

1. the location(s) of existing and anticipated recreational facilities such as trails of any type, rest areas, and fishing and boating access;
2. areas of the planning area designated for maintenance of existing and restoration of riparian habitat and procedures to resolve conflicts between such habitat and recreational uses;
3. measures to protect native plants and enhance wildlife, including rare, threatened and endangered species and species of special concern;
4. on site mitigation measures to avoid or to offset any loss of riparian habitat or other wildlife habitat which may result from the Plan's implementation;
5. a maintenance program which addresses the long term use and care of riparian habitat and physical improvements within the planning area.

- (d) specific policies and implementation measures. 1) for each of the provisions of Section 9005; and 2) which prevent or lessen potential conflicts with agricultural activities and incorporate, to the maximum extent feasible, consistent with the Plan, existing or planned flood control activities;
- (e) a listing of all individuals, groups, agencies and academic institutions consulted during the preparation of the Plan; and
- (f) a proposed implementation schedule which specifies each phase, its cost and the total budget for the Plan.

December 19, 1990

6

DRAFT

CALENDAR PAGE	008
MINUTE PAGE	24

Chapter 4. Natural Resources Restoration and Development Fund

Section 9011. There is hereby created in the State Treasury, the Natural Resources Restoration and Development Fund. Monies to be deposited in the Fund may be from: (a) a source to be designated by the Legislature; (b) grants to the Commission; (c) gifts and bequests; (d) bond measures; (e) sources as otherwise provided by law; and (f) revenues from lease administration as specified in Section 9017(c) of this Act. Monies within the Fund shall be continuously appropriated to the Commission without regard to fiscal year for financial assistance to local governments as provided by this Act to create and implement Plans, including the acquisition of land(s) or interest in land(s), and for the reasonable expenses of the Commission in the administration of this Act.

Chapter 5. Plan Evaluation and Approval

Section 9012. The Commission shall review all Plans, including those that exist prior to the effective date of this Act, which are submitted by local governments to determine their conformance with the provisions of this Act. The Commission shall, as appropriate, provide a written evaluation for any submission which shall specify those changes necessary to bring a Plan into conformance.

Section 9013. Upon its approval by the Commission, local government sponsors of a Plan will be eligible to receive monies from the Fund. Before the Commission may disburse funds, the Plan must be adopted by the local government as an amendment to its general plan. Allocations from the Fund may be used by local governments for such purposes specified within the approved Plan. Such purposes may include the acquisition of land(s) or interests in land(s), maintenance or restoration of riparian habitat or other habitat, or for recreational facilities. All title to land(s) purchased with monies from the Fund shall pass to the State and be retained as land with the legal character of sovereign tide and submerged land(s). If necessary for

December 19, 1990

7

DRAFT

CALENDAR PAGE	009
MINUTE PAGE	25

implementation of the Plan, the State may lease those tide and submerged lands to the local government and other entities.

Section 9014. The Commission shall make grants, as money is available, to implement approved Plans. Any local government that expends monies appropriated from the Fund for environmental restoration or improvement projects shall, to the extent feasible, utilize the services of the California Conservation Corps and local conservation corps in such efforts.

Section 9015. As a condition to the receipt of funds, the local government shall agree that it will reimburse the State for such funds if the Commission finds, after notice and public hearing, that an approved Plan has not been followed or implemented by such local agency. No Plan may be amended without the consent of the Commission.

Section 9016. In any one fiscal year, no Plan shall receive monies in an amount greater than twenty percent (20%) of funds appropriated from the Fund for that year.

Chapter 6. Plan Implementation

Section 9017. The Commission shall exercise its existing authorities to administer sovereign lands within the bounds of an approved Plan in a manner consistent with and in furtherance of said Plan. Specifically:

- (a) State lands within the area of the Plan shall be leased only for purposes consistent with the Plan.
- (b) The Commission shall ensure that existing lessees conduct their activities in a manner supportive of the Plan.
- (c) Income from State leases within an approved Plan shall be deposited in the Fund established by Section 9011 and may be released to the sponsoring local government for purposes consistent with its Plan.
- (d) Monies from title settlements which occur within an area covered by an approved Plan shall also be deposited in the Fund and may be allocated by

December 19, 1990

8

DRAFT

CALENDAR PAGE	010
MINUTE PAGE	26

the Commission for the purchase of additional land(s) within the affected Plan. Such land(s) shall be used for purposes consistent with the Plan and shall be governed by Section 9013 of this Act.

