

**MINUTE ITEM**

This Calendar Item No. C21  
was approved as Minute Item  
No. 21 by the State Lands  
Commission by a vote of 3  
to 0 at its 2/4/89

Meeting

A 10

S 7

**CALENDAR ITEM**

**C21**

02/06/89

W 24212 PRC 7272

Martinez

**DREDGING PERMIT**

**APPLICANT:** Angeline L. Fredericks  
P. O. Box 400  
Antioch, CA 94509

**AGENT:** Leslie Fulton  
Fulton Shipyard, Inc.  
P. O. Box 400  
Antioch, CA 94509

**AREA, TYPE LAND AND LOCATION:**  
Tide and submerged lands in the San Joaquin  
River near Antioch, Contra Costa County.

**LAND USE:** Dredge a maximum 5,500 cubic yards of material  
for the purpose of removing the piling of a  
deteriorated pier. The material will be  
stockpiled on the adjacent upland owned by the  
applicant. Upon approval from the SLC Land  
Management Section, the material will be used  
as fill material for the construction of  
retention levees at the site.

**TERMS OF THE PROPOSED PERMIT:**

**Initial Period:** One year commencing  
February 1, 1989.

**Royalty:** \$0.25 per cubic yard for  
material disposed of as  
approved.

**STATUTORY AND OTHER REFERENCE:**

- A. P.R.C.: Div. 6, Parts 1 and 2; Div. 13.
- B. C.A.C.: Title 2, Div. 3; Title 14; Div. 6.

**AB 884:** 04/16/89.

(ADDED 02/03/89)

-1-

CALENDAR PAGE	113
MINUTE PAGE	134

CALENDAR ITEM NO. C21 (CONT'D)

OTHER PERTINENT INFORMATION:

1. This activity involves lands identified as possessing significant environmental values pursuant to P.R.C. 6370, et. seq. Based upon the staffs consultation with the persons nominating such lands and through the CEQA review process, it is staff's opinion that the project as proposed is consistent with its use classification.
2. A Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) was prepared and adopted for this project by the United States Army, Corps of Engineers. The document was circulated for public review as broadly as State and local law may require and notice was given meeting the standards in 14 Cal. Code Regs. 15072(a). Therefore, pursuant to 14 Cal. Code Regs. 15225, the staff recommends the use of the Federal FONSI in place of the Negative Declaration.
3. The applicant has submitted an application to LMS requesting authorization for the reconstruction segments of the project. The application is being processed and LMS staff anticipates bringing the project to the Commission for consideration in the near future. The applicant has also been cited by OSHA for the disrepair of the pier. Therefore, immediate removal of the structure is warranted.
4. The City of Antioch issued Grading Permit No. 5-33 to the applicant on 11/23/88.

APPROVALS OBTAINED:

United States Army Corps of Engineers, Dept. of Fish & Game, Regional Water Quality Control Board, City of Antioch.

EXHIBITS:

- A. Vicinity and Site Map.
- B. Dredging Permit.
- C. City of Antioch Grading Permit.
- D. FONSI.

(ADDED 02/03/89)

-2-

CALENDAR PAGE	<u>113.1</u>
MINUTE PAGE	<u>135</u>

CALENDAR ITEM NO. 021 (CONT'D)

IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE COMMISSION:

1. FIND THAT THE FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT PREPARED AND ADOPTED FOR THIS PROJECT BY THE UNITED STATES ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS MEETS THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE CEQA AND ADOPT SUCH FEDERAL DOCUMENT FOR USE IN PLACE OF A NEGATIVE DECLARATION PURSUANT TO 14 CAL. CODE REGS. 15225.
2. DETERMINE THAT THE PROJECT AS APPROVED, WILL NOT HAVE A SIGNIFICANT EFFECT ON THE ENVIRONMENT.
3. FIND THAT THIS ACTIVITY WILL INVOLVE LANDS IDENTIFIED AS POSSESSING SIGNIFICANT ENVIRONMENTAL VALUES PURSUANT TO P.R.C. 6370, SEQ. BUT THAT SUCH ACTIVITY WILL HAVE NO DIRECT OR INDIRECT EFFECT ON SUCH LANDS.
4. AUTHORIZE STAFF TO ISSUE TO ANGELINE L. FREDERICKS THE DREDGING PERMIT, ATTACHED AS EXHIBIT "B". SAID PERMIT SHALL ALLOW DREDGING A MAXIMUM 5,500 CUBIC YARDS OF MATERIAL FROM THE SAN JOAQUIN RIVER NEAR ANTIOCH, CONTRA COSTA COUNTY FOR ONE YEAR BEGINNING FEBRUARY 1, 1989. THE SPOILS WILL BE DISPOSED OF AT THE ADJACENT UPLAND. A ROYALTY OF \$0.25 PER CUBIC YARD SHALL BE CHARGED FOR MATERIAL PLACED AT THE APPROVED UPLAND SITE. SUCH PERMITTED ACTIVITY IS CONTINGENT UPON THE APPLICANT'S COMPLIANCE WITH APPLICABLE PERMITS, RECOMMENDATIONS OR LIMITATIONS ISSUED BY FEDERAL, STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT AGENCIES.

(ADDED 02/03/89)

-3-

CALENDAR PAGE	113.2
MINUTE PAGE	136





EXHIBIT "A"  
APPLICATION FOR  
DREDGING PERMIT  
ANGELINE L. FREDERICKS  
W24212  
SAN JOAQUIN RIVER  
NEAR ANTIOCH  
CONTRA COSTA COUNTY



R.C. 1/89



CALENDAR PAGE	113.3
MINUTE PAGE	137

## STATE LANDS COMMISSION

1807 13TH STREET  
SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814

February 1, 1989

File Ref.: W 24212

Angeline L. Fredericks  
P. O. Box 400  
Antioch CA 94509

Dear Ms. Fredericks:

Pursuant to your request and by the authorization of the State Lands Commission in February, 1989 you are hereby granted permission to dredge, during the term of the permit a maximum 5,500 cubic yards of sand, silt, clay and gravel, excluding all other minerals, including but not limited to oil, gas and geothermal from an area of tide and submerged lands in the San Joaquin River near Antioch, Contra Costa County as designated in Exhibit "A" attached hereto, which is by this reference expressly made a part hereof. Said permission includes the right to dispose of the material at an adjacent approved upland site.

A royalty of \$0.25 per cubic yard shall be paid for material removed and disposed of as approved. Said permission is given on the condition that all dredging and spoils deposition shall be done in accordance with all applicable federal, state and local government laws, rules and regulations. Said permission shall be effective from February 1, 1989 through January 31, 1990.

It is hereby agreed that the operations authorized under this permit shall be performed with diligence, in a good and workmanlike manner, and with the use of due care and safety precautions.

It is further agreed that you shall submit copies of reports or contracts with the dredging operator substantiating the volume of materials dredged and any royalties due to the Commission on a quarterly basis, on forms supplied by the Commission (Form 30.9NC). It is agreed that you shall submit said forms on or before the fifteenth (15th) day of the month following the end of each permit quarter, together with payment for the royalty due on the volume removed during that quarter. The first permit quarter shall be the first three months following the effective date of this permit, and every three-month period thereafter shall be a permit quarter.

It is hereby agreed that, pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 6224, any installments of royalty accruing under the provisions of this permit that are not paid when due shall be subject to a five percent (5%) penalty and shall bear interest at the rate of one and one-half percent (1½%) per month from the date when the same was payable by the terms hereof.

It is agreed that you shall furnish the Commission with copies of final surveys or copies of any other computations used as a basis to verify dredge volumes within twenty-five (25) days of completion of the activity authorized hereunder.

