



RECORDING REQUEST
WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO:

County of Sacramento, Department of
Environmental Review and Assessment
827 Seventh Street, Room 220
Sacramento, CA 95814

CONTACT PERSON: Dennis E. Yeast
TELEPHONE: (916) 874-7914

Exhibit B

ENDORSED

NOV 14 2001

By MARK NORRIS, CLERK-RECORDER
DEPUTY

SPACE ABOVE THIS LINE RESERVED FOR RECORDER'S USE

NOTICE OF DETERMINATION

SUBJECT: FILING OF NOTICE OF DETERMINATION IN COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 21108 OR 21152 OF THE PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE

| |
|--|
| PROJECT TITLE: FRANKLIN BOULEVARD/THORNTON ROAD BRIDGE REPLACEMENT PROJECT |
| CONTROL NUMBER(S): 98-PWE-0362 |
| STATE CLEARINGHOUSE NUMBER (IF SUBMITTED): 1998122065 |
| PROJECT LOCATION: The project is located on the Sacramento County/San Joaquin County line. The project roadway/bridge (Franklin Boulevard in Sacramento County and Thornton Road in San Joaquin County) runs roughly parallel to Interstate 5 to the west. |
| The Franklin Boulevard/Thornton Road Bridge at the Mokelumne River is actually two separate structures: a timber trestle structure across the overflow flood plain north of the Mokelumne River and a steel truss swing bridge over the Mokelumne River. Within the project area, the center of the Mokelumne River delineates the Sacramento-San Joaquin county line. While the majority of the project lies within Sacramento County, the steel truss swing bridge extends into San Joaquin County. The steel truss bridge is jointly owned by both jurisdictions. |
| ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NUMBER(S): 146-0131-005, 013, 016; 001-0110-002, 003 |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: The proposed project consists of the replacement of the timber trestle and steel truss swing bridges with a new structure at Franklin Boulevard and Thornton Road in southern Sacramento County and northern San Joaquin County. |

This is to advise that the County of Sacramento (Lead Agency Responsible Agency) has approved the above described project on November 13, 2001 and has made the following determinations concerning the above described project:

1. The project [will will not] have a significant effect on the environment.
 2. An Environmental Impact Report was prepared for this project pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.
 A Negative Declaration was prepared for this project pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.
 3. Mitigation measures [were were not] made a condition of the approval of the project.
 4. A mitigation monitoring and reporting program [was was not] adopted.
 5. A statement of Overriding Considerations [was was not] adopted for this project.
 6. Findings [were were not] made pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.
 7. California State Department of Fish and Game Fees (AB 3158)
 - a. The project has been found to be de minimis thus not subject to the provisions of AB 3158.
 - b. The project is not de minimis and is, therefore, subject to the following fees:
 - \$1,250 for review of a Negative Declaration
 - \$ 850 for review of an Environmental Impact Report
 - \$ 25 for County Fish and Game processing fees.
- () That, acting as a Responsible Agency, the Environmental Documentation as prepared by the Lead Agency has been considered in this action.

This is to certify that the environmental document and record of project approval is available to the General Public at: 827 Seventh Street, Room 220, Sacramento, CA 95814.

ENVIRONMENTAL COORDINATOR OF
SACRAMENTO COUNTY
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Copy To: State of California
1400 Tenth Street, Room 121
Sacramento, CA 95814

BY: *Dennis E. Yeast*
for Dennis E. Yeast
Environmental Coordinator

County of Sacramento
600 8th Street, Room 101
Sacramento, CA 95814