Section 9018. All local government revenues attributed to facilities or activities within an approved Plan shall be used for the administration of such Plan.

Chapter 7. Reports

Section 9019. The Commission shall advise the Legislature whenever monies are allocated from the Fund.

Section 9020. The Commission shall file reports annually with the Governor and the Legislature. Such reports shall include a description of Plans which are being prepared, the status of Plans which have been approved, monies allocated from the Fund by recipient, and Fund status.

Section 9021. Local governments receiving monies from the Fund shall. 1) file semi-annual progress reports with the Commission which also provide an accounting of Fund monies; and 2) maintain adequate records which shall be made available for audit by the Commission at its request.

SURVEY OF CALIFORNIA RIVER PARKWAY EFFORTS
December 17, 1990

WATERWAYCONTACT AGENCY AND STATUS

American River	Sacramento County, Parks and Recreation Dept., Gene Andal. Parkway completed, although additional land and private in-holdings still need to be acquired. Additional recreational improvements also needed.
Big Chico Creek	City of Chico, Kevin Cunningham. Bidwell Park Master Plan adopted Jan. 1, 1990. Council has approved "eminent domain" proceedings to acquire additional park lands (1450 acres) for \$650,000.
Bubbling Springs Stream Park	SLC, Dwight Sanders. MOU in progress. Parkway in Fort Hueneme has been established; expansion proposed that will include a pedestrian bikeway along beach. Limited riparian habitat. Estimated completion of extension- Spring 1991.
Cache Creek	BLM, Catherine Cunningham. BIM has been trying to secure federal funding for the past two years for planning, implementation, and land acquisition. BLM has been meeting with local jurisdictions in Lake County, attempting to draft a Coordinated Research and Management Plan.
Coyote Creek	Santa Clara County Parks, Felix Errico. 17 mile creek. Developing equestrian and hiking trails. Programming phase approved. \$10 million needed to fund recreational development, including land acquisition. 1000 acres of potential park land also identified; \$4-5 million needed for future land acquisition.
Guadalupe River	City of San Jose, Redevelopment Agency, Robert Ryan & Ken Talbott. City and Corps of Engineers working on flood control project. Current fiscal year federal funds have been appropriated for Phase 1, the General Design Memorandum, which will be issued Jan. 4, 1991. Trail system included. An EIR, "Locally approved plan" was approved Fall 1989. Locals provide land, bridges, utilities, the Corps provide everything else. The total cost of the flood control portion of the project, including land acquisition and construction, is \$124 million. Guadalupe River 3/4 of the

Guadalupe River
(Continued)

cost of the project is for land acquisition (one acre= \$1 million). Last year Santa Clara County provided \$14 million to purchase land adjacent to the river for the Parkway, separate from the flood control project. The City needs an additional \$15 million for land acquisition to complete the Parkway. The riverpark extends three miles; one half (1200') of its trail system has been completed.

Kern River

City of Bakersfield, Jim Movius. Parkway Plan adopted June 1988. EIR included with plan. Community support has increased after adoption. Receiving state grants, need more. Substantial volunteer participation in tree planting & trail improvements. 10 year timeline: 10% on ground now, arranged for funding another 10% next fiscal year. Recreation areas being minimally developed.

Kings River

City of Reedley, Michael Olmos. Corridor Specific Plan completed, Planning Commission approval, City Council will conduct hearing Jan. 8, 1991. Riparian reforestation emphasized in plan. Land acquisition will be pursued through 1) dedication trade-off deals with developers, and 2) purchases via Quimby Act Ordinance. Future widening of parkway envisioned, additional monies for land acquisition will be needed. Kings River Community College adjacent to river should provide additional park area access.

Laguna de
Santa Rosa

Sonoma County, Kim Cordell, consultant. Joint effort of Sonoma County and the City of Sebastopol. Involves a 50-acre parcel along a tributary of the Russian River. Early planning stages.