It is agreed that you shall indemnify, save harmless and at the option of the State of California, defend said State, its officers, agents and employees, against any and all claims, demands, causes of action, or liability of any kind which may be asserted against or imposed upon the State of California or any of its officers, agents or employees by any third person or entity, arising out of or connected with the issuance of this permit, operations hereunder, or the use by you or your agents, employees or contractors, of the above-described lands.

Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, such indemnification shall include any claim, demand, cause of action or liability of any kind asserted against or impounded upon the State of California or any of its officers, agents or employees, arising out of or connected with any alleged or actual violation by you, your agents, employees or contractors of the property or contractual rights of any third persons or entity. It is agreed that you shall, at the option of the Commission, procure and maintain liability insurance for the benefit of the State in an amount satisfactory to the Commission.

You agree to comply with the terms and conditions hereof, and you further agree that any violation thereof shall constitute grounds for termination of this permit and shall allow the Commission to pursue any other remedy available to it under the law. It is further agreed that this permit may be suspended, modified or terminated, whenever the State Lands Commission deems such action to be in the best interests of the State, and that no such action by the Commission shall be deemed to be a basis for any claim or cause of action for damages against the State or any officer, employee or agency thereof.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
STATE LANDS COMMISSION

W. M. THOMPSON, Chief  
Extractive Development Program

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

ACCEPTED:

By: \_\_\_\_\_, Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

CALENDAR PAGE	113.5
MINUTE PAGE	139





EXHIBIT "A"  
 APPLICATION FOR  
 DREDGING PERMIT  
 ANGELINE L. FREDERICKS  
 FULTON SHIPYARD INC.  
 W24212  
 SAN JOAQUIN RIVER  
 NEAR ANTIOCH  
 CONTRA COSTA COUNTY



R.C. 1/89



CALENDAR PAGE	113.6
MINUTE PAGE	140

CITY OF ANTIOCH  
CALIFORNIA

GRADING  
APPLICATION AND PERMIT

Permit No. 5-88

Date 11-21-88

Location of Work FULTON SHIRYARD

Owner's Name LES FULTON

Owner's Address FULTON SHIRYARD RD. Phone \_\_\_\_\_

Contractor's Name OWNER State License No. \_\_\_\_\_

Contractor's Address \_\_\_\_\_ Phone \_\_\_\_\_

Engineer's Name \_\_\_\_\_ State Reg'n No. \_\_\_\_\_

Engineer's Address \_\_\_\_\_ Phone \_\_\_\_\_

TYPE & QUANTITY OF WORK:

\_\_\_\_\_ Cu. Yds. Excavation 20,000 Cu. Yds. Fill

ALREADY COME PERMIT AND FISH & GAME PERMIT ALREADY RECEIVED.

<p>PLANNING COMMISSION</p> <p>Land Use District _____</p> <p>_____</p> <p>Land Use Permit Required _____</p>	<p>OTHER DEPARTMENT APPROVAL</p> <p>Planning <u>RON</u> Date <u>11/21/88</u></p> <p>Engineering <u>GENE</u> Date <u>11/24/88</u></p> <p>Flood Control _____ Date _____</p> <p>Other _____ Date _____</p>
--	--

I hereby certify that I am the applicant for this grading permit, and that in the performance of the work for which such permit is issued, I will not employ any person or persons in any manner so as to be in violation of the provisions of the Labor Code of the State of California relating to Workmen's Compensation Insurance.

Les Fulton  
Signature of Applicant

CITY OF ANTIOCH, CALIFORNIA  
CHIEF BUILDING INSPECTOR

By [Signature]

Date 11-23-88

INSPECTIONS

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Final Inspection: \_\_\_\_\_

FEE \$275.00

CALENDAR PAGE 113

MINUTE PAGE 141

NOTE: Permit shall expire by limitation and become null and void if the work authorized is not commenced within 60 days from the date issued, or if the work authorized is suspended or abandoned at any time after the work is

Location of Work Fulton Shipyards  
 Owner's Name LES FULTON  
 Owner's Address FULTON SHIPYARDS RD. Phone \_\_\_\_\_  
 Contractor's Name OWNER State License No. \_\_\_\_\_  
 Contractor's Address \_\_\_\_\_ Phone \_\_\_\_\_  
 Engineer's Name \_\_\_\_\_ State Reg'n No. \_\_\_\_\_  
 Engineer's Address \_\_\_\_\_ Phone \_\_\_\_\_

TYPE & QUANTITY OF WORK:

\_\_\_\_\_ Cu. Yds. Excavation 200 30,000 Cu. Yds. Fill  
ARMY CORP PERMIT AND FISH & GAME PERMIT ALREADY RECEIVED.

