

MINUTE ITEM
This Calendar Item No. C08
was approved as Minute Item
No. C08 by the State Lands
Commission by a vote of 2
to 0 at its 09/27/90
meeting.

CALENDAR ITEM

A 7
S 1

C 0 8

09/27/90
W 21445 PRC 7450
J. Ludlow

RECREATIONAL PIER PERMIT

APPLICANT: William J. Crabtree and Helen F. Crabtree
P. O. Box 1570
Rancho Cordova, California 95741

AREA, TYPE LAND AND LOCATION: A parcel of submerged land located in Lake
Tahoe at Moana Beach, Placer County.

LAND USE: Retention, relocation, and reconstruction of an
existing pier.

TERMS OF PROPOSED PERMIT:

Initial period: Five years beginning
September 27, 1990.

CONSIDERATION: Rent-free pursuant to Section 6503.5 of the
P.R.C.

BASIS FOR CONSIDERATION:
Pursuant to 2 Cal. Code Regs. 2003.

APPLICANT STATUS:
Applicant is owner of upland.

PREREQUISITE CONDITIONS, FEES, AND EXPENSES:
Filing fee and processing costs have been
received.

CALENDAR PAGE	119
MINUTE PAGE	2459

CALENDAR ITEM NO. C 0 8 CONT'D

STATUTORY AND OTHER REFERENCES:

- A. P.R.C.: Div. 6, Parts 1 and 2; Div. 13.
- B. Cal. Code Regs.: Title 2, Div. 3;
Title 14, Div. 6.

AB 884: 11/19/90.

OTHER PERTINENT INFORMATION:

1. Pursuant to the Commission's delegation of authority and the State CEQA Guidelines (14 Cal Code Regs. 15025), the staff has prepared a Proposed Negative Declaration identified as EIR ND 526, State Clearinghouse No. 90020850. Such Proposed Negative Declaration was prepared and circulated for public review pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.

Based upon the Initial Study, the Proposed Negative Declaration, and the comments received in response thereto, there is no substantial evidence that the project will have a significant effect on the environment. (14 Cal. Code Regs. 15074(b))

2. This activity involves lands identified as possessing significant environmental values pursuant to P.R.C. 6370, et seq. Based upon the staff's consultation with the persons nominating such lands and through the CEQA review process, it is the staff's opinion that the project, as proposed, is consistent with its use classification.
3. The Department of Fish and Game has determined that the shorezone at this location is unsuitable habitat for Tahoe Yellow Cress (*Rorippa*) and has issued, pursuant to consultation under the California Endangered Species Act (CESA), a letter of "no jeopardy" for the proposed project.
4. This property was physically inspected by staff for purposes of evaluating the impact of the proposed activity on the public trust.

CALENDAR ITEM NO. **C 0 8** CONT'D

5. In order to determine the other potential trust uses in the area of the proposed project, the staff contacted representatives of the following agencies: TRPA, Department of Fish and Game, County of Placer, and the Tahoe Conservancy. None of these agencies expressed a concern that the proposed project would have a significant effect on trust uses in the area. The agencies did not identify any trust needs which were not being met by existing facilities in the area. Identified trust uses in this area would include swimming, boating, walking along the beach, and views of the lake.
6. All permits issued at Lake Tahoe include special language in which the permittee/lessee agrees to protect and replace or restore if required, the habitat of *Rorippa subumbellata*, commonly called the Tahoe Yellow Cress, a State-listed endangered plant species.
7. If any structure hereby authorized is found to be in nonconformance with the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency's Shorezone ordinance, and if any alterations, repairs, or removal required pursuant to said ordinance are not accomplished within the designated time period, then this lease is automatically terminated, effective upon notice by the State, and the site shall be cleared pursuant to the terms thereof. If the location, size, or number of any structure hereby authorized is to be altered, pursuant to order of the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency, Lessee shall request the consent of State to make such alteration.

8. The Applicant has been notified that the public has a right to pass along the shoreline and the permittee must provide a reasonable means for public passage along the shorezone area occupied by the permitted structure.

CALENDAR PAGE	121
MINUTE PAGE	2401

CALENDAR ITEM NO. **C 0 8** CONT'D

APPROVALS OBTAINED:

Tahoe Regional Planning Agency, Department of Fish and Game, Placer County, and Lahontan Regional Water Quality Control Board.

FURTHER APPROVALS REQUIRED:

United States Army Corps of Engineers.