Los Angeles River

L.A. County, Jim Park. City/ County committee has been formed. Study phase just beginning. Meeting Nov. 29, 1990. Formal task force will probably be formed June 1991. Recreation and public works personnel participating (riparian habitat, flood control, trail systems). Funding will be sought within 3-5 years.

- Mission Creek** Urban Creeks Council (UCC), Stephen Stanley. City of Santa Barbara and Corps of Engineers propose channelization for creek. Corps has received money for design funding. UCC opposes channelization, wants natural stream and recreational use instead. UCC beginning to develop informational database for other natural streams in area.
- Mojave River** Referenced by Gerry Newcombe, San Bernardino County. Conceptual stage.
- Mokelumne River** City of Lodi, Scott Essin. City trying to establish river parkway, but north bank of river is in San Joaquin County. County will soon hold public meetings on parkway. City has existing park and nature area on south bank.
- Napa River** Napa County Flood Control and Water Conservation District, Bob Sorsen; City of Napa, Parks Dept., Marla Young. Corps of Engineers has concluded favorable cost benefit assessment for flood control project. City hiring consultant to review findings. City of Napa participating with district and Redevelopment Agency to develop a recreation plan overlay. Goals and objectives under discussion at present (November 1990). Corps will provide 50% of funding, splitting costs for "recreation betterments."
- Navarro River** #2M Associates, Patrick Miller. Parkway in conceptual stage. Anderson Valley Land Trust being formed. River flows through unincorporated portions of Mendocino County.
- Petaluma River** City of Petaluma, Warren Salmons. Coastal Conservancy has received scope of work expected to give the City \$400,000 that has been legislated for this planning effort by March 1991.
- Putah Creek** UC Davis, Kerry Dawson. Management Plan completed 1985, recent land purchases (Russell Ranch) necessitate plan modifications. \$2 million from Proposition 70 used to acquire land. State Parks grant for access trails recently turned down. Relation to City of Davis Greenway Plan cited. Contentious relationship with Solano Irrigation District.

Russian River State Parks and Recreation, David Boyd. Study proposed to examine breaching sand bar at river's mouth at Sonoma Coast State Beach in Sonoma County. Water level and wetland flooding occur when mouth of river is "clogged." Bio-management issue.

Sacramento River State Lands Commission, Kata Bartoloni. Planning effort in progress. SLC/local government MOU will be presented for Commission approval in January 1991.

St. John's River City of Visalia, Ginger Strong. Master Plan adopted Oct. 1989. City has acquired all lands. 90% of trails completed. City using Prop. 70 monies. Trying to link parkway with Nature Conservancy properties. Effort underway to expand park by purchasing 1200 acre parcel now held in private trust.

Salinas River Monterey County Flood Control & Water Conservation District, Pete Koehn. 50% of Management Plan developed, final plan expected December 1991. Breaching river mouth proposed, slidegate replacement, levee clean up. District has hired a habitat restoration consultant. District will apply for funding for future "enhancements", Coastal Conservancy has given \$80,000, State Parks & US Fish and Wildlife \$30,000.

San Benito River San Benito County, Peter Chamberlain. This river hasn't flowed for 4 years. The river is not navigable; the riverbed is privately owned and is being mined for gravel. Landscaping plans are a condition of use for mining projects.

San Dieguito River San Diego Joint Powers Authority (JPA), Susan Carter. Draft conceptual plan has been approved by JPA. A Regional Park Planning Area has been defined covering 60,000 acres, 55 miles in length. Land acquisition efforts are ongoing. Lagoon expansion and restoration. Hydrological studies are also being conducted. JPA needs "milestones" to increase project momentum.

