1	TRANSGRIPT OF MEETING
	STATE LANDS COMMISSION
3 / 4	LOS ANGELES, CALLFORNIA JULY 30, 1959 9:00 A. M.
5	PARTICIPANTS:
8	THE COMPLESION:
7	Messrs. Glenn M. Anderson, Acting Governor, Chairman Alan Granston, Controller
8 9	(Mr. Bert Levit, Director of Finance, absent)
10	F. J. Hortig, Executive Officer
11	Fred Zwelback, Executive Secretary to Gov. Anderson
12	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY CENERAL:
13	Mr. Dan Kaufmann, Assistant Attorney General
14	GUEST:
15	Wr. John E. Carr, Director of Finance-Appointed
16	
17 °	APPEARANCES:
18	Mr. Harold Lingle, Deputy City Attorney for the City of Long Beach
19	
50	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	Reporter: Louise H. Lillico Division of Administrative Procedure

(5 to	INDEX (In accordance With Calendar Summery)				
2 8	ITE	man all some of the control of the c	VALENDAR TPEM NOMEER	A Charlest Control of the Control of	PAGE OF TRANSCRIE
		Confirmation of minutes meetings 6/25 and 7/13/59			
5	2.	Special Order of Business Long Beach tide and submers Lands boundary determination			
8	3.	Permits, easements, rights, of-way, no fee:			
9		(a) Dept. Fish & Game	7.11	2	4 %
10		(b) Freedom County SanLt. Di	.s. 3	3	4
* 11		(c) Half Moon Bay " "	4	4	
12		(d) Division of Highways	28	5	5
13		(e) "	29	6	5
14		(f) "	37		
15 9		(a) "	38	8	
16	u M	(h) Chandler Lloyd, Trustee	40	9	5
17		(1) Dept. Water & Power, L. A	1. 17	10	5
18		(j) Merced County Road Dept	. 20	13	5
19		(k) Pacific Tel.& Tel. Co.	27	14	•
20		(1) Stockton, City of	10	15	
SI		(m) Stockton, City of	16	16	
22		MOTION APPROVING I	TIN 3	***	** 7
23	4.	Permits, easements, leases,			
24		(a) Argus Development Compa	The 21	17	3
25		(b) Combs, Eldredge E., et a	1 15	8	
26		- continue	The state of the s		naki panjani karanjah panganah di naki panganah di naki pangan

4	(In accordance with Cal	man de ma Cura					
2		CALENDAR	PAGE OF CALENDAR	PAGE OF TRANSCRIZT			
, 3 0 ()	4. Perlits, easoments, leases, rights-of-way ree (conto						
	(c) Expod and Elsbrod	12 \	19	9			
ø	(0) Filippili, Ed	7	21 /	9			
7	(e) Knight , Edith C.	6	. 22	9			
8	(f) Lleyd, Chandler	18	23	9 .			
9	(g) Myco Wining Company	35	24	9			
20	(h) " , " "	36	26	9			
11	(1) Site Six Resort Corp.	2:2	28	9			
1.2	(j) Standard Oil Co. of Calif.& Humble Oil	26	2)	9			
13	(k) Standard Q11 Company) 53	34	9			
14	(1) Standard Oil Company, Weskern Operations, Inc.	55	33	9			
16	(m) Tidowater Oil Company	5	35	15			
17	(n) Time Oil Company	30	36	15			
18	MOTION ON DIEM 4.		******				
19	5. Sales of Vacant School Land						
50	(a) Allen, Robert J.	39	37	A pos			
81	(b) Cooknell, Reginald T.		38 }				
88	(c) Dekker, Warla	8					
23 24	(G) Highways, Divn of Dept. Public Works	144	40 \	16			
25	(e) Hood, Paul E.	- 56	40 {				
26	(f) Jayne, Allen	9	43				
, , , , , ,	- continu	ec -					

