

1 should for just reasons extend the primary term for any
2 number of years.

3 Now, the other matter of fixed policy, it is
4 my opinion that the statutes -- not being a lawyer I
5 will exercise lodgenarian opinion on this point --
6 requires that there shall always be a sliding scale
7 royalty provision, and the sliding scale royalty formula
8 which the Commission has been using, wherein the royalty
9 is determined as the portions of the daily average pro-
10 duction per well divided by a number plus your fraction
11 of the production, that particular type of formula, I
12 think, is fair and reasonable, and does not cause the
13 imposition of excessive royalties.

14 Then, in summary, it seems to me that the
15 leasing policy should involve, in the first instance,
16 so called wildcat leases, and in those wildcat leases
17 it would be my recommendation that the Commission describe
18 a certain tract of land to be leased which would in my
19 present opinion be substantially less than the five
20 thousand some odd acres provided as the maximum in the
21 statutes; would provide an annual rental in the fixed
22 number of dollars per acre; would provide a sliding
23 scale royalty based upon production per well per day,
24 somewhat in the same degree as royalty provisions on
25 the sliding scale in recent leases issued by the State,
26 and leave the bid provision a cash bonus. The amount

1 of that royalty to be specified in the lease on a sliding
2 scale is a matter that I haven't made up my own mind
3 about it at the moment, but, in any event, would be
4 something that the Commission itself would ultimately
5 decide.

6 Now, under the second-class of leases, those
7 that we might call productive, it would be my recom-
8 mendation to the Commission that acreage be specified
9 as required; that it be smaller in number of acres than
10 the wildcat lease; that an annual rental of a fixed
11 number of dollars per acre be due on the anniversary
12 date of the lease, and that there be specified, also, a
13 cash bonus, and the bid factor -- I mean, the bidding
14 to be based upon a factor to apply to the royalty formula
15 specified in the lease, and finally for proven lands, it
16 would be my recommendation at the moment that the acre-
17 age specified be less than the amount -- than the acre-
18 age in a probably productive lease; that the annual
19 rental be specified at a relatively higher level than
20 a probably productive lease or a wildcat lease, and that
21 there be a cash bonus specified in the lease also at a
22 higher level than in the probably productive and wildcat
23 lease, and that the bidding be based upon a factor to
24 multiply any royalty formulas specified in the lease.

25 I would recommend leasing wildcat acreage for
26 a high cash bonus as being a better practice for the

1 State, particularly since the Commission may protect the
2 State with the device of leasing only a relatively small
3 block, and I use relatively small in very general terms;
4 giving opportunity to the Commission to see what the
5 structure promises in the way of production before ad-
6 ditional lands on the structure are made available to
7 leasing. The Commission also has the protective device
8 of rejecting all leases in the event the cash bonus does
9 not appear to be in the interest of the State.

10 I think one of the biggest problems that I
11 encountered in looking at the problem given to the con-
12 sultants was in respect to Section 6827. As I read
13 that, royalty on oil shall be on a sliding scale in every
14 instance, and the minimum shall be sixteen and two-thirds,
15 although it could be higher. The maximum royalty shall
16 be specified and it could be a hundred per cent. The
17 royalty on gas shall be not less than sixteen and two-
18 thirds and could be higher. The royalty can be paid
19 in kind or a per cent of the sales price, but when we
20 come down to the question of the language of the statute,
21 unless all bids are rejected, the Commission shall award
22 an advertised lease to a qualified bidder who undertakes
23 to pay the highest cash bonus in addition to satisfying
24 all other provisions of the lease or in the alternative
25 when specified in the invitation to bid, undertakes to
26 pay the highest rate of royalty in addition to satisfying

1 the other provisions of the lease, and as I read that
2 sentence, it seems to me that in the case of a royalty
3 bid, another provision of the lease could be a cash bonus,
4 and it seems to me that it would be highly proper, as
5 the Commission judges the circumstances that would affect
6 the willingness of lessees to take lands, that even though
7 the royalty is the bid factor, that the Commission would
8 be in its full power and duty and its obligation to
9 specify a high cash bonus if it so chose in the case of
10 a royalty bid.