- San Joaquin River San Joaquin River Parkway and Conservation Trust, Dave Koehler. Final conceptual plan to be issued June 1991, to be adopted by local jurisdictions, (Fresno City, Fresno County, and Madera County) by Dec. 1991. \$1 million out of \$5 million of Prop. 70 monies has been spent on the Parkway. It is anticipated that an additional \$50 million will be needed to implement the conceptual plan.
- San Lorenzo River City of Santa Cruz, Redevelopment Agency, Joe Hall. Conceptual Plan adopted by City Council Dec. 1989. Flood control project, Corps of Engineers funding feasibility study and construction costs.
- San Luis Creek City of San Luis Obispo, James Stockton. City has established park setting and walkways along creek with linkage to Mission Plaza. Conceptual plans for extension of stream park are being developed. City Council is supportive.
- San Rafael Canal City of San Rafael, Redevelopment Agency, Katie Korzun. City buying land for expansion of pedestrian walkway. Parkway part of General Plan. Canal Front Plan will be produced fiscal years 1991-1992.
- Santa Ana River San Bernardino County, Regional Parks Dept., Gerry Newcombe. Master Plan completed Aug. 1990. Adoption by local jurisdictions is pending. Tri-county regional park extending 120 miles is 90% completed in Orange Co., 50% completed in Riverside Co., and now getting underway in San Bernardino County, which is searching for funding. Requesting Caltrans funding for sidewalk/bikeways. National Park Service provided technical assistance for planning work. US Forest Service manages National Forest adjacent to river. San Bernardino Co. Board will review Plan in Feb. 1991, and should adopt by March 1991.

Tijuana River
(et al)

San Diego County, Ann Rast. \$10 million of Prop. 70 monies earmarked for Tijuana River Valley. Riparian habitat identified, attempting to establish river corridors and beltways. Recent \$100 million bond ballot defeated. A framework plan has been developed for the Tijuana River; Otay Valley- joint staff conceptual plan underway, no funding yet; San Luis Rey River- conceptual stage; Santa Margarita River- included in Camp Pendelton plan.

Tule River

City of Porterville; Gil Meacham. City has hired a consultant to begin drafting a Tule River Parkway Plan. Park will extend 7 miles. Developer dedications needed; there are no existing public lands. Important Kit Fox habitat has been identified. Public hearings process for Parkway beginning. A Parkway schematic plan will be reviewed Jan. 3, 1991, and the Parkway Conceptual Plan will be up for approval and adoption by April 1991.

Ventura River
Estuary

City of San Buenaventura, Recreation Division, Beth Cohen. City ready to hire consultant to develop enhancement plan for the Ventura River Estuary Enhancement Project. City owns 23 acres; project will emphasize habitat restoration. State Parks and Coastal Conservancy participating in adjacent projects (Seaside Park/Surfers Point, Emma Woods State Park). Future responsibility for management of project unclear.

**CALIFORNIA RIVERS RIPARIAN PARKWAY PROGRAM
FUNDING SOURCES**

**DIRECT FUNDING SOURCES
FOR THE NATURAL RESOURCES RESTORATION AND DEVELOPMENT FUND**

PROPOSED BOND MEASURES

California Rivers Riparian Parkway Bond Act of 1992 (Cortese)

Provides for \$100,000,000 in bonds to finance a specified program (read: SLC California Rivers Riparian Parkway Program) for the acquisition, development, rehabilitation, restoration, and preservation of river riparian parkway projects.

California Park, Recreation, and Wildlife Enhancement Act of 1992 (Cortese)

Provides for \$40,000,000 in bonds for the acquisition, development, maintenance or restoration of river riparian parkways (read: SLC California Rivers Riparian Parkway Program).

EXISTING STATE FUNDS

California Environmental License Plate Fund

- o Administering agency: Resources Agency.
- o Major source of funds: Sale of personalized license plates.
- o Disposition of funds for DMV administration and for the Environmental Protection Program. The Environmental Protection Program pertains to preserving and protecting the environment, including air pollution caused by motor vehicles.

REVISED 01/02/91

CALENDAR PAGE	013
MINUTE PAGE	34

Public Resources Account, Cigarette and Tobacco Products Surtax Fund (Proposition 99)

- o Administering agency: Department of Health Services.
- o Major source of funds: Five percent of the funds received in the Cigarette and Tobacco Products Surtax Fund is deposited in the Public Resources Account.
- o Disposition of funds for:
 1. Programs to protect, restore, enhance, or maintain fish, waterfowl and wildlife habitat.
 2. Programs to enhance state and local park and recreation resources.