The state of the s

1	(In accordance With Cale	endar Sı	mmery)	
3		LENDAR PEW NO.	PACE OF CALENDAR	PAGE OF TRANSCRIPT
4	5. Sales of Vacant School Wand			
5 6	(g) Palmarest Development Co.	23	44 }	
rj.	(h) Stowell, Frederick R.	24	45	
B	(1) Rudd and Kynder (j) Shaw, Stanford C.	13 4	45	
9	(k) Stowell, Frederick R.	14	47 }	16
10	(1) Stowell, Frederick R.	48	50	
11	MOTION ON ITEM 5	which, spring, would shrink apolic offices princip	strongs broads about among chairs suring square supple	16
13	6. City of Long Beach Projects			
24	(a) Water Injection System Fault Blocks IV and V		51	17
200	(b) rapiding Lot	43	52	14
10	(3) Water-flooding program (release agreement)	49	53	21
18	(d) Spreckels Area	<u>45</u>	55	55
19	(e) Town Lot	46	57	* 23
20	(T) Mack area Plers A-D	47	59	24
21	(s) Fier A (h) Roads and Street:	47	59	24
22	(1) Thomas and solvests	47	59 Ex	24
26	(j) Subsidence Studies	47	59 59	24
24	MOTION ON TIEM 6			24
26	7. City of Belveders's Annexation	egy		Manage Control
NO.	Welver of Statutory Rotles		65	24

1		paging and an interesting an interesting an interesting an interesting and an interesting analysis and an interesting and an interesting and an interesting an interesting an interesting and an interesting an interest	INDE		<i>0</i> ′	
s	TM	In accordance of the contraction	CAI		GR OF PAC	he of Inscript
3	ht. states	Fourthe Authoraty	Harat Marie Pari		And the state of t	And T days have do he wife do all a state of the state of
4		and County San Fre	. بالمح فصام والخميان والسوط والعراقة لم الألم الأمام والمحموم والمعاملية	32	66	25
. 5 6	9.	Withdrawal from salvasant swamp and ov				
7	6 50	land - San Bernard:	Lno County	**************************************	68	27
8	σ	Rental Hattes and Po	A The state of the	52	69	27
9	ه شدیات	Authorization init: procedure to amend regulations	disminus areas are in the single contract of	54	70	28
10	12.	Correcti nute :	item 31	57	73	28
11 12	13.	Salary-Executive O	<u> </u>	19	74	29
13	14.	Status of Major Lit	tigation	41	75	29
14		Status of Legislat:		53		30
25			**************************************			
16						
17						
18 19						
20						
21						
88						
23						
24 25						$\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \right)$
26 26						

	A season to be added to the transfer of the season of the	MIMIBER	a y	
ALENDAR PAGE OR TEM CALERDAR	PAGE OF TRANSCRIPT	CALENDAR	PAGE OF CALENDAR	PAGE OF TRANSCR
A TOTAL STATE OF THE STATE OF		30 31 32		157 65 995 55 5 5 99 98 6 22 3 4 1 6 27 9 7 1 8 9 1 8 9 1 8 9 1

The meeting was called to order and Mr. Cranston moved ther Lieutenant Governor Anderson (Acting Governor) serve as Acting Chairman.

GOV. ANDERSON: The first item on the agenda, then, is confirmation of the minutes of the meeting of June 25th.

MR. HORTIG: May I, Mr. Chairman, add that it would be in order for the Commission also to consider at this time confirmation of the minutes of July 13th, at which time the Commission approved the two cooperative water flooding agreements for the City of Long Beach in the short meeting held in Sacramento on July 13th.

MR. CRANSTON: I move that both sets of minutes be approved as submitted.

GGV. ANDERSON: If there is no objection, approved as submitted.

The next item is the special order of business -- Long Beach tide and submerged lands boundary determination. Reports to be given by representatives of the City of Long Beach, the Attorney General's office, and the staff of the Commission.

Pir. HORTIG: By way of introduction, if the Chairman please, I believe the reports can best follow chronologically and keep the information in proper sequence for the Commission if the Commission will first hear the report on status from Assistant Attorney General Dan Kaufmank

MR. KAUFMANN: I can report to the Commission that the representatives of the City and of the State are still

B

2.3

IA

3 4 5

8

OL

11

12

13

14

15

10

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

26

consulting and that following the lust meeting, that would be the June meeting of the Commission, we worked out with the City Attorney of Long Eeach an agreement which we believe wil adequately protect the rights of the Stats due to the time lapse because of these negotiations. The agreement has been considered by our office and the Attorney's office in the City and, as I say, we are satisfied with it.

