

River). Plates 1 and 2 indicate the site's regional location and zoning within the Delta area.

Background: The project was originally submitted to the County for processing in July, 1985. On August 29, 1985, a determination was made that the project would require an Environmental Impact Report (EIR). Subsequently, the applicant revised the project (April, 1987) to address potentially significant impacts identified by the County. Based on a review of the revised plans a determination has been made that an EIR is not required.

The original development plan included 45 boat berths, a southerly access ramp to the dock, and parking (portion) within the AG-20 zone. Revised plans show 30 boat berths, emergency only access at the southerly portion of the dock and all parking restricted to the GC zone. The project, as revised, would have less impact on riparian vegetation and the waterway and would focus parking uses and pedestrian traffic to a commercial area away from a concerned neighbor farmer to the south.

III. ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS:

See Initial Study Checklist attached to the Negative Declaration and the following discussion.

Land Use: The specific features of the proposed marina include a 567-foot long wooden dock with fifteen 14 foot by 30 foot open berths and fifteen 15 foot by 40 foot open berths (Plate 3). The dock mounted on form floatation will be secured to 12 inch diameter butt end wooden piles spaced 40 feet apart. Access to the dock will be from the upstream end by rebuilding an existing wooden platform and construction of a dirt path. The landing will connect to the dock by a metal handicap accessible ramp with railing. A emergency exit ramp will be constructed at the downstream end of the dock. Parking will be provided on the landside of the levee and along the levee road (see "Parking" discussion).

The proposed marina is located within the DW-R zone. This zone is defined in the County Zoning Code as follows:

235-140.5 (c). RESTRICTED AREAS. As used in this zoned, "Restricted Areas" are those portions of waterways which are restricted for through navigation by existing docks, marinas, or other development, or are located adjacent to the Delta urban communities; but are located such that expansion of such facilities or additions or similar water-oriented facilities will be compatible with the purpose and standards of this zone. Restricted areas shall be indicated on the comprehensive zoning plans as "DW-R".

CALENDAR PAGE	219
MINUTE PAGE	2590

In the subject case the following restriction would apply:

235-147. LOCATION OF STRUCTURES. Structures may be located within RESTRICTED AREAS as indicated on the zoning maps when extensions into the waterways do not exceed on third of the horizontal distance across the waterway and an unrestricted navigation channel of not less than one hundred feet is maintained.

The proposed boat berths would extend about 55-70 feet (upstream-downstream) into the river leaving a clear channel of about 265 feet between the proposed dock and an existing dock on the opposite bank. The river width at the downstream end of the dock is about 470 feet.

Several agencies have jurisdiction and permitting authority or an interest in the proposed project. These agencies include but may not be limited to the State Reclamation Board, State Lands Commission, State Department of Fish and Game, Army Corps of Engineers, Reclamation District Number 3, and Caltrans. The applicant is required to obtain all of the necessary permits and secure appropriate agreements from those agencies having jurisdiction over the proposed project.

The State Reclamation Board is interested in any action that may affect the levee. The applicant has submitted a permit application to the Board for the proposed marina (No. 14492). It also appears that the applicant will be required to obtain a permit from the State Lands Commission. This agency generally holds title to the beds of navigable waterways up to the high tide line in the Delta area. Final action will be taken on these permits subsequent to environmental review by Sacramento County (lead agency).

The State Department of Fish and Game would have discretionary approval power (i.e. become a responsible agency) over the project if substantial alteration of a streambed becomes necessary to construct the project. As proposed, the project would not include dredging of the river. In any case, the Department of Fish and Game has expressed an interest in the project because of its proximity to a recreational fishing area. The area south of the Walnut Grove Bridge downstream to Georgiana Slough is heavily used for striped bass fishing. Boat fishing occurs predominantly in the center two thirds of the river. The Department (Mensch) has stated that although the dock structure itself would not significantly affect fishing, the boat traffic associated with the marina would effectively eliminate striped bass fishing in the immediate vicinity of the dock. Based on this concern the Department has indicated their opposition to the proposed project.