11 Now, there are other provisions, Mr. Chairman,
12 for the moment that are not important, but which will
13 be referred to in my final report, such matters as the
14 allowance for dehydration, and I would recommend that
15 no such allowance be made, and the matter of the selling
16 price in determining the bases on which a royalty is to
17 be paid, it would be my recommendation such a lease
18 provide that the Commission shall determine what the
19 selling price for the particular type of crude is on
20 which the royalty is to be paid, and I think the leases
21 should, of course, include the provision, which I think
22 we now have, and that is that the State may take its
23 royalty oil in kind, and that the provisions in the lease
24 should be such as to require the operator to accommodate
25 the State in that respect.

26 That is the extent of my present thinking.

6 1 Mr. Chairman, and my preliminary view of my final report.

2 CHAIRMAN PIERCE: Now, may I ask, Mr. Kaveler and
3 Mr. Wanenmacher, will you be prepared to submit to the
4 Commission before its next meeting or at the time of
5 its next meeting, which will take place on or about the
6 10th, 11th or 12th of February, your final reports?

7 MR. KAVELER: I will be able to.

8 MR. WANENMACHER: I think so.

9 CHAIRMAN PIERCE: In other words, I want to announce
10 to the audience that these two consultants whom we have
11 retained, will have in our possession final reports
12 containing their findings and recommendations on or before
13 the next meeting of the State Lands Commission, which will
14 be about thirty days from now. At that time the infor-
15 mation that they contain will be available to all in-
16 terested parties so that you may know the nature of the
17 recommendations before the members of the State Lands
18 Commission make any final decision with respect thereto.

19 Now, this is a very complicated problem in
20 procedure, and we hope to benefit from the advice of
21 these men who are nationally recognized in the field of
22 petroleum engineering, and I personally feel we will
23 profit immeasurably from the information that they are
24 able to develop.

25 Now, Mr. Kirkwood, have you any question to
26 ask these gentlemen or any statement to make with regard

1 to their presentations:

2 MR. KIRKWOOD: No, I don't believe so, John. As I
3 understand it, they will be available between now and the
4 time of the preparation of their final reports for
5 discussion with industry people. I think that should be
6 known. I would be curious as to whether there is any
7 reaction to their preliminary presentation at this time.

8 CHAIRMAN PIERCE: Is there any one present who wants
9 to comment?

10 Bear in mind, these are not final recommendations
11 and these are not all of the recommendations, and both of
12 the consultants have not referred specifically to royalty
13 rates and schedules. They have generalized in their
14 observations at this meeting.

15 Does anybody in the audience desire to comment
16 with regard to the procedure we are following? Does
17 anybody have any questions to ask?

18 (No audible response.)

19 CHAIRMAN PIERCE: Assemblyman Allen, have you any
20 questions to ask?

21 ASSEMBLYMAN ALLEN: No, I don't at this time. Thank
22 you.

23 CHAIRMAN PIERCE: All right. Well, thank you,
24 gentleman, for your report, and we would like to have
25 you stay for the duration of this meeting and listen to
26 our further proceedings.

1 All right, Mr. Hortic, if you will, proceed
2 with the agenda, please.

3 MR. HORTIC: Page 24.

4 CHAIRMAN PIERCE: Page 24. May we have order.

5 MR. HORTIC: As the Commissioners will recall, in
6 conjunction with a series of projects heretofore author-
7 ized on a fiscal year basis in connection with sub-
8 sidence remedial operations by the City of Long Beach
9 over which the Commission has an area of supervision
10 by reason of Chapter 29 of the statutes of 1956, one of
11 the remaining areas of operation, the matter of property
12 purchase and areal fill to protect against subsidence,
13 has, for the last two months, as a minimum, been approved
14 on a monthly basis pending the final determination of
15 accounting basis and some legal factors involved in so
16 far as total approval of a project of this type on a
17 fiscal year basis may be feasible. Therefore, at this
18 time the City of Long Beach has applied and a staff has
19 reviewed the application as to approval of funds to
20 provide for a limited amount of property purchases and
21 areal fill projects as detailed in the amounts shown
22 on Page 25 of this calendar.