Now, it is my understanding that the City Council could not act this quickly on the precise language that we have used but that the matter was to have been presented to them on Tuesday to see if they concurred at least generally with the ideas presented by this agreement. I don't know if the representative

MR. LINGIE: I can speak.

MR. KAUFMANN: I think a representative of the City Attorney's office can speak about that. We feel this agreement will adequately protect the rights of the State for the time being. It does not cover both the items considered in the June meeting. Point 2, as to the matter of impounding funds, we consider should be put over for a future meeting.

MR. CRANSTON: Point 1 is fully covered?

MR. KAUFMANN: Point 1 is fully covered and we have adequately covered the rights of the State at this time.

MR. LINGLE: I am Harold Lingle, Deputy Attorney of the City of Long Beach. Although I was not present at the Council meetings. I can report that our Council held two meetings on

Wednesday, voted to accept a walver -- voted to accept in principle a waiver such as described by Mr. Kaufmann. They did not consider any specific language, but in principle that walver is acceptable to our City Council.

MR. KAUFMANN: The next step will be for them to get the approval of the City Council to specific language such as we now have an agreement on with the City Attorney's office.

MR. CRANSTON: Is it your recommendation that we continue the matter to give you time to consider this?

MR. KAUFMANN: I believe that is advisable since the agreement has not been implemented.

MA. CRANSTON: I so move -- that we take it up at the next meeting.

KR. HORTIG: If it is satisfactory, may I add to that the suggestion that the Commission at this time convey to the Executive Officer authority to execute the proposed stipulation which will insure the State's rights during the negotiation period, as outlined by Mr. Kaufmann.

MR. CRANSTON: I would include that understanding in my motion.

GOV. ANDERSON: If there is no objection, then, it is so ordered. That completes, then, Item 2 -- the special order of business.

24

2

3

4

0

15

10

11

.12

13

14

15

16

1.7

18

10

20

21

22

23

25

MR. HORTIG: Yes sir.

GOV. ANDERSON: Item (a) - Fish and Game -- 49-year permit, Tehama County; Item (b) Freedom County Sanitation District -- 49-year easement for two sewer outfalls in Monterey Bay.

Now, I had a question on that, I believe. What proteation is there against pollution of the beaches on this type of thing?

MR. HORTIG: Number one, the Public Resources Code, pursuant to which such easements are issued by the State Lands Commission, prohibits pollution. Number two, both the U. S. Public Health Service and State Department of Public Health are involved in the construction, operation and maintenance of these projects and, of course, enforce both State and Federal anti-pollution statutes.

GOV. ANDERSON: That same explanation, then, would cover the next one -- Half Moon Bay Sanitation District?

MR. HCRTIG: Exactly, and the two on the next page relating to sewer easements for the City of Stockton, Items (1) and (m) In the tabulation.

GOV. ANDERSON: The nest would be Item (d) -- the

Marin County; Item (e) is Division of Highways right-of-way across the lands of the Brickyard Canal in Marin County; Item (\$) is Division of Highways right-of-way across lands of Cyster Canal in Marin County; item (g) is Division of Highways right-of-way across the lands of Middle Canal, all Richardson Bay.

MR HOREIC: At that point, may I bring to the attention of the Commission that the four items for Division of Highways are patently all one highway product. They will require easements over tide and submerged lands in which currently title is in the State of California, but as of September 17th will be in the County of Marin by reason of tideland grants by the Legislature in the last session. For that reason, approval of the County of Marin was requested and received with respect to these easements at this time, because these easements will constitute an engumbrance upon the lands which the County expects to receive.

GOV. ANDERSON: Item (h) -- Chandler Lloyd, Trustee -- dredging of approximately 79,000 cubic yards of material from lands in Ozol, Contra Costa County, for improvement of navigation.