EXHIBITS:

- A. Land Description.
- B. Location Map.
- C. Placer County Letter of Approval.
- D. Negative Declaration
- E. Fish and Game Letter of No Jeopardy.



IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE COMMISSION:

1. CERTIFY THAT A NEGATIVE DECLARATION, EIR ND 526, STATE CLEARINGHOUSE NO. 90020850, WAS PREPARED FOR THIS PROJECT PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF THE CEQA AND THAT THE COMMISSION HAS REVIEWED AND CONSIDERED THE INFORMATION CONTAINED THEREIN.
2. DETERMINE THAT THE PROJECT, AS APPROVED, WILL NOT HAVE A SIGNIFICANT EFFECT ON THE ENVIRONMENT.
3. AUTHORIZE ISSUANCE TO WILLIAM J. CRABTREE AND HELEN F. CRABTREE, TRUSTEES, OF A FIVE-YEAR RECREATIONAL PIER PERMIT, BEGINNING SEPTEMBER 27, 1990 FOR THE RETENTION, RELOCATION, AND RECONSTRUCTION OF AN EXISTING PIER, ON THE LAND DESCRIBED ON EXHIBIT "A" ATTACHED, AND BY REFERENCE MADE A PART HEREOF.




CALENDAR PAGE	122
MINUTE PAGE	2492

EXHIBIT "A"

LAND DESCRIPTION



LOCATION MAP



PIER REPAIR/RETLIFT
 CRABTREE PROPERTY
 52 MOUND CIRCLE
 TAHOE AREA
 PLACER COUNTY, CA
 APN: 98-191-27
 JANUARY 1989

RA RAYMOND VAIL AND ASSOCIATES
 ENGINEERING • PLANNING • LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE

ADJOINING PROPERTIES
 NORTH 98-191-12 SOUTH 98-191-28

REVISED
 3/89

Prepared May 16, 1990 by Judy Ludlow

CALIFORNIA TITLE 25 2493
 ANNOTATED PAGE 2493




EXHIBIT "B"
W 21425



CALENDAR PAGE 126
MINUTE PAGE 2494



PLACER COUNTY

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

EXHIBIT "C"
W 21445

JACK WARREN, Director
JAN WITTER, Assistant Director
LARRY DDDO, Deputy Director
ALAN ROY, Deputy Director

OPERATING DIVISION
Administration
Engineering
Equipment Maintenance
Road Maintenance
Special Districts
Sewerage
Transportation

March 3, 1989

Judy Ludlow
California State Lands Commission
1807 - 13th Street
Sacramento, CA 95814

RE: PIER - SHOREZONE CONSTRUCTION

The County of Placer has reviewed the below referenced requests for construction activities within the Shorezone of Lake Tahoe. We have no objection to the construction activities described in the below applications contingent upon approval by your office.

- | | | |
|-----|-----------------------------|------------|
| 1. | E.S. Bertagnolli | PRC 3209.9 |
| 2. | Charlotte and Robert Angeli | W 24144 |
| 3. | Sweet/Avanessian | PRC 5022 |
| 4. | Wickland | PRC 3599 |
| 5. | Lyons/Hawkins | WP 5884 |
| 6. | Mein - 83-162-28 | PRC 6714 |
| 7. | Mein - 83-162-31 | W 24134 |
| 8. | McFarland | PRC 3659 |
| 9. | Gibb | WP 3652 |
| 10. | Crabtree | W 21445 |
| 11. | English | W 1124.38 |
| 12. | Wallis | PRC 4314 |
| 13. | Metas | W 24103 |
| 14. | Barrow | PRC 7167.9 |
| 15. | Nahas | PRC 4066 |
| 16. | Hicks | W 21665 |

Should you have any questions or if I can be of further assistance, please call at your convenience.

COUNTY OF PLACER
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
JACK WARREN, DIRECTOR

James McLeod
JAMES MCLEOD
ASSISTANT CIVIL ENGINEER

JK:es

CALENDAR PAGE 125
MINUTE PAGE 2475

STATE LANDS COMMISSION

LEO T. MCCARTHY, Lieutenant Governor
 GRAY DAVIS, Controller
 JESSE R. HUFF, Director of Finance

GEORGE DEUKMEJIAN Governor

EXECUTIVE OFFICE
 1807 - 13th Street
 Sacramento, CA 95811
 CHARLES WARRIN
 Executive Officer

August 24, 1990
 File Ref: W 21445
 SCH. NO. 90020850

NOTICE OF PUBLIC REVIEW
 OF AN
 AMENDED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
 (Section 15073 CCR)

A Negative Declaration has been prepared pursuant to the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (Section 21000 et seq., Public Resources Code), the State CEQA Guidelines (Section 15000 et seq., Title 14, California Code Regulations), and the State Lands Commission regulations (Section 2901 et seq., Title 2, California Code Regulations), for a project currently being processed by the staff of the State Lands Commission.