PLANNING COMMISSION	OTHER DEPARTMENT APPROVAL
Land Use District _____	Planning <u>RON</u> Date <u>11/21/88</u>
_____	Engineering <u>Steve</u> Date <u>11/24/88</u>
Land Use Permit Required _____	Flood Control _____ Date _____
_____	Other _____ Date _____

I hereby certify that I am the applicant for this grading permit, and that in the performance of the work for which such permit is issued, I will not employ any person or persons in any manner so as to be in violation of the provisions of the Labor Code of the State of California relating to Workmen's Compensation Insurance.

Leslie Fulton  
Signature of Applicant

CITY OF ANTIOCH, CALIFORNIA  
CHIEF BUILDING INSPECTOR

By [Signature]  
Date 11-23-88

INSPECTIONS

\_\_\_\_\_

Final inspection: \_\_\_\_\_

FEE \$275.00

CALENDAR PAGE	<u>113.8</u>
MINUTE PAGE	<u>142</u>

NOTE: Permit shall expire by limitation and become null and void if the work authorized is not commenced within 60 days from the date issued, or if the work authorized is suspended or abandoned at any time after the work is

"D"

W24212

Department of the Army Permit Evaluation  
and Decision Document

Applicant: Fulton Shipyard  
Box 400  
Antioch, CA 94509

Application No: 9963

This document constitutes my Environmental Assessment, Statement of Findings and review and compliance determination according to the 404(b)(1) guidelines for the proposed work (applicant's preferred alternative) described in the attached public notice.

I. Proposed Project. The location and description of work are described in the attached public notice. The applicant has volunteered to relocate candidate species, Lilaeopsis masonii, which has been found at the site, and is in process of doing so.

II. Environmental and Public Interest Factors Considered.

A. Purpose and need: To provide a safer work area to repair vessels; to reduce chances for oil spills into the river; and to eliminate potential of fire.

B. Alternatives (33 CFR 320.4(b)(4), 40 CFR 230.10):

(1) No action: Would result if no Department of the Army permit is issued. There would be continued chances of oil spills from repair work.

(2) Other project designs (smaller, larger, different etc.)(also discuss appropriate mitigation measures for these designs.): No other project designs have been proposed.

(3) Other sites available to the applicant (40 CFR 230.10): None available.

(4) Other sites not available to the applicant: None. The site to the east is a reserve and the adjacent westerly site is utilized

(5) Corps' selected alternative: The Corps prefers to issue the permit with appropriate conditions to mitigate any environmental damage.

CALENDAR PAGE	113.9
MINUTE PAGE	143

C. Physical/chemical characteristics and anticipated changes (check applicable blocks and provide concise description of impacts for proposed action and alternatives):

- Substrate
- Currents, circulation or drainage patterns: Removal of the portion of the existing pier will enhance water flows.
- Suspended particulates; turbidity: There will be temporary turbidity problems during dredging operations to remove broken piers and debris.
- Water quality (temperature, salinity patterns and other parameters)
- Flood control functions
- Storm, wave and erosion buffers
- Erosion and accretion patterns: The project includes riprap of the sides of the fill area and an existing sheet pile bulkhead which will retain the fill in place.
- Aquifer recharge
- Baseflow

Additionally, for projects involving the discharge of dredged material;

- Mixing zone, in light of the depth of water at the disposal site; current velocity, direction and variability at the disposal site; degree of turbulence; water column stratification; discharge vessel speed and direction; rate of discharge; dredged material characteristics; number of discharges per unit of time; and any other relevant factors affecting rates and patterns of mixing.

