

MINUTE ITEM
This Calendar Item No. 17
was approved as Minute Item
No. 17 by the State Lands
Commission by a vote of 3
to 0 at its 9/27/89
meeting.

CALENDAR ITEM

A 7
S 1

17

09/27/89
W 24144 PRC 7346
J. Ludlow

APPROVAL OF A RECREATIONAL PIER PERMIT

APPLICANT: Charlotte M. Angell and
Robert W. Angell, Trustees
17 La Fond Lane
Orinda, California, 94563

AREA, TYPE LAND AND LOCATION:
A parcel of submerged land in Lake Tahoe near
Cedar Point, Placer County.

LAND USE: Repair of decking and boathouse for an existing
pier, extension of the existing pier and
retention of two mooring buoys.

TERMS OF PROPOSED PERMIT:
Initial period: Five-years beginning
September 27, 1989.

CONSIDERATION. Rent-free, pursuant to Section 6503.5 of the
P.R.C.

APPLICANT STATUS:
Applicant is owner of upland.

PREREQUISITE CONDITIONS, FEES AND EXPENSES:
Filing fee and processing costs have been
received.

CALENDAR ITEM NO. 17 (CONT'D)

STATUTORY AND OTHER REFERENCES:

- A. P.R.C.: Div. 6, Parts 1 and 2; Div. 13.
- B. Cal. Code Regs.: Title 2, Div. 3;
Title 14, Div. 6.

AB 884: 12/21/89.

OTHER PERTINENT INFORMATION:

1. Pursuant to the Commission's delegation of authority and the State CEQA Guidelines (14 Cal. Code Regs. 15025), the staff has prepared a Proposed Negative Declaration identified as EIR ND 485, State Clearinghouse No. 89073101. Such Proposed Negative Declaration was prepared and circulated for public review pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.

Based upon the Initial Study, the Proposed Negative Declaration, and the comments received in response thereto, there is no substantial evidence that the project will have a significant effect on the environment. (14 Cal. Code Regs. 15074(b))

2. The existing pier, including the boathouse with boatlift, is located landward of the 6,223-foot low water mark. The extension will continue waterward of the low water mark onto the State's land.
3. Materials will be neither stored or placed, nor will any activity associated with construction, be constructed above the low water line on the subject property. This will prevent any disturbance to what may be considered a Tahoe Yellow Cress (*Rorippa*) habitat.
4. In order to determine the other potential trust uses in the area of the proposed project, the staff contacted representatives of the following agencies: Tahoe Regional Planning Association, California Department of Fish and Game, County of Placer, and the

CALENDAR ITEM NO. 17 (CONT'D)

Tahoe Conservancy. None of these agencies expressed a concern that the proposed project would have a significant effect on trust uses in the area. The agencies did not identify any trust needs which were not being met by existing facilities in the area. Identified trust uses in this area would include swimming, boating, walking along the beach, and views of the lake.

5. This activity involves lands identified as possessing significant environmental values pursuant to P.R.C. 6370, et seq. Based upon the staff's consultation with the persons nominating such lands and through the CEQA review process, it is the staff's opinion that the project, as proposed, is consistent with its use classification.
6. All permits covering structures in Lake Tahoe will include a condition subsequent that if any structure authorized is found to be in nonconformance with the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency's shorezone ordinance, and if any alterations, repairs, or removal required pursuant to said ordinance are not accomplished within the designated time period, then the permit will be automatically terminated, effective upon notice by the State, and the site shall be cleared pursuant to the terms thereof.
7. All permits issued at Lake Tahoe include special language in which the permittee/lessee agrees to protect and replace or restore, if required, the habitat of *Rorippa subumbellata*, commonly called the Tahoe Yellow Cress, a State-listed endangered plant species.

APPROVALS OBTAINED:

Placer County, Tahoe Regional Planning Agency, California Department of Fish and Game, Lahontan Regional Water Quality Control Board, and United States Army Corps of Engineers (GPO16).

CALENDAR ITEM NO. 17 (CONT'D)

FURTHER APPROVALS REQUIRED:



None.

EXHIBITS:

- A. Land Description.
- B. Location Map.
- C. Placer County Letter of Approval.
- D. Negative Declaration.

IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE COMMISSION:

1. CERTIFY THAT A NEGATIVE DECLARATION, EIR ND 485, STATE CLEARINGHOUSE NO. 89071301, WAS PREPARED FOR THIS PROJECT PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF THE CEQA AND THAT THE COMMISSION HAS REVIEWED AND CONSIDERED THE INFORMATION CONTAINED THEREIN.
2. DETERMINE THAT THE PROJECT, AS APPROVED, WILL NOT HAVE A SIGNIFICANT EFFECT ON THE ENVIRONMENT.
3. AUTHORIZE ISSUANCE TO CHARLOTTE M. ANGELL AND ROBERT W. ANGELL, TRUSTEES, OF A FIVE-YEAR RECREATIONAL PIER PERMIT, BEGINNING SEPTEMBER 27, 1989; FOR THE USE AND MAINTENANCE OF AN EXISTING PIER, BOATHOUSE AND BOATLIFT, REPAIR OF DECKING AND BOATHOUSE ON AN EXISTING PIER, EXTENSION OF THE EXISTING PIER, AND FOR THE RETENTION OF TWO EXISTING MOORING BUOYS, ON THE LAND DESCRIBED ON EXHIBIT "A" ATTACHED AND BY REFERENCE MADE A PART HEREOF.





PLACER COUNTY

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

EXHIBIT "C"

W 24144

JACK WARREN, Director
JAN WITTER, Assistant Director
LARRY ODDO, Deputy Director
ALAN ROY, Deputy Director

OPERATING DIVISION:

- Administration
- Engineering
- Equipment Maintenance
- Road Maintenance
- Special Districts
- Surveying
- Transportation

March 3, 1989

Judy Ludlow
California State Lands Commission
1807 - 13th Street
Sacramento, CA 95814


RE: PIER - SHOREZONE CONSTRUCTION

The County of Placer has reviewed the below referenced requests for construction activities within the Shorezone of Lake Tahoe. We have no objection to the construction activities described in the below applications contingent upon approval by your office.

- | | | |
|-----|-----------------------------|------------|
| 1. | E.S. Bertagnolli | PRC 3209.9 |
| 2. | Charlotte and Robert Angell | W 24144 |
| 3. | Sweet/Avanessian | PRC 5022 |
| 4. | Wickland | PRC 3599 |
| 5. | Lyons/Hawkins | WP 5884 |
| 6. | Mein - 83-162-28 | PRC 6714 |
| 7. | Mein - 83-162-31 | W 24134 |
| 8. | McFarland | PRC 3659 |
| 9. | Gibb | WP 3652 |
| 10. | Crabtree | W 21445 |
| 11. | English | W 1124.38 |
| 12. | Wallis | PRC 4314 |
| 13. | Metas | W 24103 |
| 14. | Barrow | PRC 7167.9 |
| 15. | Nahas | PRC 4066 |
| 16. | Hickr | W 21665 |

Should you have any questions or if I can be of further assistance, please call at your convenience.

COUNTY OF PLACER
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
JACK WARREN, DIRECTOR


JAMES MCLEOD
ASSISTANT CIVIL ENGINEER

JM:ms

| | |
|---------------|------|
| CALENDAR PAGE | 173 |
| MINUTE PAGE | 3428 |

STATE LANDS COMMISSION
1807 13TH STREET
SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814



PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

EIR ND 485

File Ref.: W 24144

SCH#: 89073101

Project Title: Angell pier repair/extension

Project Proponent: Robert and Charlotte Angell

Project Location: 1260 West Lake Blvd., Sunnyside, Lake Tahoe

Project Description: Repair and 270 LF extension of an existing pier with 10.75" diameter steel piles at 15' C.C. 6" steel "H" beams, 4" x 10" wood joists at 24" c.c.

Project also includes proposed authorization of existing boathouse and two (2) mooring buoys.

Contact Person: Judy Brown

Telephone: (916) 324-4715

This document is prepared pursuant to the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (Section 21000 et seq., Public Resources Code), the State CEQA Guidelines (Section 15000 et seq., Title 14, California Code Regulations), and the State Lands Commission regulations (Section 2901 et seq., Title 2, California Code Regulations).

