lines
610 S. Main Los Angeles, CA 90014
(213) 629-6691

2. a. Project location: (Please reference to nearest town or community and include county)

Two sections: 1] in Beaumont - Banning area in Riverside County, and 2] in
the vicinity of the Salton Sea (Frink) in both Riverside and Imperial Counties.

An additional portion of Imperial County is crossed at the All-American Canal prior
to the entering of the Fort Yuma Indian Reservation.

b. Assessor's parcel number: Unknown, N/A

3. Existing zoning of project site: Zoning will not change; "use" to remain the same.

4. Existing land use of project site: Utility corridor with pipeline, power lines and power line access,
road; also railroad right of way.

5. Proposed use of site: Replacement of portions of an
existing refined petroleum product pipeline.

6. Other permits required: Caltrans, Bureau of Land Management, County road crossings.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

For building construction projects, complete "ATTACHMENT A".

For non-building construction projects: Describe fully, the proposed activity, its purpose and intended use, e.g. for proposed mineral prospecting permits, include the number of test holes, size of holes, amount of material to be excavated, maximum surface area of disturbance, hole locations, depth of holes, etc. Attach plan or other drawings as necessary.

CALENDAR PAGE	<u>74</u>
MINUTE PAGE	<u>1711</u>

C. ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING

1. Describe the project site as it exists before the project, including information on topography, soil stability, plants and animals, and any cultural, historical, or scenic aspects. Describe any existing structures on the site, and the use of the structures.
2. Describe the surrounding properties, including information on plants and animals and any cultural, historical, or scenic aspects. indicate the type of land use (residential, commercial, etc.), intensity of land use (one-family, apartment houses, shops, department stores, etc.), and scale of development (height, frontage, set-back, rear yard, etc.).

see attachment

D. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Answer the following questions by placing a check in the appropriate box. Discuss all items checked "yes" or "maybe". (Attach additional sheets as necessary)

Will the project involve:	YES	MAYBE	NO
1. a change in existing features of any bays, tidelands, beaches, lakes, or hills, or substantial alteration of ground contours?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
2. a change in scenic views or vistas from existing residential areas or public lands or roads?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
3. a change in pattern, scale, or character of the general area of project?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
4. a significant effect on plant or animal life?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
5. significant amounts of solid waste or litter?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
6. a change in dust, ash, smoke, fumes, or odors in the vicinity?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
7. a change in ocean, bay, lake, stream, or ground water quality or quantity, or alteration of existing drainage patterns?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
8. a change in existing noise or vibration levels in the vicinity?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
9. construction on filled land or on slope of 10 percent or more?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
10. use or disposal of potentially hazardous materials, such as toxic or radioactive substances, flammables, or explosives?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
11. a change in demand for municipal services (police, fire, water, sewage, etc.)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
12. an increase in total fuel consumption (electricity, oil, natural gas, etc.)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
13. a larger project or a series of projects?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

E. CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that the statements furnished above and in the attached exhibits present the data and information required for this initial evaluation to the best of my ability, and that the facts, statements, and information presented are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Date: 11.22.1975

Signed: J.A. Whitelaw, Manager-Special Projects

CALENDAR PAGE	75
MINUTE PAGE	1712

- C.1. Utility corridor containing pipeline, power line and power line access roads; also railroad right-of-way. Typical desert topography; sparse and often non-existent vegetation. Typical flora and fauna. No known cultural, historical, or scenic aspect, supported by archeological study carried out prior to installation of existing 12-inch line. No permanent structures in the area other than power line poles and railroad trackage.
- C.2. Refer to discussion under C.1. The overall interstate pipeline system uses, wherever possible, existing utility corridors and railroad right-of-way as is the case on the three State parcels. Surrounding properties are typical desert terrain, with almost no apparant land use, with only exception being when the route goes through the Beaumont-Banning area, in which case it occupies railroad and street right-of-way.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT CHECKLIST - PART II

File Ref.: W 23603

Form 13.20 (7/82)

I. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

- A. Applicant: Southern Pacific Pipe Lines
610 S. Main Street
Los Angeles, CA 90014
- B. Checklist Date: 5 / 31 / 85
- C. Contact Person: Ted T. Fukushima
 Telephone: (916) 322-7813
- D. Purpose: Replacement of portions of an existing refined petroleum products pipeline in order to avoid anticipated shortages in the Phoenix, Arizona area.
- E. Location: Banning-Beaumont area, Riverside County; Frink area (easterly of Salton Sea) and the Winterhaven area, Imperial County.
- F. Description: A linear project running along and within the Southern Pacific railroad right-of-way between Colton, Riverside County and Yuma, Arizona. The specific project will involve the replacement of three sections (each section being approximately 22 miles long) of existing gas pipeline from a 12" line to a 20" line.
- G. Persons Contacted: Jack Spreul - Dept. of Fish & Game

II. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS. (Explain all "yes" and "maybe" answers)

A. Earth. Will the proposal result in:

- | | Yes | Maybe | No |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1. Unstable earth conditions or changes in geologic substructures? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. Disruptions, displacements, compaction, or overcovering of the soil? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 3. Change in topography or ground surface relief features? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 4. The destruction, covering, or modification of any unique geologic or physical features? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 5. Any increase in wind or water erosion of soils, either on or off the site? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 6. Changes in deposition or erosion of beach sands, or changes in siltation, deposition or erosion which may modify the channel of a river or stream or the bed of the ocean or any bay, inlet, or lake? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 7. Exposure of all people or property to geologic hazards such as earthquakes, landslides, mudslides, ground failure, or similar hazards? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

CALENDAR PAGE 79
 MINUTE PAGE 121

Yes Maybe No

B. *Air*. Will the proposal result in:

- 1. Substantial air emissions or deterioration of ambient air quality?
- 2. The creation of objectionable odors?
- 3. Alteration of air movement, moisture or temperature, or any change in climate, either locally or regionally?

C. *Water*. Will the proposal result in:

- 1. Changes in the currents, or the course or direction of water movements, in either marine or fresh waters?
- 2. Changes in absorption rates, drainage patterns, or the rate and amount of surface water runoff?
- 3. Alterations to the course or flow of flood waters?
- 4. Change in the amount of surface water in any water body?
- 5. Discharge into surface waters, or in any alteration of surface water quality, including but not limited to temperature, dissolved oxygen or turbidity?
- 6. Alteration of the direction or rate of flow of ground waters?
- 7. Change in the quantity of ground waters, either through direct additions or withdrawals, or through interception of an aquifer by cuts or excavations?
- 8. Substantial reduction in the amount of water otherwise available for public water supplies?
- 9. Exposure of people or property to water-related hazards such as flooding or tidal waves?
- 10. Significant changes in the temperature, flow or chemical content of surface thermal springs?

D. *Plant Life*. Will the proposal result in:

- 1. Change in the diversity of species, or number of any species of plants (including trees, shrubs, grass, crops, and aquatic plants)?
- 2. Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species of plants?
- 3. Introduction of new species of plants into an area, or in a barrier to the normal replenishment of existing species?
- 4. Reduction in acreage of any agricultural crop?

E. *Animal Life*. Will the proposal result in:

- 1. Change in the diversity of species, or numbers of any species of animals (birds, land animals including reptiles, fish and shellfish, benthic organisms, or insects)?
- 2. Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species of animals?
- 3. Introduction of new species of animals into an area, or result in a barrier to the migration or movement of animals?
- 4. Deterioration to existing fish or wildlife habitat?

F. *Noise*. Will the proposal result in:

- 1. Increase in existing noise levels?
- 2. Exposure of people to severe noise levels?

G. *Light and Glare*. Will the proposal result in:

- 1. The production of new light or glare?

H. *Land Use*. Will the proposal result in:

- 1. A substantial alteration of the present or planned land use of an area?

I. *Natural Resources*. Will the proposal result in:

- 1. Increase in the rate of use of any natural resources?
- 2. Substantial depletion of any nonrenewable resources?