D. Biological characteristics and anticipated changes (check applicable blocks and provide concise description of impacts for proposed action and alternatives).

- ( ) Special aquatic sites (wetlands, mudflats, coral reefs, pool and riffle areas, vegetated shallows, sanctuaries and refuges, as defined in 40 CFR 230.40-45)
- ( ) Habitat for fish and other aquatic organisms
- ( ) Wildlife habitat (breeding, cover, food, travel, general)
- (X) Endangered or threatened species: There is a candidate species - *Lilaeopsis masonii* at the site. The applicant is in the process of removing and replanting the plants.
- (X) Biological availability of possible contaminants in dredged or fill material, considering hydrography in relation to known or anticipated sources of contaminants; results of previous testing of material from the vicinity of the project; known significant sources of persistent pesticides from land runoff or percolation; spill records for petroleum products or designated (Section 311 of the CWA) hazardous substances; other public records of significant introduction of contaminants from industries, municipalities or other sources: No contaminants are known to exist in the soil to be used for filling the existing channel.

E. Human use characteristics and impacts (check applicable blocks and provide concise description of impacts for proposed action and alternatives):

- ( ) Existing and potential water supplies; water conservation
- ( ) Recreational or commercial fisheries
- ( ) Other water related recreation
- (X) Aesthetics of the aquatic ecosystem: The proposed fill will return the area to its pre-existing alignment.
- (X) Parks, national and historic monuments, national seashores, wild and scenic rivers, wilderness areas, research sites, etc.: The Antioch Dunes National Wildlife Refuge is on the easterly side of the Fulton Property. The proposed work will not be any closer than 500 feet from the fence line bordering this refuge.

- ( ) Traffic/transportation patterns
- ( ) Energy consumption or generation
- ( ) Navigation
- (X) Safety: The project will bring the facility into compliance with Fire District Regulations, Occupational Safety and Health Regulations and Workmen's Compensation and Liability Insurance Regulations.
- ( ) Air quality
- ( ) Noise
- ( ) Historic properties (Section 301(5) National Historic Preservation Act)
- ( ) Land use classification
- ( ) Economics
- ( ) Prime and unique farmland (7 CFR Part 658)
- ( ) Food and fiber production
- (X) General water quality: The project should not affect water quality if the work is done in accordance with approved water quality control plans.
- ( ) Mineral needs

( ) Consideration of private property

( ) Other

F. Summary of secondary and cumulative effects of proposed action and alternatives: The project will remove a portion of open water. This loss will be compensated by alleviating chances of oil and paint spills into the water, therefore protecting the water quality.

### III. Findings:

#### A. Other authorizations:

##### (1) Water quality certification:

Date: 10/17/88 Issued \_\_\_ Denied \_\_\_ Waived X

Special Conditions Yes: \_\_\_ No X (If yes see attached)

(2) State and/or local authorizations (if issued): State of California, Department of Fish and Game Streambed Alteration Agreement issued August 8, 1988.

B. A complete application was received on 8/10/88. A public notice describing the project was issued on 8/19/88, and sent to all interested parties (mailing list) including appropriate state and Federal agencies. All comments received on this action have been reviewed and are summarized below.

##### (1) Summary of comments received.

###### (a) Federal agencies:

i) U.S. Environmental Protection Agency: No response.

ii) U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service: Will take "no action" but recommended initiation of informal consultation to determine if any listed endangered or candidate species would be affected.

iii) National Marine Fisheries Service: Recommended that permit be denied unless issues of habitat loss are resolved.

iv) Other: The U.S. Coast Guard has no objections but requested notification prior to any work that will affect navigation.

(b) State and local agencies: Resources agencies had no objections but said a State Lands lease is required.

(c) Organizations: California Archaeological Inventory advised that the cultural resource site, CA-CCO-441, is adjacent to the site.