23 The approval would be subject to the standard
24 conditions heretofore established by the Commission as
25 to accounting and engineering review after the project
26 has been completed and the physical factors are known

1 precisely.

2 It is recommended that authorization be given
3 for approval of this project on a monthly basis as of
4 Line 4, proposed expenditure by the City of Long Beach
5 during February, 1958.

6 CHAIRMAN PIERCE: Any questions?

7 MR. KIRKWOOD: Have we authorized this broad an
8 authorization before, Frank?

9 MR. HORTIG: Yes, sir. This is repetitive of last
10 month's authorization except for the date.

11 MR. KIRKWOOD: All right.

12 MR. HORTIG: And actual subdivision items.

13 CHAIRMAN PIERCE: Long Beach --

14 MR. LINGLE: That is satisfactory.

15 CHAIRMAN PIERCE: The recommendation and schedule
16 is satisfactory to the City of Long Beach?

17 MR. LINGLE: Yes, sir.

18 CHAIRMAN PIERCE: The recommendation is approved.

19 Your name, for the record, please?

20 MR. LINGLE: Harold A. Lingle, Deputy City Attorney.

21 MR. HORTIG: Page 2.

22 CHAIRMAN PIERCE: Page 2?

23 MR. HORTIG: Yes. State Oil and Gas Agreement for
24 Easement 392.1 was issued to the Southwest Exploration
25 Company in 1938 pursuant to competitive public bidding
26 as provided in Chapter 5 of the Statutes of 1938. The

1 lease was subsequently assigned to Signal Oil and Gas
2 Company and Hancock Oil Company. Signal Oil and Gas
3 Company is the designated operator.

4 An application has been received from the
5 operator requesting that the terms of the lease be
6 modified as provided for under Section 6873 of the
7 Public Resources Code, as amended by Chapter 104 of
8 the Statutes of 1957, in order that the lessee may take
9 advantage of the more flexible operating and develop-
10 ment conditions specified.

11 It is the opinion of the office of the
12 Attorney General that this specific lease may be modified
13 in accordance with the provisions of Section 6873 of the
14 Public Resources Code.

15 It is recommended that the Commission approve
16 the modification of the terms of oil and gas agreement
17 for Easement 392.1, as requested by the Signal Oil and
18 Gas Company, as operator, by the substitution of the
19 provisions of Chapter 104 of the Statutes of 1957, all
20 other terms, conditions, and performance requirements under
21 the lease to remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

22 By way of further comment, Mr. Chairman, this
23 is the identical type of application and proced e which
24 was reviewed by the Commission with respect to two leases
25 at the last calendar which have already been modified in
26 the same manner.

1 CHAIRMAN PIERCE: This merely takes an existing
2 lease and places it in conformity with the new law which
3 was enacted at the last Session of the Legislature?

4 MR. HORTIG: That is right.

5 MR. KIRKWOOD: What are the specific modifications?

6 MR. HORTIG: The specific modifications or the
7 principal specific modifications relate to the ability
8 of an operator under the remaining control of the Com-
9 mission as to approval to develop the lease from mobile
10 marine or other marine type structures in addition to
11 the placement of off-shore islands which is already
12 authorized under prior conditions of the Public Resources
13 Code.

14 CHAIRMAN PIERCE: Any further questions?

15 MR. KIRKWOOD: Yes. This is the same thing that
16 comes up now from Page 2 to 10?

17 MR. HORTIG: That is correct. All their applica-
18 tions for amendments to leases are to accomplish the
19 same thing.