The document is attached for your review. Comments should be addressed to the State Lands Commission office shown above, with attention to the undersigned. All comments must be received by September 23, 1990.

Should you have any questions or need additional information, please call the undersigned at (916) 323-7209.

JACQUES A. GRABER
 Division of Research
 and Planning



JB:ma
 Attachment

CALENDAR PAGE	126
MINUTE PAGE	6476

STATE LANDS COMMISSION

ED. McCARTHY, Lieutenant Governor
GRAY DAVIS, Controller
JESSE R. HUFF, Director of Finance

EXECUTIVE OFFICE
1807 - 13th Street
Sacramento, CA 95814
CHARLES WARREN
Executive Officer

PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

EIR ND: 526

File Ref.: W 21445

SCH. NO.:

Project Title: CRABTREE PIER REALIGNMENT/REPAIR
Project Proponent: William J. Crabtree
Project Location: Lake Tahoe, 52 Moana Circle, Placer County, adjacent to APN:
98-191-27.
Project Description: Partial realignment and repair of an existing recreational pier.
Contact Person: Jacques Graber Telephone: (916) 323-7209

This document is prepared pursuant to the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (Section 21000 et seq., Public Resources Code), the State CEQA Guidelines (Section 15000 et seq., Title 14, California Code Regulations), and the State Lands Commission regulations (Section 2901 et seq., Title 2, California Code Regulations).

Based upon the attached Initial Study, it has been found that:

- that project will not have a significant effect on the environment.
 mitigation measures included in the project will avoid potentially significant effects.

FORM 13.17 (4/90)

CALENDAR PAGE	127
MINUTE PAGE	2497

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT CHECKLIST - PART II
Form 13.20 (7/82)

File Ref.: W 21445

I. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. Applicant: William J. Crabtree
P. O. Box 1570
Rancho Cordova, CA 95741

B. Checklist Date: 08 / 22 / 90

C. Contact Person: Jacques Graber

Telephone: (916) 323-7209

D. Purpose: To repair an existing pier with some realignment at the (landward) end. Add a boat lift.

E. Location: Lake Tahoe west shore, (mile 19.2) 52 Moana Circle, Placer County.
APN 98-191-27

F. Description: Existing pier 135 feet in length approximately 8 feet wide, extending to bottom elevation 6220.5 within TRPA pierhead line. The project involves the partial re-alignment of the shoreward end of the pier. The original structure forms

G. ~~XXXXXX~~ a southwest oriented dogleg on the first 1/4 (approximate) of the pier. The project involves replacing this portion of the pier with a section which follows the centerline of the pier straight to shore. This step will involve removal of the old deck, installing four new pilings and re-installing the deck approximately 14 feet north of the old location.

In addition to alignment, the project will require replacement of 16 old wood pilings with new steel pilings. Four new pilings will be installed at the shore ward 2 almost at 6229.1 feet (MHW) and 2 on land.

Extraction of the original piles will be required prior to replacement with the new steel piles. If removal can be conducted with minimal turbidity the old pilings be removed and the new pilings driven into the original pile holes. If removal is difficult, the pilings will be cut at bottom level and the new pilings slipped and driven over the old pile stubs.

II. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS. (Explain all "yes" and "maybe" answers)

A. Earth. Will the proposal result in:

- | | Yes | Maybe |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1. Unstable earth conditions or changes in geologic substructures? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. Disruptions, displacements, compaction, or overcovering of the soil? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 3. Change in topography or ground surface relief features? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 4. The destruction, covering, or modification of any unique geologic or physical features? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 5. Any increase in wind or water erosion of soils, either on or off the site? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 6. Changes in deposition or erosion of beach sands, or changes in siltation, deposition or erosion which may modify the channel of a river or stream or the bed of the ocean or any bay, inlet, or | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 7. Exposure of all people or property to geologic hazards such as earthquakes, landslides, or similar hazards? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

CALENDAR PAGE 1128
MINUTE PAGE 2498

		Yes	Maybe	No
B. Air. Will the proposal result in:				
1.	Substantial air emissions or deterioration of ambient air quality?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
2.	The creation of objectionable odors?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
3.	Alteration of air movement, moisture or temperature, or any change in climate, either locally or regionally?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
C. Water. Will the proposal result in:				
1.	Changes in the currents, or the course or direction of water movements, in either marine or fresh waters?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
2.	Changes in absorption rates, drainage patterns, or the rate and amount of surface water runoff?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
3.	Alterations to the course or flow of flood waters?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
4.	Change in the amount of surface water in any water body?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
5.	Discharge into surface waters, or in any alteration of surface water quality, including but not limited to temperature, dissolved oxygen or turbidity?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
6.	Alteration of the direction or rate of flow of ground waters?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
7.	Change in the quantity of ground waters, either through direct additions or withdrawals, or through interception of an aquifer by cuts or excavations?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
8.	Substantial reduction in the amount of water otherwise available for domestic water supplies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
9.	Exposure of people or property to water-related hazards such as flooding or tidal waves?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
10.	Significant changes in the temperature, flow or chemical content of surface thermal springs?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
D. Plant Life. Will the proposal result in:				
1.	Change in the diversity of species, or number of any species of plants (including trees, shrubs, grass, crops, and aquatic plants)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
2.	Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species of plants?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3.	Introduction of new species of plants into an area, or in a barrier to the normal replenishment of existing species?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
4.	Reduction in acreage of any agricultural crop?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
E. Animal Life. Will the proposal result in:				
1.	Change in the diversity of species, or numbers of any species of animals (birds, land animals including reptiles, fish and shellfish, benthic organisms, or insects)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
2.	Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species of animals?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
3.	Introduction of new species of animals into an area, or result in a barrier to the migration or movement of animals?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
4.	Deterioration to existing fish or wildlife habitat?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
F. Noise. Will the proposal result in:				
1.	Increase in existing noise levels?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2.	Exposure of people to severe noise levels?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
G. Light and Glare. Will the proposal result in:				
1.	The production of new light or glare?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
H. Land Use. Will the proposal result in:				
1.	A substantial alteration of the present or planned land use of an area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
I. Natural Resources. Will the proposal result in:				
1.	Increase in the rate of use of any natural resources?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
2.	Substantial depletion of any nonrenewable resources?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

CALENDAR PAGE	129
MINUTE PAGE	2499

J. Risk of Upset. Does the proposal result in:

- | | Yes | Maybe | No |
|---|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1. A risk of an explosion or the release of hazardous substances (including, but not limited to, oil, pesticides, chemicals, or radiation) in the event of an accident or upset conditions? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. Possible interference with emergency response plan or an emergency evacuation plan? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

K. Population. Will the proposal result in:

- | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1. The alteration, distribution, density, or growth rate of the human population of the area? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|

L. Housing. Will the proposal result in:

- | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1. Affecting existing housing, or create a demand for additional housing? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|

M. Transportation/Circulation. Will the proposal result in:

- | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1. Generation of substantial additional vehicular movement? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. Affecting existing parking facilities, or create a demand for new parking? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 3. Substantial impact upon existing transportation systems? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 4. Alterations to present patterns of circulation or movement of people and/or goods? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 5. Alterations to waterborne, rail, or air traffic? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 6. Increase in traffic hazards to motor vehicles, bicyclists, or pedestrians? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

N. Public Services. Will the proposal have an effect upon, or result in a need for new or altered governmental services in any of the following areas:

- | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1. Fire protection? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. Police protection? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 3. Schools? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 4. Parks and other recreational facilities? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 5. Maintenance of public facilities, including roads? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 6. Other governmental services? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

O. Energy. Will the proposal result in:

- | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1. Use of substantial amounts of fuel or energy? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. Substantial increase in demand upon existing sources of energy, or require the development of new sources? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

P. Utilities. Will the proposal result in a need for new systems, or substantial alterations to the following utilities:

- | | | | |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1. Power or natural gas? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. Communication systems? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 3. Water? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 4. Sewer or septic tanks? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 5. Storm water drainage? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 6. Solid waste and disposal? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

Q. Human Health. Will the proposal result in:

- | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1. Creation of any health hazard or potential health hazard (excluding mental health)? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. Exposure of people to potential health hazards? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