The Army Corps of Engineers (ACOE), the agency with the responsibility of maintaining the navigability of inland waterways, has permitting authority over the proposed project. On January 20, 1987 the ACOE issued Permit No. 9407 which gave ACOE approval to the proposed marina. This permit expires on November 15, 1989. An environmental assessment prepared by the ACOE noted concerns by the Department of Fish and Game, but concurred that impact on the fishing area would be minimal and would be outweighed by the public's need for additional berthing.

Reclamation District No. 3 which is responsible for local levee maintenance has expressed concern that the proposed facilities might not be properly maintained and that the walkway would be constructed below the floodplain. These concerns have been addressed by the ACOE permit approval. The permit conditions require that the applicant provide proper maintenance of facilities and that the walkway to the dock shall be constructed so as to rise above the floodplain during periods of high water. Personnel of the ACOE regularly inspect structures in the waterway to ensure proper maintenance.

Vegetation: Dense riparian vegetation occurs along much of the waterside of the levee. Vegetation includes numerous willows, alders, oaks, berry vines and common grasses. Riparian vegetation provides essential habitat for a wide variety of wildlife in the Delta. Much of the riparian vegetation along Delta waterways has been removed. That which remains provides an extremely important niche for many fish and wildlife species. The proposed project would require minor removal of vegetation. As proposed, it appears that one or more alder trees (not shown on the development plans) would require removal to accommodate the exit ramp at the southerly end of the dock. If feasible, this exit ramp should be relocated about 30-40 feet to the south to preclude removal of any riparian vegetation. It should be noted that one of the conditions of the Army Corps of Engineers permit is that "existing riparian vegetation be left undisturbed except where absolutely necessary for construction."

Traffic and Access: According to ITE (Institute of Traffic Engineers) data about 90 vehicle trip ends (VTE) per day could be expected from the proposed project. The vehicle trip generation figure is based on the assumption that 3 vehicle trips/day will be generated for each boat berth. On weekends, the traffic generation rate may be slightly higher (i.e., 3.2 vehicle trips/day/boat berth) and a total of 96 vehicle trip ends per day could be expected to be generated. State Highway 160 and River Road are primary access routes to the marina. Existing traffic volumes on these roads are within the acceptable range of traffic level of service. The County Highways and Bridges Division (Hettingland) indicates that these roads would still be within the acceptable range with traffic generation from this project. However, the accident potential associated with the increased traffic

may be considered important as these roads are narrow, winding and constructed on levees. The use of warning signs should be considered along these roadways within 1/4 mile of the site to warn motorists that pedestrians and vehicles towing boat trailers may be crossing the roadway ahead.

The access drives to the proposed lower parking area are rather steeply sloped and visibility is limited until the top of the driveway is reached. Another potential hazard involves a left turn in or right turn out of the northerly access drive. This movement would be extremely tight for large vehicles and would require a slow and deliberate turning movement even for a more compact vehicle, which could impede the flow of traffic and increase the accident potential. For this reason it may be necessary to modify the alignment of this drive and/or convert to one way access. The Highways and Bridges Division recommends that all access drives comply with Section 4-27-I of the County Improvement Standards pertaining to allowable grade.

Consultation with the State Department of Transportation (Caltrans) indicates that the proposed project will require an encroachment permit because work is being proposed within the State Highway right-of-way. Upon receipt of the application for the encroachment permit, Caltrans will review the proposal from the standpoint of safety and engineering.