CALENDAR PAGE _____
 MINUTE PAGE _____

CALENDAR PAGE 78
 MINUTE PAGE 1715

- J. *Risk of Upset.* Does the proposal result in:
- | | Yes | Maybe | No |
|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1. A risk of an explosion or the release of hazardous substances (including, but not limited to, oil, pesticides, chemicals, or radiation) in the event of an accident or upset conditions? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. Possible interference with emergency response plan or an emergency evacuation plan? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
- K. *Population.* Will the proposal result in:
- | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1. The alteration, distribution, density, or growth rate of the human population of the area? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
- L. *Housing.* Will the proposal result in:
- | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1. Affecting existing housing, or create a demand for additional housing? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
- M. *Transportation/Circulation.* Will the proposal result in:
- | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1. Generation of substantial additional vehicular movement? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. Affecting existing parking facilities, or create a demand for new parking? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 3. Substantial impact upon existing transportation systems? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 4. Alterations to present patterns of circulation or movement of people and/or goods? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 5. Alterations to waterborne, rail, or air traffic? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 6. Increase in traffic hazards to motor vehicles, bicyclists, or pedestrians? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
- N. *Public Services.* Will the proposal have an effect upon, or result in a need for new or altered governmental services in any of the following areas:
- | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1. Fire protection? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. Police protection? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 3. Schools? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 4. Parks and other recreational facilities? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 5. Maintenance of public facilities, including roads? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 6. Other governmental services? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
- O. *Energy.* Will the proposal result in:
- | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1. Use of substantial amounts of fuel or energy? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. Substantial increase in demand upon existing sources of energy, or require the development of new sources? .. | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
- P. *Utilities.* Will the proposal result in a need for new systems, or substantial alterations to the following utilities:
- | | | | |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1. Power or natural gas? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. Communication systems? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 3. Water? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 4. Sewer or septic tanks? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 5. Storm water drainage? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 6. Solid waste and disposal? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
- Q. *Human Health.* Will the proposal result in:
- | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1. Creation of any health hazard or potential health hazard (excluding mental health)? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. Exposure of people to potential health hazards? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
- R. *Aesthetics.* Will the proposal result in:
- | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1. The obstruction of any scenic vista or view open to the public, or will the proposal result in the creation of an aesthetically offensive site open to public view? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
- S. *Recreation.* Will the proposal result in:
- | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1. An impact upon the quality or quantity of existing recreational opportunities? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|

CALENDAR PAGE	79	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
MINUTE PAGE	1716	

T. *Cultural Resources.*

- | | Yes | Maybe | No |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1. Will the proposal result in the alteration of or the destruction of a prehistoric or historic archeological site? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. Will the proposal result in adverse physical or aesthetic effects to a prehistoric or historic building, structure, or object? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 3. Does the proposal have the potential to cause a physical change which would affect unique ethnic cultural values? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 4. Will the proposal restrict existing religious or sacred uses within the potential impact area? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

U. *Mandatory Findings of Significance.*

- | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1. Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. Does the project have the potential to achieve short-term, to the disadvantage of long-term, environmental goals? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 3. Does the project have impacts which are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 4. Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

III. DISCUSSION OF ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION (See Comments Attached)

IV. PRELIMINARY DETERMINATION

On the basis of this initial evaluation:

- I find the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because the mitigation measures described on an attached sheet have been added to the project. A NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- I find the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.

Date: 5 / 31 / 85
CALENDAR PAGE _____
MINUTE PAGE _____

For the State Lands Commission - Ted T. Fukushima
 CALENDAR PAGE 88
 MINUTE PAGE 177

Part II - Explanations to "yes" and "maybe" answers

II.A. 2 and 3

Temporarily during construction only. The pipeline is to be installed in an open cut trench and backfilled with native soil material. Any land temporarily disturbed by these activities will be restored to its original condition and contour.

II.C. 2 and 3

Temporarily during construction and then only if rain falls during construction. All drainage is by typical desert country dry washes. Once restored to original condition and contour, no changes or alterations to pre-existing conditions will be present.

II.D.1.

Again, only temporarily during construction. Due to sparse (non-existent in many areas) vegetation along much of the route, even temporary effects will be slight. Any land temporarily disturbed by construction activities will be restored to its original condition and contour. Refer to answers for C.2 and 3 and D.1.

II.E.1.

II.J.1.

A risk of a release only, of refined petroleum products. The line will have cathodic protection to prevent corrosion of the line, and the internal diameter of the line will be monitored for wear and/or corrosion. The location of the entire line will be marked with surface markers so that any construction in the area will know of the pipeline's location. The replacement pipe will be designed, constructed, operated and maintained in strict accordance with all requirements of Part 195 of Title 49 of the United States Code of Federal Regulations, "Transportation of Hazardous Liquids by Pipeline".

II.U.1.

Refer to answers for C.2 and 3 and D.1. Any potential effects would be of a temporary, short term nature, with restoration returning the land to pre-existing conditions. The project should not cause any fish or wildlife species to drop below self-sustaining levels, should not threaten to eliminate any plant or animal assemblages, and should not reduce the number or restrict the range of rare or endangered plants or animals. Important examples of major periods of California history or prehistory will not be eliminated.