20 MR. KIRKWOOD: And it is specifically contemplated
21 by the legislation?

22 MR. HORTIG: It is specifically authorized by the
23 legislation that this may be done.

24 MR. KIRKWOOD: Do you think there is a difference?

25 MR. HORTIG: I would think so. There still could
26 be circumstances under which it might not be desirable

1 to amend the lease to the full extent authorized by the
2 Statutes within the discretion of the Commission, but
3 in these instances, it is felt and in being consistent
4 with prior actions of the Commission, that these are
5 and should be recommended.

6 CHAIRMAN PIERCE: You have received no objection
7 to this recommendation?

8 MR. HORTIG: None specifically, no, sir.

9 MR. KIRKWOOD: Any comment?

10 CHAIRMAN PIERCE: Any comment from anybody in the
11 audience with respect to this recommendation?

12 (No audible response.)

13 MR. KIRKWOOD: Well, I move the approval of the
14 items on Page 2 through 10.

15 Aren't they all the same?

16 MR. HORTIG: They are the same except as to the
17 areas in the leases and lessees.

18 CHAIRMAN PIERCE: Mr. Kirkwood has moved that these
19 recommendations on Pages 2 to 10 inclusive be approved,
20 and I second the motion, so they will stand approved.

21 The next item, Mr. Hortig.

22 MR. HORTIG: Page 11. State Oil and Gas Lease 92
23 was issued to the Pacific Western Oil Company in 1929
24 pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 303 of the
25 Statutes of 1921. The lease was assigned to the Pacific
26 Western Oil Corporation, and in 1949 was extended and

1 renewed as Lease P.R.C. 420.1 for a period of ten years.
2 The lessee's name was subsequently changed to Getty
3 Oil Company.

4 An application has been received from the
5 Getty Oil Company requesting termination of the lease
6 in accordance with Section 27 of the lease, which provides
7 that the lease may be terminated upon the mutual consent
8 in writing of the parties thereto.

9 All wells drilled on the lease have been
10 properly abandoned in conformance with the lease terms,
11 the Rules and Regulations of the State Lands Commission,
12 and the provisions of Division 3 of the Public Resources
13 Code, which are the regulatory and statutory provisions
14 for the Division of Oil and Gas. An inspection of the
15 area has shown that all well facilities and piers have
16 been removed from the leased area in conformance with
17 the approved plans previously submitted. All royalties
18 and other obligations due and payable to the State have
19 been paid.

20 It is recommended that the Commission author-
21 ize the termination of Lease P.R.C. 420.1, effective
22 this date, in accordance with the provisions of the
23 lease as requested by the Getty Oil Company.

24 CHAIRMAN PIERCE: Any discussion. Does anybody
25 have any questions to ask?

26 MR. KIRKWOOD: What acreage is involved?

1 MR. HORTIG: It is relatively low. It is less than
2 a hundred acres.

3 MR. KIRKWOOD: I move it be approved.

4 CHAIRMAN PIERCE: The recommendation is approved.

5 MR. HORTIG: Page 12, gentlemen, is the identical
6 problem with respect to the adjoining lease which was
7 also held by the Getty Oil Company, and involves, if
8 anything, actually less acreage.

9 MR. KIRKWOOD: I move it be approved.

10 CHAIRMAN PIERCE: The recommendation is approved.

11 MR. HORTIG: Page 13. On September 13, 1957, the
12 Commission granted Tidewater Oil Company a deferment
13 of drilling and operating requirements under Oil and
14 Gas Lease P.R.C. 1744.1 at Summerland in Santa Barbara
15 County. Deferment was granted until February 11, 1958,
16 subject to the conditions that the lessee would, during
17 the period of deferment, initiate development or quitclaim
18 the lease area or present new adequate bases for any
19 further consideration of the deferment.

