

1 get these other white sections, which would be "1" and "25".  
2 I was wondering what was on "1" and "25" now. Is there any-  
3 thing there?

4 MRS. LA MOREE: Not a thing -- just more shaley  
5 greasewood property. They aren't game cover whatever. No  
6 quail could live there because there is no water.

7 MR. HURLEY: I can express sympathy to Mrs. La Moree  
8 for the slow treatment she has received, but I feel after 1962  
9 as far as having the deposit tied up, this was done with her  
10 eyes open. She knew from 1962 by experience that this might  
11 not be decided tomorrow.

12 GOV. ANDERSON: What did Victorville want with this  
13 piece of property?

14 MRS. LA MOREE: I don't know. We weren't informed.  
15 Victorville is some twenty miles away. I don't know what they  
16 had in mind.

17 GOV. ANDERSON: What kind of road is this? Is this  
18 a pretty good road that skirts this property?

19 MRS. LA MOREE: Yes, it is paved by the county and  
20 in good condition.

21 GOV. ANDERSON: That's the one from Lucerne to  
22 Barstow. What is our legal position on this? Do we have to  
23 sell this to Fish and Game?

24 MR. HORTIG: I believe it is under the control of  
25 the Commission, as a matter of policy. We have a valid pend-  
26 ing application from the La Morees. We also have a policy

1 base expressed by the Commission, where there is demonstrated  
2 higher and better public use value in the lands, and the power  
3 of the Commission to withhold such lands for such agency upon  
4 definite showing of active intent on the part of the public  
5 agency to acquire the lands within two years.

6 MR. HURLEY: What impresses me, Mrs. La Moree, is  
7 the program of the Bureau of Land Management, which is hold-  
8 ing property all around three sections; and just looking at  
9 the map, it appears for us to sell this into private owner-  
10 ship could be a deterrent to their program.

11 MRS. LA MOREE: I just wonder is it your obligation  
12 to do so.

13 MR. HURLEY: Well, it is our obligation to determine  
14 whether or not there is a showing of substantial need or  
15 greater public benefit. This is what I am not entirely  
16 decided upon.

17 GOV. ANDERSON: Is there any other land that we  
18 have that the La Morees might want, that would be outside of  
19 this area? Is there any area that Fish and Game has, where  
20 something might be done?

21 MR. HORTIG: Well, offhand.....

22 GOV. ANDERSON: If there was something specific  
23 about this piece of property, why they would want it over  
24 some other section - - Apparently, just four miles south the  
25 land reserve stops and just five or six miles north.

26 MR. HURLEY: Did you look into any other property,

1 Mrs. La Moree?

2 MRS. LA MOREE: Not in this area.

3 GOV. ANDERSON: She hasn't got another \$27,000.

4 MR. HURLEY: She had \$27,000 to put here.

5 MRS. LA MOREE: Not in this area at this time. We  
6 have looked before and under the bidding system of the State  
7 of California we were not the highest bidder on another piece  
8 in Kern County, I believe. We were not the highest bidder;  
9 and although we raised the bid, the first people met it. On  
10 this particular piece, we were successful. These are the  
11 only two.

12 MR. HURLEY: Am I correct that what you have in  
13 mind is speculating on this property?

14 MRS. LA MOREE: Well, we thought it was a good  
15 investment, yes.

16 MR. HURLEY: So in your purpose, for speculation,  
17 you might look anywhere for property. There is nothing  
18 particularly significant about the physical characteristics  
19 of this property as distinguished from some other property?

20 MRS. LA MOREE: No, we don't expect to live there  
21 unless we develop it into a desirable piece, if there were  
22 water and so forth; but we are just taking that chance.

23 MR. HURLEY: I would like to talk to our legal  
24 counsel in our office again on this.

25 GOV. ANDERSON: Aren't we interested in finding out  
26 just what Fish and Game really intends to do if we do not

1 sell this to the La Morees and then we turn it over to Fish  
2 and Game? What happens, then, to this and some of these other  
3 blank pieces of property out there? Now, if they really had  
4 a program to develop this, then there wouldn't be any question  
5 in my mind; but if it is just a case to keep these people from  
6 having it because they feel they may want to do something in  
7 the area, I'd like to see something...

8 MR. HORTIG: The program would be, on rejection of  
9 the La Moree's purchase, based on recommendation "3" on page  
10 55 -- that the land would be held for the two-year period from  
11 April 1964 for purchase or lease by the State Department of  
12 Fish and Game in implementing the program which Mr. Janssen  
13 outlined here this morning for Fish and Game. A possible  
14 alternative course, though I hesitate to mention this ....