Based upon the attached Initial Study, it has been found that:

the project will not have a significant effect on the environment.

mitigation measures included in the project will avoid potentially significant effects.

| | |
|------------------|------|
| NUMBER OF PAGES | 174 |
| NUMBER OF SHEETS | 3129 |

OFFICE OF CALIFORNIA AND ENVIRONMENTAL EXCELLENCE TRANSMITTAL FORM

231 # 89073101

1. Project Title: Angell Pier Repair/Extension
 2. Lead Agency: State Lands Commission 3. Contact Person: Judy Brown
 Street Address: 1807 13th Street 3b. City: Sacramento
 3c. County: Sacramento 3d. Zip: 95814 3e. Phone: (916) 324-4715
 PROJECT LOCATION 4. County: Placer 4a. City/Community: Sunnyside
 4b. Assessor's Parcel No.: 83-202-05 4c. Section: 18 4d. Top: 15N Range: 17E
 5a. Cross Streets: West Lake Blvd. 5b. For Rural, Nearest Community: _____

6. Within 2 miles: a. State Hwy # 89 b. Air-ports _____ c. Rail-ways _____ d. Water-ways Lake Tahoe

7. DOCUMENT TYPE
- CIDA
 - 01. HOP 05. NCE
 - 02. Early Cons 07. NOC
 - 03. Neg Dec 08. NOD
 - 04. Draft EIR
 - 05. Supplement/ Subsequent EIR (Prior SCH No.: _____)
 - NEPA
 - 09. NOI 11. Draft EIS
 - 10. FONSI 12. EA
 - OTHER
 - 13. Joint Document
 - 14. Final Document
 - 15. Other
8. LOCAL ACTION TYPE
- 01. General Plan Update
 - 02. New Element
 - 03. General Plan Amendment
 - 04. Master Plan
 - 05. Annexation
 - 06. Specific Plan
 - 07. Community Plan
 - 08. Redevelopment
 - 09. Rezzone
 - 10. Land Division (Subdivision, Parcel Map, Tract Map, etc.)
 - 11. Use Permit
 - 12. Waste Mgmt Plan
 - 13. Cancel Ag Preserve
 - 14. Other
9. DEVELOPMENT TYPE
- 01. Residential: Units _____ Acres _____
 - 02. Office: Sq. Ft. _____ Acres _____ Employees _____
 - 03. Shopping/Commercial: Sq. Ft. _____ Acres _____ Employees _____
 - 04. Industrial: Sq. Ft. _____ Acres _____ Employees _____
 - 05. Water Facilities: MGD _____
 - 06. Transportation: Type _____
 - 07. Mining: Mineral _____
 - 08. Power: Type _____ Watts _____
 - 09. Waste Treatment: Type _____
 - 10. OCS Related
 - 11. Other: _____

10. TOTAL ACRES: _____ 11. TOTAL JOBS CREATED: _____
12. PROJECT ISSUES DISCUSSED IN DOCUMENT
- 01. Aesthetic/Visual
 - 02. Agricultural Land
 - 03. Air Quality
 - 04. Archaeological/Historical
 - 05. Coastal Zone
 - 06. Economic
 - 07. Fire Hazard
 - 08. Flooding/Drainage
 - 09. Geologic/Seismic
 - 10. Jobs/Housing Balance
 - 11. Minerals
 - 12. Noise
 - 13. Public Services
 - 14. Schools
 - 15. Septic Systems
 - 16. Sewer Capacity
 - 17. Social
 - 18. Soil Erosion
 - 19. Solid Waste
 - 20. Toxic/Hazardous
 - 21. Traffic/Circulation
 - 22. Vegetation
 - 23. Water Quality
 - 24. Water Supply
 - 25. Wetland/Riparian
 - 26. Wildlife
 - 27. Growth Inducing
 - 28. Incompatible Landuse
 - 29. Cumulative Effects
 - 30. Other
13. FUNDING (approx) Federal \$ _____ State \$ _____ Total \$ _____

14. PRESENT LAND USE AND ZONING: _____

15. PROJECT DESCRIPTION:
 Repair and 270 LF extension of an existing pier with 10.75" diameter steel piles at 15' C.C. 6" steel "H" beams, 4"x 10" wood joists at 24" C.C., 2" x 6" min. cedar deck, with catwalk. Project also includes proposed authorization of existing boathouse and two (2) mooring buoys.