Item (I) is the Department of Power and Water, City of Los Angeles -- acceptance of quitclaim deeds on easements over State school lands in San Bernardino County; Item (j) -- Merced County Road Department -- removal of sand from San Joaquin River; Item (k) - Pacific Tolephone and Telegraph

ZI

nerged lands of San Francisco Bay. I had a question on that, as to why there was no fee for the use of this land.

WR. HORTIG: For the Telephone Company asement,

GOV. ANDERSON: Yes.

which constitutes a codification of statutes which have been on the California statute books for many years, authorizes telephone and telegraph companies to put telephone and telegraph lines along highways and across sovereign lands, particularly tide and submerged lands, at no fee — the consideration being the public use and benefit by reason of this installation.

GOV. ANDERSON: Mr. Zweiback is asking if that is mandatory or permissive.

ompanies to do so and, as a matter of fact, they did, without notice to any State agency, for many years prior to the time of the creation of the State Lands Commission; and we have been successful since the creation of the State Lands Commission in having the telephone companies agree to execute specific easement documents so that all their telephone occupations of State Lands are of record and we know precisely where they are. Before the greation of the State Lands Commission, they just occupied the lands willy-nilly and no one knew where they were.

La

6 7

ان

MR. ZWEIBACK: Is it your interpretation the State would have no authority to charge a fee?

MR. HORTIG: This is in accordance with the Attorney General's opinion.

MR. ZWEIEACK: Or performance bond?

MM. HORTIG: Or performance bond. The Commission could demand — in circumstances where the circumstances might be deemed to be hazardous, could require performance bond. In this specific instance, that is not considered to be the case so no performance bond is required. It would be within the province of the Commission to require a performance bond if one were necessary.

GOV. ANDERSON: Item (1) City of Stockton -- 49-year easement for sewer line across Mormon Slough, San Joaquin County; (m) - City of Stockton, 49-year easement for sewer line across the Stockton Channel, San Joaquin County.

That completes Item 3. Is there a motion on that?

NR. CRANSTON: I move approval of all of those items.

GOV. ANDERSON: There is no objection and so ordered.

Next, Item 4 -- permits, easements, leases and rights-of-way issued pursuant to statutes and established rental policies of the Commission.

operating requirements, mineral extraction lease, Inyo County;

Item (b) -- Eldredge Combs -- approval of crude oil sales contract for oil produced under easements in Orange Country;

believe I had a question on that — the question of explaining a little bit. Now, the calendar item on page 18 — that first paragraph wasn't too clear to me. Could you, Mr. Hortig, explain that?

MR. HORTIG: This results undoubtedly from the inverse language which was included in leases issued as late as 1940, following the prototype that had actually been established by a State Lands Division prior to a State Lands Commission as early as 1929, and which had been standard language in industry leases -- in that the provisions are for, ordinarily, delivery of the State's royalty portion of the oil in actual barrels of oil, with the alternative that the State could elect, in lieu of taking the oil, to take its royalty in money. All current leases provide for a royalty payment in dollars cash. At the option of the State, if the State so desires, the State may elect to have its royalty in actual barrels of oil if there were a reason, whereby the State could secure a better market for the oil or in some circumstances might have use for the oil -- if we had a State refinery, which we don't have. On some of the royalty leases heretofore, the policy has been to take the State's gas royalties rather than taking any money.

None of these has been deemed to be economically practical, but the circumstances may still arise in the future, depending upon the economics of the oil business, when one or the other of these alternates may be selected by the Commission, whichever is most beneficial to the State.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Elsbree -- 15-year lease on 1.04 acre strip of land alongside the Sacramento River; Item (d) Ed Filipelli -- five-year grazing lease in Lassen County; Item (e) -- Edith Knight -- five-year grazing lease in San Bernardino County; Item (f) Chandler Lloyd -- permission to enlarge existing structure covered by lease at Port Costa in Contra Costa County; Item (g) -- Myco Mining Company, two-year prospecting permit, Fresno County; (h) Myco Mining Company, two-year prospecting permit on State school lands in Fresno and San Benito Counties; Item (i) -- a Site Six Resort Corporation -- 49-year right-of-way easement over submerged lands in San Bernardino County.