R. Aesthetics. Will the proposal result in:

- | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1. The obstruction of any scenic vista or view open to the public, or will the proposal result in the creation of an aesthetically offensive site open to public view? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|

S. Recreation. Will the proposal result in:

- | | |
|---|--|
| 1. An impact upon the quality or quantity of existing recreational opportunities? | |
|---|--|

CALENDAR PAGE	130
MINUTE PAGE	2300

T. Cultural Resources.

- | | Yes | Maybe | No. |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1. Will the proposal result in the alteration of or the destruction of a prehistoric or historic archeological site? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. Will the proposal result in adverse physical or aesthetic effects to a prehistoric or historic building, structure, or object? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 3. Does the proposal have the potential to cause a physical change which would affect unique ethnic cultural values? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 4. Will the proposal restrict existing religious or sacred uses within the potential impact area? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

U. Mandatory Findings of Significance.

- | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1. Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. Does the project have the potential to achieve short-term, to the disadvantage of long-term, environmental goals? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 3. Does the project have impacts which are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 4. Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

III. DISCUSSION OF ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION (See Comments Attached)

IV. PRELIMINARY DETERMINATION

On the basis of this initial evaluation:

- I find the proposed project **COULD NOT** have a significant effect on the environment, and a **NEGATIVE DECLARATION** will be prepared.
- I find that, although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because the mitigation measures described on an attached sheet have been added to the project. A **NEGATIVE DECLARATION** will be prepared.
- I find the proposed project **MAY** have a significant effect on the environment, and an **ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT** is required.

Date: 08 24 1990

[Signature]
For the State Lands Commission

APPROVED PAGE	131
MINUTE PAGE	2, 11

August 24, 1990

III. Discussion of Environmental Evaluation

W. J. Crabtree

A2 Earth. Disruptions, displacements, compaction or covering of the soil.

A2. All pile driving will be conducted from a barge which is docked in the pier site. To reduce turbidity, caissons will be used to enshroud the pilings during driving. A turbidity screen as required by TRPA permit will surround the project area.

Some tracks from the barge may disrupt beach sand and shore zone cobbles. This disturbance can compress the sediments and affect the ability of bottom organisms to burrow in sands or pass among bottom cobbles. Some bottom living organisms may be killed or injured during these episodes. Fish spawning habitat may be affected in those damaged areas near shore. The impacted areas will be hand rolled and shore zone cobbles hand picked and placed to re-create the natural cobble distribution.

D. Plant Life

2. The shoreline of Lake Tahoe is known habitat for Rorippa Subumbellata Roll. Listed by California as an endangered species. The realignment and reconstruction of the pier will disturb shoreline above 6223 feet.

The California Department of Fish and Game has issued an informal "No Jeopardy" opinion dated August 16, 1990. (Attached)

E. Animal Life

4. The site for realignment and reconstruction of this previously existing pier is within an area designated by TRPA as being fish spawning rehabilitation habitat, however, T.R.P.A. has determined that this project will not have an adverse effect on the fish habitat and has issued a permit for this activity.

F. Noise

1. Increase in existing noise levels.

The project will result in intermittent temporary increases in noise levels during the life of the project. Noise will come from the pile driver operations, hammering and demolition of the old deck. Noise from re-construction work will follow. These noises will occur during daylight; normal working hours.

CALENDAR PAGE	132
MINUTE PAGE	2512

W.O. 7123.52N
May 22, 1990



RE: **PIER REPAIR/BOATLIFT - CRABTREE PROPERTY
PLACER COUNTY APN: 98-191-27**

PROJECT NARRATIVE


Repair of existing pier to be performed by replacing wood pilings landward of mean low water with 10.75" dia. steel piles, replace all wood joists, beams and decks with 4" x 10" wood joists at 24" O.C., 6" steel "H" beams, 2" x 6" min. cedar deck. Installation of one low level boatlift with electric service (see submittal drawing).

CONSTRUCTION METHOD


Repair of existing pier is to be by barge with pile driver; caissons or sleeves will be used when sediment is resuspended while pile driving. Anchorage of barge will be to existing structure and/or anchors required for adequate stabilization. All construction wastes will be collected onto barge and disposed at the nearest dumpster/sanitary landfill site. Small boats and tarps to be under construction areas to provide collection of construction debris preventing discharge of wastes to the lake. There will be no pier construction materials stored above the low waterline of the subject property. If disturbed lakebottom sediments are found due to the construction activity associated with the installation of this project, the effected areas will be hand rolled and/or rock cobble to be hand picked to reconsolidate the lakebottom sediments.