(d) Individuals

(2) Evaluation: I have reviewed and evaluated, in light of the overall public interest, the documents and factors concerning this permit application as well as the stated views of other interested agencies and the concerned public. In doing so, I have considered the possible consequences of this proposed work in accordance with regulations published in 33 CFR Part 320 to 330 and 40 CFR Part 230. The following paragraphs include my evaluation of comments received and how the project complies with the above cited regulations.

(a) Consideration of comments:

(i) As recommended by U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, we studied the area and found neither of the candidate species, Suisun aster (Aster chilensis var. lentus) or delta tule-pea (Lathyrus jepsonii subsp. jepsonii). A few sprigs of Mason's lilaeopsis (Lilaeopsis masonii) were found. The applicant has volunteered to relocate the plants to outside of the project area. This is being done under our supervision. The project will not affect the Antioch Dunes evening-primrose (Oenothera deltoides subsp. howellii) which has persisted along the fence that separates the Fulton Shipyard from the Antioch Dunes National Wildlife Refuge because the work site is at the other side of the property.

(ii) In response to National Marine Fisheries Service concerns, the applicant has reduced the area to be filled to the minimum required to effect the purpose of the work. Removal of the portion of the pier will enhance water flows and fish habitat will be protected from oil or paint spills. The use of the waterfront area will be reduced 50% because ships will be anchored parallel to the work area rather than perpendicular.

(iii) California Archaeological Inventory's concern regarding cultural resource site CA-CCO-44 was found to be not a matter of concern. They are submitting a new letter stating that the archeological site is not on the property containing the proposed work and will not be impacted by the proposed work.

(b) Evaluation of Compliance with 404(b)(1) guidelines (restrictions on discharge, 40 CFR 230.10). (A check in a block denoted by an asterisk indicates that the project does not comply with the guidelines.)

i. Alternatives test:

\*  
YES      X  
                 NO

1) Based on the discussion in II B, are there available, practicable alternatives having less adverse impact on the aquatic ecosystem and without other significant adverse environmental consequences that do not involve discharges into "waters of the United States" or at other locations within these waters?

X  
YES      NO

2) Based on II B, if the project is in a special aquatic site and is not water-dependent, has the applicant clearly demonstrated that there are no practicable alternative sites available?

ii. Special restrictions. Will the discharge:

\*  
YES      X  
                 NO

1) Violate state water quality standards?

YES      X  
                 NO

2) Violate toxic effluent standards (under Section 307 of the Act)?

\*  
YES      X  
                 NO

3) Jeopardize endangered or threatened species or their critical habitat?

\*  
YES      X  
                 NO

4) Violate standards set by the Department of Commerce to protect marine sanctuaries?

YES      NO

5) Evaluation of the information in II C and D above indicates that the proposed discharge material meets testing exclusion criteria for the following reason(s).

(X) Based on the preceding information, the material is not a carrier of contaminants.

( ) The levels of contaminants are substantially similar at the extraction and disposal sites and the discharge is not likely to result in degradation of the disposal site and pollutants will not be transported to less contaminated areas.

CALENDAR PAGE	113.15
MINUTE PAGE	149

( ) Acceptable constraints are available and will be implemented to reduce contamination to acceptable levels within the disposal site and prevent contaminants from being transported beyond the boundaries of the disposal site.

iii. Other restrictions. Will the discharge contribute to significant degradation of "waters of the U.S." through adverse impacts to:

\*  
YES      X  
                  NO  
1) Human health or welfare, through pollution of municipal water supplies, fish, shellfish, wildlife and special aquatic sites?

\*  
YES      X  
                  NO  
2) Life stages of aquatic life and other wildlife?

\*  
YES      X  
                  NO  
3) Diversity, productivity and stability of the aquatic ecosystem, such as loss of fish or wildlife habitat, or loss of the capacity of wetland to assimilate nutrients, purify water or reduce wave energy?