ISSUANCE PAGE 175
 REVISED PAGE 3130

16. SIGNATURE OF LEAD AGENCY REPRESENTATIVE: Judy Brown DATE: 7-27-89

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT FORM --Part I

(To be completed by applicant)

FORM 69.3(11/82)

A. GENERAL INFORMATION

1. Name, address, and telephone number:

a. Applicant

Robert Angell
17 La Fond Lane
Orinda, CA 94563
(415) 254-5842

b. Contact person if other than applicant:

Kevin M. Agan - Agent
Raymond Vail & Associates
P. O. Box 879
Tahoe City, CA 95730
(916) 583-3417

2. a. Project location: (Please reference to nearest town or community and include county)

In Lake Tahoe adjacent to 1260 West Lake Blvd.
southwesterly of Tahoe City, Placer County

b. Assessor's parcel number: 83-202-05

3. Existing zoning of project site: _____

4. Existing land use of project site: Existing pier and boathouse with two (2) mooring buoys

5. Proposed use of site: Pier repair and extension

6. Other permits required: Tahoe Regional Planning Agency, Placer County

B. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

1. For building construction projects, complete "ATTACHMENT A".

2. For non-building construction projects: Describe fully, the proposed activity, its purpose and intended use, e.g. for proposed mineral prospecting permits, include the number of test holes, size of holes, amount of material to be excavated, maximum surface area of disturbance, hole locations, depth of holes, etc. Attach plans or other drawings as necessary.

C. ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING

1. Describe the project site as it exists before the project, including information on topography, soil stability, plants and animals, and any cultural, historical, or scenic aspects. Describe any existing structures on the site, and the use of the structures.
2. Describe the surrounding properties, including information on plants and animals and any cultural, historical, or scenic aspects. Indicate the type of land use (residential, commercial, etc.), intensity of land use (one-family, apartment houses, shops, department stores, etc.), and scale of development (height, frontage, set-back, rear yard, etc.).

D. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Answer the following questions by placing a check in the appropriate box. Discuss all items checked "yes" or "maybe". (Attach additional sheets as necessary)

- | Will the project involve: | YES | MAYBE | NO |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1. a change in existing features of any bays, tidelands, beaches, lakes, or hills, or substantial alteration of ground contours? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. a change in scenic views or vistas from existing residential areas or public lands or roads? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 3. a change in pattern, scale, or character of the general area of project? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 4. a significant effect on plant or animal life? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 5. significant amounts of solid waste or litter? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 6. a change in dust, ash, smoke, fumes, or odors in the vicinity? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 7. a change in ocean, bay, lake, stream, or ground water quality or quantity, or alteration of existing drainage patterns? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 8. a change in existing noise or vibration levels in the vicinity? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 9. construction on filled land or on slope of 10 percent or more? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 10. use or disposal of potentially hazardous materials, such as toxic or radioactive substances, flammables, or explosives? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 11. a change in demand for municipal services (police, fire, water, sewages, etc.)? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 12. an increase in fossil fuel consumption (electricity, oil, natural gas, etc.)? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 13. a larger project or a series of projects? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

E. CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that the statements furnished above and in the attached exhibits present the data and information required for this initial evaluation to the best of my ability, and that the facts, statements, and information presented are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Date: _____

Signed: Kevin M. Agan
 Kevin M. Agan - Agent
 Raymond Vail & Associates

| | |
|---------------|------|
| ONE HOUR PAGE | 177 |
| MINUTE PAGE | 3433 |

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT CHECKLIST - PART II

Form 13.20 (7/82)

File Ref.: W 24144

I. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. Applicant: Robert and Charlotte Angell

17 La Fond Lane

Orinda, CA 94563

B. Check Date: 07 / 26 / 89

C. Contact Person: Judy Brown

Telephone: (916) 324-4715

D. Purpose: Existing pier repair/extension

E. Location: 1260 West Lake Blvd., Sunnyside, Placer County

F. Description: Repair and 270 LF extension of an existing pier with 10.75" diameter steel piles at 15' O.C. 6" steel "H" beams, 4" x 10" wood joists at 24" C.C., 2" x 6" min. cedar deck, with catwalk. of an existing boathouse and two (2) mooring buoys.
~~The project also includes proposed authorization~~

G. Persons Contacted: Todd Carr (702) 588-4547
Tahoe Regional Planning Agency

II. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS. (Explain all "yes" and "maybe" answers)

A. Earth. Will the proposal result in:

- | | Yes | Maybe | No |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1. Unstable earth conditions or changes in geologic substructures? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. Disturbances, displacements, compaction, or overcovering of the soil? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 3. Changes in topography or ground surface relief features? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 4. The removal, covering, or modification of any unique geologic or physical features? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 5. Any increased or new water erosion of soils, either on or off the site? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 6. Changes in deposition or erosion of beach sands, or changes in siltation, deposition or erosion which may modify the channel of a river or stream or the bed of the ocean or any bay, inlet, or lake? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 7. Exposure of all people or property to geologic hazards such as earthquakes, landslides, mudslides, ground failure, or similar hazards? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

PLACER COUNTY
 178
 02/02/89

B. Air. Will the proposal result in:

Yes Maybe No

- 1. Substantial air emissions or deterioration of ambient air quality?
- 2. The creation of objectionable odors?
- 3. Alteration of air movement, moisture or temperature, or any change in climate, either locally or regionally?