20 The request has been received from the
21 Tidewater Oil Company for an additional deferment of
22 60 days in order to complete arrangements which are in
23 process for the drilling of a well in the leased area.
24 It is recommended that the Commission authorize the
25 extension and the deferment for 60 days until April 12,
26 1958, subject to performance by the lessee of the same

1 conditions included in the original grant of deferment.

2 CHAIRMAN PIERCE: Any questions?

3 MR. KIRKWOOD: This hasn't anything to do with
4 what Mr. Kaveler was talking about on the permit? This
5 is not one where there was a three-year original period?

6 MR. HORTIG: This was a one year original period,
7 as I recall, sir. The well has actually been drilled but
8 the problem is that there is further drilling under this
9 lease. This was the first and only proven area lease
10 issued under the Cunningham-Shell Tidelands Act.

11 MR. KIRKWOOD: I move it be approved.

12 CHAIRMAN PIERCE: The recommendation is approved.

13 MR. HORTIG: Page 14.

14 CHAIRMAN PIERCE: Does that conclude the oil items?

15 MR. HORTIG: No, sir, not quite. If I may, I refer
16 you gentlemen to Page 34.

17 CHAIRMAN PIERCE: Page 34.

18 MR. HORTIG: An application has been received from
19 Western Offshore Drilling and Exploration Company for
20 permission to conduct geological explorations from mobile
21 marine equipment for the three-month period commencing
22 January 15, 1958. Permission has been requested to
23 conduct core drilling operations in the area between a
24 line drawn due West from Pt. San Luis, San Luis Obispo
25 County, and a line drawn due South from a point on the
26 ordinary high water mark six miles easterly of Pt. Dume,

1 Los Angeles County.

2 Western Offshore Drilling and Exploration
3 Company, as operator, proposes to enter into contracts
4 with independent operators for the conduct of core
5 drilling operations. The statutory application filing
6 fee has been paid by the applicant, and it is recom-
7 mended that the Commission authorize the issuance to
8 Western Offshore Drilling and Exploration Company a
9 geological survey permit, conforming to the operating
10 conditions established by the Commission, the permit to
11 be for the period January 15, 1958, to April 14, 1958,
12 inclusive, subject to the following conditions: The
13 permittee is to reimburse the State Lands Commission
14 for all of its inspection costs, and upon demand by
15 the Commission, the permittee shall make available for
16 inspection all factual and physical exploration results,
17 logs, and records resulting from the operations under
18 the permit, for the confidential information of the
19 Commission.

20 MR. KIRKWOOD: Does this include part of the closed
21 area?

22 MR. HORTIG: The so-called sanctuary area?

23 MR. KIRKWOOD: Yes, sir.

24 MR. HORTIG: Yes, sir.

25 MR. KIRKWOOD: Have we issued permits covering that
26 area?

1 MR. HORTIG: Yes, sir. Those have all taken place
2 within the sanctuary area in order to get the data
3 even though there is no basis for offering leases in
4 the area.

5 MR. KIRKWOOD: San Luis Obispo County doesn't have
6 any concern with this permit?

7 MR. HORTIG: There is no portion of San Luis Obispo
8 County in this permit which would be within the closed
9 area.

10 MR. KIRKWOOD: All right. It would be the offshore
11 in Santa Barbara.

12 MR. HORTIG: Yes, sir.

13 CHAIRMAN PIERCE: Any further questions or comment?
14 (No audible response.)

15 CHAIRMAN PIERCE: If not, the recommendation will
16 stand approved.

17 That concludes all the items on the agenda
18 that involves oil.

19 Now, back to Page 14.

20 The meeting will come back to order. Now, Mr.
21 Hortig, if you will, proceed with Page 14.

22 MR. HORTIG: Page 14. The Department of Fish and
23 Game has reported that requests were filed in 1955 for
24 the withdrawal by the U. S. Department of the Interior
25 of certain public domain lands for wildlife purposes
26 in the Otay Mountain and McCain Valley areas of San Diego