\*  
YES      X  
                  NO  
4) Recreational, aesthetic and economic values?

\*  
X      NO  
YES      NO  
iv. Actions to minimize potential adverse impacts (mitigation). Will all appropriate and practicable steps (40 CFR 230.70-77) be taken to minimize the potential adverse impacts of the discharge on the aquatic ecosystem?

(c) General Evaluation (33 CFR 320.4(a)):

(i) The relative extent of the public and private need for the proposed work: The proposed work will provide a safer work area to repair vessels and will protect the water quality by reducing chances for spills into the river.

(ii) The practicability of using reasonable alternative locations and methods to accomplish the objective of the proposed structure or work: No alternative location or method will accomplish the objective of the proposed work.

(iii) The extent and permanence of the beneficial and/or detrimental effects that the proposed structures or work may have on the public and private uses to which the area is suited: The completed work will be permanent and will have a beneficial impact on water quality.

(d) Significant national issues of overriding importance to state or local issues and why: None.

(3) Determination:

(a) Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) (33 CFR Part 325). Having reviewed the information provided by the applicant, all interested parties and the assessment of environmental impacts contained in Part II B of this document, I find that this permit action will not have a significant impact on the quality of the human environment. Therefore, an Environmental Impact Statement will not be required.

(b) 404 (b)(1) Compliance/Non-compliance Review (40 CFR 230.12).

(X) The discharge complies with the guidelines.

( ) The discharge complies with the guidelines, with the inclusion of the appropriate and practicable conditions listed above (in Paragraph I and III.B.2.b.iv) to minimize pollution or adverse effects to the affected ecosystem.

- ( ) The discharge fails to comply with the requirements of these guidelines because
- ( ) There is a practicable alternative to the proposed discharge that would have less adverse effect on the aquatic ecosystem and that alternative does not have other significant adverse environmental consequences.
- ( ) The proposed discharge will result in significant degradation of the aquatic ecosystem under 40 CFR 230.10(b) or (c).
- ( ) The discharge does not include all appropriate and practicable measures to minimize potential harm to the aquatic ecosystem, namely...
- ( ) There is not sufficient information to make a reasonable judgement as to whether the proposed discharge will comply with the guidelines.

(c) Public interest determination: I find that issuance of a Department of the Army permit with special conditions, as prescribed by regulations published in 33 CFR Parts 320 to 330, and 40 CFR Part 230 is not contrary to the public interest:

Date: 10/19/88

Phillip W. Peters  
Preparer


Date: 11/2/88

\_\_\_\_\_  
Reviewer

Date: 11/3/88

Art Champ  
Approving Official  
Art Champ  
Chief, Regulatory Section

# NOT A PERMIT



FULTON SHIPYARD, INC.			
NO	DATE	REVISION	SIGN
1	8-29-55	Added SHT No.	CKP

**VICINITY MAP**  
**SHEET 1 OF 3**

DWN 7-2-55	Letts.	SCALE	DWG
CKP		1" = 200'	

CALENDAR PAGE -- 113.19  
MINUTE PAGE -- 153

NO 9963

# NOT A PERMIT

CITY OF ANTIOCH  
BOAT RAMP


PUMP

350 TON MARINE RAILWAY

SAN JOAQUIN RIVER  
E88 ← E1000

LEGEND:

	RETENTION LEVEL
	PROPOSED FILL AREA
	TO BE REMOVED




NO	DATE	REVISION	SIGN

OWN  
FULTON SHIPYARD, INC.  
PLAN VIEW  
SHEET 2 OF 3  
SCALE  
DWG

CALENDAR PAGE	113.20
MINUTE PAGE	154

# NOT A PERMIT



FULTON SHIPYARD, INC.			
NO	DATE	REVISION	SIGN
1	8-5-88	Misc.	Les/c.
DWN 7-5-88		Les/c.	SCALE
CKP			1"=20'
			DWG

ELEVATION SHEET 3 OF 3

CALENDAR PAGE	113.21
MINUTE PAGE	155