C. Water. Will the proposal result in:

- 1. Changes in the currents, or the course or direction of water movements, in either marine or fresh waters?
- 2. Changes in absorption rates, drainage patterns, or the rate and amount of surface water runoff?
- 3. Alterations to the course or flow of flood waters?
- 4. Change in the amount of surface water in any water body?
- 5. Discharge into surface waters, or in any alteration of surface water quality, including but not limited to temperature, dissolved oxygen or turbidity?
- 6. Alteration of the direction or rate of flow of ground waters?
- 7. Change in the quantity of ground waters, either through direct additions or withdrawals, or through interception of an aquifer by cuts or excavations?
- 8. Substantial reduction in the amount of water otherwise available for public water supplies?
- 9. Exposure of people or property to water-related hazards such as flooding or tidal waves?
- 10. Significant changes in the temperature, flow or chemical content of surface thermal springs?

D. Plant Life. Will the proposal result in:

- 1. Change in the diversity of species, or number of any species of plants (including trees, shrubs, grass, crops, and aquatic plants)?
- 2. Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species of plants?
- 3. Introduction of new species of plants into an area, or in a barrier to the normal replenishment of existing species?
- 4. Reduction in acreage of any agricultural crop?

E. Animal Life. Will the proposal result in:

- 1. Change in the diversity of species, or numbers of any species of animals (birds, land animals including reptiles, fish and shellfish, benthic organisms, or insects)?
- 2. Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species of animals?
- 3. Introduction of new species of animals into an area, or result in a barrier to the migration or movement of animals?
- 4. Deterioration to existing fish or wildlife habitat?

F. Noise. Will the proposal result in:

- 1. Increase in existing noise levels?
- 2. Exposure of people to severe noise levels?

G. Light and Glare. Will the proposal result in:

- 1. The production of new light or glare?

H. Land Use. Will the proposal result in:

- 1. A substantial alteration of the present or planned land use of an area?

I. Natural Resources. Will the proposal result in:

- 1. Increase in the rate of use of any natural resources?
- 2. Substantial depletion of any nonrenewable resources?

| | |
|-------------|---------|
| PROJECT NO. | 179 |
| DATE | 3/13/79 |

- J. Risk of Upset.** Does the proposal result in:
- | | Yes | Maybe | No |
|---|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1. A risk of an explosion or the release of hazardous substances (including, but not limited to, oil, pesticides, chemicals, or radiation) in the event of an accident or upset conditions? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. Possible interference with emergency response plan or an emergency evacuation plan? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
- K. Population.** Will the proposal result in:
- | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1. The alteration, distribution, density, or growth rate of the human population of the area? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
- L. Housing.** Will the proposal result in:
- | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1. Affecting existing housing, or create a demand for additional housing? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
- M. Transportation/Circulation.** Will the proposal result in:
- | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1. Generation of substantial additional vehicular movement? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. Affecting existing parking facilities, or create a demand for new parking? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 3. Substantial impact upon existing transportation systems? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 4. Alterations to present patterns of circulation or movement of people and/or goods? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 5. Alterations to waterborne, rail, or air traffic? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 6. Increase in traffic hazards to motor vehicles, bicyclists, or pedestrians? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
- N. Public Services.** Will the proposal have an effect upon, or result in a need for new or altered governmental services in any of the following areas:
- | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1. Fire protection? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. Police protection? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 3. Schools? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 4. Parks and other recreational facilities? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 5. Maintenance of public facilities, including roads? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 6. Other governmental services? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
- O. Energy.** Will the proposal result in:
- | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1. Use of substantial amounts of fuel or energy? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. Substantial increase in demand upon existing sources of energy, or require the development of new sources? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
- P. Utilities.** Will the proposal result in a need for new systems, or substantial alterations to the following utilities:
- | | | | |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1. Power or natural gas? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. Communication systems? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 3. Water? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 4. Sewer or septic tanks? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 5. Storm water drainage? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 6. Solid waste and disposal? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
- Q. Human Health.** Will the proposal result in:
- | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1. Creation of any health hazard or potential health hazard (excluding mental health)? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. Exposure of people to potential health hazards? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
- R. Aesthetics.** Will the proposal result in:
- | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1. The obstruction of any scenic vista or view open to the public, or will the proposal result in the creation of an aesthetically offensive site open to public view? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
- S. Recreation.** Will the proposal result in:
- | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1. An impact upon the quality or quantity of existing recreational opportunities? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|