1 County.

2 A public hearing was held on October 1, 1957,
3 with respect to the proposed McCain Valley withdrawal
4 containing approximately 38,000 acres. Should favorable
5 action be taken on this withdrawal request, the Depart-
6 ment of Fish and Game has planned to make application
7 to purchase 640 acres of vacant State school land, which
8 would be within the exterior limits of the proposed
9 withdrawn area. The decision of the Department of
10 Interior it is anticipated will take some time to be
11 reached before there can be a notification to the Depart-
12 ment of Fish and Game as to whether the withdrawal has
13 been approved or disapproved and, therefore, it is
14 requested by the Department of Fish and Game that the
15 vacant State school lands be withdrawn from public sale
16 in order that the Department may proceed with an ap-
17 plication to purchase if this land becomes necessary
18 to their project.

19 It is recommended that the Commission authorize
20 the withdrawal from public sale of Section 16, Township
21 15 south, Range 6 east, San Diego County, until June
22 30, 1958.

23 MR. KIRKWOOD: This land is in the area that is
24 contiguous or surrounded by.

25 MR. HORTIG: It is surrounded by, yes. There are
26 no pending applications for purchase of this specific

1 property.

2 CHAIRMAN PIERCE: The recommendation is approved.

3 Page 15, Mr. Smith.

4 MR. SMITH: This is the restoration to public sale
5 of vacant state school land.

6 The Commission at its meeting held September
7 30, 1952, rejected an application to purchase 320 acres
8 in San Bernardino County and withdrew the land from
9 public sale.

10 Rejection of the application and withdrawal
11 of the land from sale was based upon information as-
12 sembled at the time of appraisal to the effect that
13 considerable development of the area was under way,
14 particularly the establishment of the Marine Corps
15 Artillery Training Center at Twentynine Palms, together
16 with construction of new access roadways. It was felt
17 that by withholding the land from sale the State might
18 in the future realize a larger return following the
19 development and improvement of the surrounding area.

20 Review of the matter by the appraisal staff
21 at the present time indicates that it may now be in the
22 best interest of the State to offer the subject land
23 for public sale, principally in view of the prevailing
24 high prices for which lands in this area are now being
25 sold.

26 It is recommended that the Commission restore

1 to public sale the east half of Section 36 containing
2 320 acres in San Bernardino County, with a minimum offer
3 of \$10.50 per acre required to qualify an application
4 for consideration, subject to reappraisal of the land in
5 accordance with the standard procedure.

6 MR. KIRKWOOD: Is this just something that you
7 instituted or is there a request for this?

8 MR. SMITH: There have been numerous inquiries and
9 I would say a half a dozen within the last two or three
10 months concerning this very same parcel.

11 MR. KIRKWOOD: It hasn't been reappraised.

12 MR. SMITH: No, it hasn't.

13 On the basis of a rough estimate by our ap-
14 praisers who are familiar with the area, it may be
15 worth \$200.00 to \$300.00 an acre at the present time,
16 roughly.

17 CHAIRMAN PIERCE: Any further questions?

18 MR. KIRKWOOD: No questions.

19 CHAIRMAN PIERCE: The recommendation is approved.

20 MR. SMITH: Page 16. The sale of vacant swamp and
21 overflowed land in Alpine County.

22 An offer has been received from Fred H.
23 Dressler of Gardnerville, Nevada, to purchase 40 acres
24 of swampland in Alpine County. An offer of \$5.00 per
25 acre was made, and an appraisal has established the
26 value of the subject land at \$50.00 per acre, and the

1 applicant has deposited the amount required to meet this
2 value. The land was advertised for sale and no bids
3 were received pursuant to the advertising.

4 It is recommended that the Commission find
5 that the 40 acres of swamp and overflowed land in Alpine
6 County is not suitable for cultivation without arti-
7 ficial irrigation and authorize the sale of said land
8 to the single applicant, Fred H. Dressler, at a cash
9 price of \$2,000, subject to all statutory reservations
10 including minerals.