Parking: Proposed parking includes seven (7) parking spaces along the east side of the levee across from the existing grocery store and twenty seven (27) parking spaces located south of the grocery store within an existing pear orchard. The levee side parking would consist of two passenger loading spaces for the marina and five spaces to be used by both the marina and the existing grocery stores. It should be noted that the parking adjacent to the levee would increase the potential for vehicular and pedestrian conflicts. Further, this parking except for passenger loading spaces is not needed to meet Zoning Code requirements. The applicant is proposing 27 parking spaces for 30 boat berths. Parking requirements are set forth in Section 330-45 of the Zoning Code as follows:

330-45. MARINAS. For marinas, an off-street parking area is required to accommodate one (1) vehicle for every two (2) berths with one third of this area to be improved with a paved surface and the remainder to be turf area with an automatic sprinkler system. In addition, at least two (2) ten by twenty foot (10' x 20') passenger loading spaces are to be provided convenient to the marina entrances. The spaces are to be paved and signed as loading spaces. These spaces may be located on-street, if approved by the Public Works Department.

Sewage Disposal/Water Quality: The proposed project does not include installation of sewage disposal facilities such as restrooms and boat pumpout facilities which are often located at marinas. Staff of the County Health Department (Peters) states there are no local regulations requiring these types of facilities. However, consultation with the State Water Resources Control Board (Hodges) indicates that marinas may be required to provide boat pumpout facilities. In September, 1986, Senate Bill 2562 amended the Harbors and Navigations Code (Title 23, Subchapter 20) to require marinas to be equipped with pumpout facilities in accordance with the requirements of the California Regional Water Quality Control Board. Section 776 of the Code reads as follows:

776 (a). Every vessel terminal shall as required by the regional board for the protection of the quality of the waters of this state, be equipped with vessel pumpout facilities for the transfer and disposal of sewage from marine sanitation devices in imposing this requirement, the regional board shall take into account the number and type of vessels that use or are berthed at the vessel terminal and whether there exists at other locations pumpout facilities that have a total capacity sufficient for and are convenient and accessible to vessels that use or are berthed at the vessel terminal. In addition, the regional board may require any vessel pumpout facility to be equipped with a meter for the purpose of measuring use of the facility. All pumpout facilities installed after the operative date of the statute adding this section shall be equipped with a meter.

(b). This section does not apply to the following:

- (1) small craft launching facilities;
- (2) dockage adjacent to and serving private residences in areas where vessel pumpout facilities are conveniently available to vessels so docked.
- (3) other types of facilities designated by the regional board after consulting with the department.

The applicant should consult with the California Regional Water Quality Control Board to determine if a boat pumpout facilities will be required for the project site. Any required facilities would be subject to State Water Resources Control Board standards for the construction, operation and maintenance of pumpout facilities.

Discharge from boats is regulated by the Environmental Protection Agency and the U.S. Coast Guard standards pursuant to Section 312 of the Federal Clean Water Act (Public Law 92-500). The Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board has recommended adoption of a State wide policy which includes a prohibition of discharge from all boats using waterways in the Central Valley Basin which are designated for municipal water supply and recreation beneficiary uses (Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board, 1976).

Agricultural Spraying: The contiguous property owner to the south (Giovannoni) of the project site has expressed concern that the proposed development could be detrimental to his agricultural operations (pear orchard) since the prevailing Delta breezes could cause pesticide spray to drift over the proposed marina development. The State Legislature, in 1981, attempted to deal with the problem of agricultural uses being encroached upon by non agricultural uses. They adopted Section 3482.5 of the Civil Code which generally provides that no agricultural activity maintained for commercial purposes shall become a nuisance due to any changed condition in or about the locality if the agricultural operation has been in operation for more than three years.

The proposed marina development could be subject to drifting spray from the pear orchard operation on the project site (i.e. Vollman-Clark Ranch), although precautions to minimize potential impact to adjacent properties is required by law. Pear orchards require periodic applications of pesticides, some more toxic than others. The most toxic sprays require permits and approval to spray from the Agricultural Commissioner. As a part of the permit procedure, the Commissioner instructs the farmers in the method of application to preclude drifting to adjacent lands. A representative of the Commissioner's office is generally at the site when spraying commences to make certain that the farmer follows the instructions and conditions of the permit.