Land Bank Fund, Pursuant to the Kapiloff Land Bank Act

- o Administering agency: State Lands Commission
- o Major source of funds: Title settlements; amount available varies.
- o Disposition of funds for land acquisition only. The lands acquired take on the legal character of sovereign lands.

**SUPPLEMENTAL FUNDING SOURCES
FOR THE CALIFORNIA RIVER RIPARIAN PARKWAYS PROGRAM**

FEDERAL AGENCY PROGRAMS

Bureau of Land Management (BLM)

1. Riparian Areas Habitat Program

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS)

Creates and administers refuges. Also protects threatened and endangered species.

Bureau of Reclamation

Operates major water projects, beginning mitigation program.

U.S.A. Corps of Engineers

New direction that is environmentally sensitive.

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)

1. Wetlands Strategies and State Programs

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)

Matching grants available for land acquisition.

Soil Conservation Service (SCS) & Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service (ASCS)

1. Conservation Reserve Program

Other sources of acquisition funding:

1. Federal Land and Water Conservation Fund

STATE AGENCY PROGRAMS

Department of Water Resources (DWR)

1. Urban Streams Restoration Program
2. Environmental Water Program, AB 444 Isenberg, (1989)

Reclamation Board

Acquires land for flood control projects & mitigation parcels.

State Coastal Conservancy

Grants for acquisition and restoration.

Department of Fish and Game (DFG)

Acquisition funds from Proposition 7c.

Wildlife Conservation Board (WCB)

Land acquisition funds from Proposition 70 and 117 (see below).

Department of Forestry

Operates state nurseries, responsible for waterways protection during logging.

California Conservation Corps

Personnel provided for restoration, operates native plant nurseries.

RELATED STATE PROPOSITIONS AND BILLS**California Wildlife, Coastal, and Park Land Conservation Fund of 1988 (Proposition 70)**

- o Administering agencies: Department of Parks and Recreation, Wildlife Conservation Board, State Coastal Conservancy, Department of Fish and Game, Department of Forestry, Department of Water Resources, Santa Monica Mountains Conservancy, and the County of Monterey.
- o Major source of funds: \$726,000,000 in bonds to be divided among the above agencies as specified in the California Wildlife, Coastal, and Park Land Conservation Act.
- o Disposition of funds for the acquisition, development, rehabilitation and restoration of park lands, beaches, wildlife habitat, coastal, and natural lands in California.

Habitat Conservation Fund (Proposition 117)

- o Administering agencies: Department of Parks and Recreation, State Coastal, Tahoe and Santa Monica Mountains Conservancies, and Wildlife Conservation Board.
- o Major source of funds: \$30,000,000 annually (for 30 years) from existing environmental funds (names the Public Resources Account in the Cigarette and Tobacco Products Surtax Fund, the California Environmental License Plate Fund, Fish and Game Preservation Fund, Wildlife Restoration Fund, and State Coastal Conservancy Fund) and the General Fund.
- o Disposition of funds for acquisition of deer and mountain lion habitat, rare and endangered species habitat, wetlands, riparian and aquatic habitat, open space, and other environmental purposes.

Environmental Water Program (AB 444)

- o Administering agency: Department of Water Resources.
- o Major source of funds: \$7,000,000/year from 1991-1995 and \$8,000,000/year from 1995-1999 from the legislature to the Environmental Water Fund.
- o Disposition of funds to preserve the wildlife and environment of the Mono Lake Basin, as a first priority. Other purposes eligible for funding include fisheries restoration on the Upper Sacramento and San Joaquin Rivers and elsewhere, wetlands acquisition and restoration on the Pacific Flyway and elsewhere, and riparian habitat acquisition and restoration on the Sacramento and San Joaquin Rivers, the Delta, and elsewhere.

PRIVATE ORGANIZATIONS

The Conservation Fund

The Nature Conservancy

Trust for Public Land

MULTI-AGENCY PROGRAMS

Upper Sacramento River Fisheries and Riparian Habitat Management Plan (SB 1086)--\$1,300,000 for recreation and habitat management planning.

San Joaquin River Management Program (AB 3603, Costa)--\$250,000 for Resources Agency for planning.