MR. HORTIG: If I may call the Governor's attention there - - there is a case where there is a correction which is not a public utility corporation and does not have the type of authority the telephone companies have to occupy State sovereign lands, so in order to put a cable across they must secure an easement and pay established rentals, distinguished from public corporations.

GOV. AMDERSON: Item (j) Standard Oil Company of California and Humble Oil and Refining Company -- recision of Commission action of Pebruary 24th, as portions of area included have been granted in trust to Santa Barbara County; issuance of corrected 49-year easement in Santa Barbara County; Item (k) - termination of lease in Santa Barbara County, recision of action March 25, 1959; Item (l) -- Standard Oil

SO

Sim

意思

Company, Western Operations -- issuance of geological survey permit from 8/15/59 to February 15, 1960 on tide and submerge lands in Santa Barbara, Ventura and Orange Counties -- Commissions costs to be reimbursed.

I believe I had a question on that.

MR. HORTIG! I proposed to present some discussion to the Commission on that.

GOV. ANDERSON: We are setting a little precedent on this?

MR. HORTIG: Yes sir.

GOV. ANDERSON: Would you like to explain it?

MP. HORTIG: Yes sir, we would. The statutes have provided since 1956 for the issuance of geological and geophysical survey permits on State tide and submerged lands by the State Lands Commission on such terms and conditions as the Commission desires to prescribe.

The original geological survey permit conditions which were established on May 18, 1956 by the then Commission, covered the operations of drilling so-called submarine core holes — where, from floating marine equipment, the boles are drilled into the ground and geological samples are taken from there or electrical measurements or other diagnostic measurements are made in the hole to reveal the geology of the substrata. At the time of the adoption of the original conditions for a permit for this type of operation, the statute also provided that such permits were only required for holes

. 26

2 3

1,5

drilled deeper then 500 feet into the ocean floor. In other words, the first 500 feet did not require any permit; and, amazingly, the reports were that all core holes then being drilled only went to 499 feet.

There were, of course, no State inspectors aboard be-

A few holes were drilled beyond 500 feet, for which permits were issued, which resulted in the establishment of these conditions on May 18, 1956 for a permit. At the inception of the program, it was not clear as to how deep operations should be permitted to go -- whether permits should be allowed which would actually result in complete exploration of a prospective oil field as distinguished from the industry interpretation of a core hole, which is for geological data but not for production data, this being the basic distinction between a core hole and well drilling permit and also having been the distinction utilized by the State Division of Oil and Gas in permits issued on uplands (privately owned uplands) as against a permit for drilling a complete oil well.

Language was adopted which it was hoped would restrict the drilling to distinguish between geological data and production data by providing in the original permits that a permittee might drill only so deep as necessary to reach the first competent or correlative stratigraphic bed. This means the first diagnostic bed of earth from which they could tell where they were in point of time and space under the oc can

floor. This is theoretically excellent and a precise limit, except that you don't know you have reached this point until after you have passed this point and made your diagnoses and compared your geological data available on the uplands; and in many areas on the California coast, the geology of the upland isn't known and the geology under the ocean floor is even more not known. So, setting in advance a limitation of depth, or even at the time the drilling was going on in an entirely unknown area, has in fact been impossible.

We have been remarkably successful in connection with cooperation between our State inspectors, who are now on every one of the geological drilling boats when they are operating in cooperation with the permittee on reaching reasonable interpretations as to when these depths have been reached; but it still has left an aura of doubt in those areas where a permit has been issued, where there have been no core holes drilled heretofore and the depths of the geological strata are utterly unknown.

Therefore, it is proposed to authorize pursuant to application by Standard Oil Company of California, Western Operations, Inc. a permit at this time — and to any other applicant who in the future might wish to receive such a permit — a revised permit containing all conditions Peretofore established as limiting core drilling with the exception that the limitation to the first competent or correlative stratigraphic bed would be stricken and, instead, a depth — a

IC

1.3

The Local