Floodplain: As indicated on the National Flood Insurance Maps, the project site and much of the surrounding Delta has been designated as having a flood potential. Staff of the Water Resources Division (Coppola) has indicated no specific concerns about site flooding since no residential, office or other permanent structure is being proposed.

IV.

MITIGATION MEASURES:

- A. If feasible, the proposed emergency ramp should be relocated about 30-40 feet to the south to preclude removal of any riparian vegetation.
- B. Comply with Section 4-27-I of the County Improvement Standards pertaining to allowable grade for access driveways.

- C. Consult with the California Regional Water Quality Control Board to determine if boat sewage pumpout facilities will be required.
- D. The parking area off the private drive shall be located entirely within the GC zone. The parking area shall comply with all pertinent development standards outlined in the Zoning Code.

V. EXISTING PLANS AND ZONING:

- A. General Plan: The County General Plan Land Use Map indicates the property for Agricultural Cropland, Commercial and Offices and Recreational.
- B. Community Plan: The Delta Community Plan Land Use Map indicates the property for DW-R, AG-20, GC and M-1.
- C. Zoning: The subject property is presently zoned DW-R, AG-20, GC and M-1.

VI. This Initial Study has been prepared by Alcides Freivas, Lowell Young, Dan Meier and Lisa Licon of the Sacramento County Environmental Impact Section staff. (CD - 5-27-87).

85up830,,113

CALENDAR PAGE	226
MINUTE PAGE	2597

INITIAL STUDY CHECKLIST

SACRAMENTO COUNTY
ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT SECTION

CONTROL NO. 85-UP-830

Could/Would the project:	YES	MAYBE	NO	
1) significantly effect Regional air quality?			X	
2) significantly affect local air quality?			X	Incremental increase in local vehicular emissions.
3) contribute to the removal of significant amount of prime agricultural land from agricultural production?			X	
4) create the potential for property damage following completion of the project due to existing or altered soil and/or slope conditions?			X	
5) be adversely affected by other geologic or seismic hazards?			X	Minor ground subsidence occurs in the area.
6) cause erosion or siltation resulting in severe water quality impacts or damage to adjacent properties?			X	A minor potential for erosion and siltation would exist during installation of the boat dock and associated facilities. No dredging is being proposed.
7) have substantial effect on the supply or consumption of a mineral resource?			X	
8) significantly affect ground or surface water supply or quality?			X	No sewage disposal system is proposed. Potential for gas and oil in the water around the docks.
9) substantial affect, or be affected by flooding?			X	The site is within the 100 year floodplain of the Delta.
10) adversely affect populations of unique, rare or endangered plants or animals, or their habitat?			X	
11) significantly affect resident or migratory wildlife or their habitat?			X	
12) affect or result in the removal of critical habitat, such as riparian and wetland plant associations?			X	Development could be accommodated with minor or no removal of riparian vegetation.
13) affect or result in the removal of prominent, heritage, or landmark trees, or otherwise aesthetically important plant forms?			X	See comment no. 12. A portion of an existing pear orchard would require removal to accommodate a parking lot.
14) affect sites of archaeological or historical importance?			X	No known or suspected sites. The subject area has been extensively modified by previous work.