11 CHAIRMAN PIERCE: The recommendation is approved.

12 MR. SMITH: Page 17. The sale of vacant State school
13 land.

14 Offers have been received pursuant to published
15 notice for the receipt of bids to purchase vacant State
16 school land. Appraisals by the Commission's staff
17 indicate that the offers made are adequate and that said
18 offers are equal to or in excess of the appraised value
19 of the land.

20 It is recommended that the sale be approved
21 by the Commission.

22 CHAIRMAN PIERCE: The recommendation is approved.

23 MR. HORTIG: Page 22.

24 MR. SMITH: The sale of vacant Federal land.

25 It is recommended that the Commission deter-
26 mine that it is to the advantage of the State to select

11

1 the 28.74 acres of vacant Federal land in Yuba County,
2 and that the Commission find that said land is not
3 suitable for cultivation, and authorize the sale to
4 Samuel Owen Gunning at the appraised price of \$1,494.48,
5 subject to all statutory reservations including minerals.

6 CHAIRMAN PIERCE: The recommendation is approved.

7 MR. SMITH: This is the selection of vacant Federal
8 land.

9 MR. HORTIG: Page 23.

10 MR. SMITH: Page 23. The selection of vacant
11 Federal land in Los Angeles County.

12 The State's application -- the State's ap-
13 plicant has cancelled his request for acquisition of
14 the land.

15 It is recommended that the Commission deter-
16 mine that it is to the advantage of the State to select
17 the Federal land containing 40 acres in Los Angeles
18 County; that the Commission find that said land is not
19 suitable for cultivation without artificial irrigation,
20 and that the Commission approve the selection of said
21 land and authorize the sale thereof pursuant to the
22 rules and regulations governing the sale of vacant State
23 school land.

24 MR. KIRKWOOD: This means that this land won't be
25 put up again until somebody comes in with \$345.40.

26 MR. SMITH: That is correct, under the present rules

1 and regulations.

2 MR. KIRKWOOD: How often do we reconsider those
3 parcels?

4 MR. SMITH: Well, when an application -- when an
5 appraisal is more than six months old, the land is re-
6 inspected to see if there is any change of value. It
7 is considered to be outdated beyond that.

8 CHAIRMAN PIERCE: The recommended is approved.

9 MR. HORTIG: Page 26.

10 MR. KIRKWOOD: I recommend that it be approved.

11 CHAIRMAN PIERCE: On Page 26 the recommendation is
12 approved.

13 MR. HORTIG: Page 27 through 33 constitutes a
14 tabulation of action previously taken by the Executive
15 Officer under the delegation of authority to be submitted
16 for conformation by the Commission.

17 CHAIRMAN PIERCE: It all appears to be in order.

18 MR. HORTIG: Okay.

19 CHAIRMAN PIERCE: The recommendation is approved.

20 Any further business to come before the Com-
21 mission?

22 MR. HORTIG: No, sir, not from the staff.

23 CHAIRMAN PIERCE: Anybody in the audience?

24 (No audible response.)

25 CHAIRMAN PIERCE: The meeting will stand adjourned.

26 (The hearing was adjourned at 3:15 P. M.)

1 IN THE MATTER OF THE
2 STATE LANDS COMMISSION
3 MEETING HELD AT LOS ANGELES,
4 CALIFORNIA.
5

CERTIFICATE
OF
REPORTER

6
7 I, JOHN J. RABASA, Reporter for the State Lands
8 Commission, hereby certify that the foregoing is a full,
9 true and correct transcript of the stenographic notes
10 taken by me in this matter, on the date hereinbefore
11 specified, and that the same is a full, true and correct
12 record of the proceedings had in the same matter before
13 the State Lands Commission of the State of California.

14 Dated at Los Angeles, California, on January 24th,
15 1958.
16
17

18 
19 Reporter
20
21
22
23
24

25 * * * * *
26