189
3135

T. Cultural Resources.

Yes Maybe No

- 1. Will the proposal result in the alteration of or the destruction of a prehistoric or historic archeological site?
- 2. Will the proposal result in adverse physical or aesthetic effects to a prehistoric or historic building, structure, or object?
- 3. Does the proposal have the potential to cause a physical change which would affect unique ethnic cultural values?
- 4. Will the proposal restrict existing religious or sacred uses within the potential impact area?

U. Mandatory Findings of Significance.

- 1. Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?
- 2. Does the project have the potential to achieve short term, to the disadvantage of long-term, environmental goals?
- 3. Does the project have impacts which are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable?
- 4. Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?

III. DISCUSSION OF ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION (See Comments Attached)

C5 - There could be a slight rise in the turbidity level during the construction however, compliance with water quality control requirements should keep this impact to a minimum.

D2 - Lake Tahoe is a known habitat for Rorippa subumbellata, listed by California as an endangered species. This project, however, will only disturb lands continually flooded and not land above the shoreline.

E4 - The site is mapped by TRPA as fish habitat. The project could disturb fish habitat as a result of construction and pier installation. The project site has been inspected by TRPA staff and found to be located in a sandy bottom area. Sandy bottoms are not considered fish habitat at Lake Tahoe.

F1 - There could be a rise in the ambient noise levels during construction. This should be of relatively short-term duration.

The proposed project will only cause disturbance to the bed of Lake Tahoe in a limited area. Issues identified on this checklist by a "no" check are not affected by any aspect of this project.



IV. PRELIMINARY DETERMINATION

On the basis of this initial evaluation:


- I find the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because the mitigation measures described on an attached sheet have been added to the project. A NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- I find the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.

Date: 07, 27, 89

Judy A. Brown
 For the State Lands Commission
 181
 3136
 Form 13.20 (7/82)



LOCATION MAP



20'± TO RL
750'± TO PIER

60'± TO RL
220'± TO PIER

EXIST. PIER REPAIR
AND EXTENSION
ANGELL PROPERTY
1200 W. LAKE BLVD.
PLACER COUNTY, CA
APR. 83 - 202 OF
APRIL 1983


RAYMOND VAIL AND ASSOCIATES
ENGINEERING • PLANNING • ARCHITECTURE • SURVEYING

82

ADJOINING PROPERTIES
NORTH 03-202-06
SOUTH 03-202-11

REVISED
7/89

11-2001



EXTENSION OF EXIST.
 PIER-ANGELL PARCEL
 1260 WEST LAKE BLVD.
 CEDAR POINT AREA
 PLACER COUNTY, CA
 APN: 83-202-05
 APRIL 1983

RAYMOND VAIL AND ASSOCIATES
 ENGINEERING • PLANNING • ARCHITECTURE • SURVEYING

ADJOINING PROPERTIES
 NORTH 83-202-06 SOUTH 83-202-11

REVISED

NO 7123.31H

W.O. 7125.31H
July 19, 1989

RE: PROJECT NARRATIVE/CONSTRUCTION METHOD
PIER REPAIR/EXTENSION - ANGELL PROPERTY
PLACER COUNTY APN: 83-202-05

PROJECT NARRATIVE

Repair and 270 LF extension of an existing pier is to be with 10.75" diameter steel piles at 15' O.C. 6" steel "H" beams, 4" x 10" wood joists at 24" O.C., 2" x 6" min. cedar deck, with catwalk. (See submittal drawing.)

CONSTRUCTION METHOD

Repair and extension of existing pier is to be by barge; with pile driver; cassions or sleeve will be used when sediment is resuspended while pile driving. Anchorage of barge will be to existing structure and/or anchors required for adequate stabilization of barge on the lake. All construction wastes will be collected onto barge and disposed at the nearest dumpster/sanitary landfill site. Small boats (John Boats) and tarps to be under construction areas to provide collection of construction debris preventing any discharge of wastes to the lake.

| | |
|----------|------|
| NOV 1989 | 184 |
| NOV 1989 | 3139 |