	YES	MAYBE	NO	
15) be in conflict with adopted General, Community, or specific plans of Sacramento County?			X	
16) conflict with adopted plans of agencies or jurisdictions other than Sacramento County?		X		The project would require permits from the State Lands Commission, State Reclamation Board, Army Corps of Engineers and Caltrans.
17) require major modification of, or adversely affect, public facilities?			X	Incremental increase in the need for Fire and Sheriff's Department Services.
18) have a substantial effect upon transportation facilities?		X		Project would increase accident potential associated with increased traffic. Project would require an encroachment permit from Caltrans; access improvements may be required.
19) have a substantial effect on energy demands?			X	
20) substantially affect the quantity of open space in an area, or severely and adversely change the visual character of the project site?			X	Site will take a more developed appearance.
21) generate average or peak noise levels that would seriously affect the health or general well-being of any nearby people?			X	Temporary construction noise. Minor periodic noise associated with boat operations and vehicular traffic.
22) expose future residents or site users to existing or future noise levels that could seriously affect their health or general well-being?			X	
23) cause significant shifts in employment or income characteristics of the community?			X	Possible minor increase in commercial activity within Walnut Grove.
24) have a substantial and demonstrable negative aesthetic affect?			X	Possibly to some observers.
25) breach published national, state, or local standards relating to solid waste or litter control?			X	
26) induce substantial growth or concentration of population?			X	
27) displace a large number of people, or disrupt or divide an established community?			X	
28) involve a risk of an explosion or the release of hazardous substances in the event of an accident or upset conditions?			X	
29) involve possible interference with an emergency response plan or an emergency evacuation plan?			X	River navigation is regulated by the Army Corps of Engineers and the Coast Guard.
30) result in creation of any health hazard, or potential health hazard, or expose people to potential health hazards?	X			See discussion of "Agricultural Spraying" in text of the Initial Study.

EXHIBIT "D"
USE PERMIT
SACRAMENTO COUNTY
PROJECT PLANNING COMMISSION

Control Number:
55-UP-0830

Date: September 2, 1987

Assessor's Parcel No.
142-0070-028 (portion)

Hearing Date: August 31, 1987

To: VOLLMAN-CLARK RANCH, P. O. Box 161086, Sacramento, CA 95816
GILBERT LABRIE, AIA, P. O. Box 183, Walnut Grove, CA 95690

PERMISSION IS GRANTED TO USE THE SUBJECT PREMISES FOR THE FOLLOWING DESCRIBED USES: A Use Permit to allow a 30 berth marina in the DW-R zone and associated parking in the adjacent CC zone.

DESCRIPTION OF PREMISES: Located on the northwestern side of River Road (State Highway 160) and the Sacramento River, immediately south of the Walnut Grove Bridge in the Delta area.

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL: -

1. This action does not relieve the applicant of the obligation to comply with all ordinances, statutes, regulations and procedures applicable at the time of development. Any required subsequent procedural actions shall take place within 36 months of the date on which the permit became effective, or this action shall automatically be null and void.
2. Dedicate to the State of California any needed additional right-of-way for State Highway 160.
3. The approval shall be limited to a single boat dock of 567 feet in length containing 30 berths and associated parking areas per Exhibit "C".
4. Camping or use of the premises as a RV park is prohibited.
5. Relocate the proposed "emergency ramp" 30± feet to the south to preclude the removal of riparian vegetation to the satisfaction of the Planning Department.
6. Comply with Section 4-27-1 of the County Improvement Standards pertaining to allowable grade for access driveways.
7. Provide sewage pumpout facilities as required by the Harbors and Navigation Code (Title 23, Subchapter 20), in accordance with the requirements of the California Regional Water Quality Control Board.
8. Obtain an encroachment permit from the State Department of Transportation (Caltrans) for any improvements within right-of-way of State Highway 160.
9. Access driveway and at least 1/3 of the parking area (27 spaces) shall be paved to the satisfaction of the Public Works Department. Those 7 spaces adjacent to State Highway 160 shall be paved and signed as loading spaces to the satisfaction of the Public Works Department.
10. Approved permits from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, the State Reclamation Board, and any other state and federal agencies, if required for the proposed development, shall be submitted to the Planning Department prior to issuance of any building permits.
11. The existing riparian vegetation along the Sacramento River shall be preserved.
12. Post signs within the parking area adjacent to the pear orchard warning patrons that aerial spraying of the orchards are conducted upon an regular basis and may pose a hazard to personal health and/or property.

CALENDAR PAGE 229
MINUTE PAGE 2500