LOCATION MAP



PLAN 1"=20'



PROFILE 1"=20'



WALKWAY SECTION

NO 198900205

PIER REPAIR/ENTLIFT
 CRABTREE PROPERTY
 52 MOANA CIRCLE
 TAHOE AREA
 PLACER COUNTY, CA
 APN: 98-191-27
 JANUARY 1987

ADJOINING PROPERTIES
 NORTH 98-191-12 SOUTH 98-191-28

REVISED
 3/87

SHEET 1 OF 134
 RAYMOND VAIL AND ASSOCIATES
 ENGINEERING • PLANNING • ARCHITECTURE • SURVEYING

Memorandum

To : Mr. Charles Warren, Executive Officer
State Lands Commission
1807 13th Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-9990

Date : August 16, 1990

From : Department of Fish and Game - Region 2

Subject: Informal Consultation for Several Proposed Projects for Tahoe
Yellow Cress, Placer County

The Department of Fish and Game (DFG) has reviewed the request from the State Lands Commission for informal consultation pursuant to the California Endangered Species Act to determine if the proposed projects listed below may impact the Tahoe yellow cress (*Rorippa subumbellata*). The Tahoe yellow cress is an endemic state-listed endangered species and a Federal Candidate species that is found only on granitic sandy beach and sandy cobblestone habitats on the shores of Lake Tahoe. Pier construction and maintenance activities may seriously impact existing populations and habitat for this species.

If potential or existing habitat exists on the project site, the DFG must make a determination of jeopardy until such time as the *Rorippa* habitat management plan has been completed and has identified appropriate mitigation measures which will offset adverse impacts to *Rorippa*.

The DFG reviewed the following projects and has made the subsequent informal determinations under CZSA:

<u>Project</u>	<u>Determination</u>
Crabtree Property APN: 98-151-27	No Jeopardy
Hancock Property APN: 017-021-28	No Jeopardy
Harry/Hashbir APN: 016-101-141, 521 & 016-142-091	No Jeopardy
Catron/Hilletren APN: 027-021-201, 211	No Jeopardy
Cedar Point APN: 83-500-13	No Jeopardy

Mr. Charles Warren

-2-

August 16, 1990

Agate Bay Sun Club
APN: 98-210-08

Jeopardy

Kranes
APN: 116-100-02

Jeopardy (until
more information
provided)

If we can be of further assistance, please contact
Ms. Sherry Teresa, Associate Wildlife Biologist, or
Mr. Jerry Mensch, Environmental Services Supervisor, telephone
(916) 355-7030.



James D. Messersmith
Regional Manager

State of California

The Resources Agency

Memorandum

Exhibit "E"
W 21445

To : Mr. Charles Warren, Executive Officer
State Lands Commission
1807 13th Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-9990

Date : August 16, 1990

From : Department of Fish and Game - Region 2

Subject : Informal Consultation for Several Proposed Projects for Tahoe
Yellow Greas, Placer County

The Department of Fish and Game (DFG) has reviewed the request from the State Lands Commission for informal consultation pursuant to the California Endangered Species Act to determine if the proposed projects listed below may impact the Tahoe yellow greas (*Rorippa subumbellata*). The Tahoe yellow greas is an endemic state-listed Endangered species and a Federal Candidate species that is found only on granitic sandy beach and sandy cobblestone habitats on the shores of Lake Tahoe. Pier construction and maintenance activities may seriously impact existing populations and habitat for this species.

If potential or existing habitat exists on the project site, the DFG must make a determination of jeopardy until such time as the *Rorippa* habitat management plan has been completed and has identified appropriate mitigation measures which will offset adverse impacts to *Rorippa*.

The DFG reviewed the following projects and has made the subsequent informal determinations under CESA:

<u>Project</u>	<u>Determination</u>
Crabtree Property APN: 98-191-27	No Jeopardy
Hancock Property APN: 017-021-28	No Jeopardy
Harry/Maahbir APN: 016-101-141, 521 & 016-142-091	No Jeopardy
Catron/Hillstrom APN: 017-021-201, 211	No Jeopardy
Cedar Point APN: 83-500-13	No Jeopardy