15 GOV. ANDERSON: What happens if Fish and Game  
16 decides they don't want it in two years?

17 MR. HORTIG: Then the Lands Commission has a piece  
18 of vacant State school land available for sale.

19 MRS. LA MOREE: May I just say that under the policy  
20 adopted, it said it required that on or before the expiration  
21 of the withdrawal period, such agency must offer to purchase  
22 or lease the land. We feel they've had more than their two  
23 years' appropriate time. I would think it would be necessary  
24 to show they have the funds.

25 GOV. ANDERSON: Why do we have to wait until  
26 April 1966 to have Fish and Game make up their mind if they

1 want it or not?

2 MR. HORTIG: This was part of the policy adopted  
3 by the Commission.

4 GOV. ANDERSON: It seems to me there are some  
5 irregularities here. This isn't a brand new, fresh thing  
6 where we have to give them two years. It would seem if we  
7 take this off the list for Fish and Game, we should have  
8 some indication they want it.

9 MR. HORTIG: I agree with you, Governor Anderson.  
10 The application, the request of Fish and Game, the additional  
11 deposit by the La Morees, all spanned this transitional time  
12 of the adoption by the Commission of a policy of withdrawing  
13 lands for better and higher public use.

14 GOV. ANDERSON: What would prevent Fish and Game  
15 from telling us now? It isn't money?

16 MR. HORTIG: It probably is from a budgetary stand-  
17 point. Mr. Janssen might be able to tell us. In an undated  
18 memorandum from Fish and Game, in reply to our inquiry of  
19 May 5, 1964, Fish and Game reported over the signature of  
20 Director Shannon, and I quote:

21 "We feel that Section 36, T. 7 N., R. 1 W., S.B.M.  
22 has significant wildlife values in itself and it is an  
23 integral part of a very important part of a wildlife recrea-  
24 tion area. We desire to purchase or lease this section with-  
25 in the next two years and we will plan for adequate funding  
26 for such purchase or lease."

1 GOV. ANDERSON: When they purchase or lease, what  
2 kind of money are they talking about? They are not talking  
3 about this kind of money?

4 MR. HORTIG: Yes, they must meet the appraised value  
5 as indicated on the agenda of the Commission, because these  
6 funds are put in the State School Fund, which is a trust fund.

7 GOV. ANDERSON: They would have to have it approved  
8 at the next budget session, an item of at least \$26,287?

9 MR. HORTIG: That is correct.

10 GOV. ANDERSON: So they could not purchase this  
11 until the next budget session next September.

12 MR. JANSSEN: Mr. Chairman, I believe this land  
13 could be purchased by the Wildlife Conservation Board without  
14 having it a budget item in the Fish and Game Department. The  
15 Wildlife Conservation Board purchases land quite frequently.

16 GOV. ANDERSON: Then why do we have to wait two  
17 years?

18 MR. JANSSEN: The Director assured the Commission  
19 that the purchase would be made within two years. If the  
20 Commission does not sell this land to the applicant, then we  
21 will immediately take steps to fund the purchase ourselves  
22 and we will have to decide whether we want to fund through  
23 our regular budgetary process or through the Wildlife Conser-  
24 vation Board.

25 GOV. ANDERSON: If you use the former, it will take  
26 until next year?

1 MR. JANSSEN: Right.

2 GOV. ANDERSON: If you use the latter, you can do  
3 it immediately?

4 MR. JANSSEN: We can do it as soon as the Wildlife  
5 Conservation Board approves it.

6 GOV. ANDERSON: Then why do we have to wait two  
7 years?

8 MR. JANSSEN: It isn't necessary to wait two years.  
9 We are just guaranteeing we will do it in two years.

10 GOV. ANDERSON: I go along with Mr. Hurley -- maybe  
11 I shouldn't say this; maybe I don't know what you think. I  
12 don't want to disrupt a long range program of the national  
13 land reserve. On the other hand, I just don't want us to  
14 kick an applicant around that has come in with their money  
15 like this and say, after four years, "O. K. here is your money  
16 back and we don't want to go through with it," and after two  
17 years Fish and Game might not want it anyway.

18 If they want us to put off this sale and they want  
19 it put into reserve, they should take it right away. In the  
20 meantime, I think we should work out something as good as this  
21 land that she would want to buy, if it is as good as she  
22 described it ...

23 MR. HORTIG: Summarizing on both of those factors,  
24 Governor Anderson, number one, we do have a letter of commit-  
25 ment by the Department of Fish and Game to buy the land as  
26 Mr. Janssen outlined.

1 MR. HURLEY: What is the date of that?

2 MR. HORTIG: Some time in May, because it is in  
3 response to a letter from our office of May 5th. Unfortunately,  
4 the copy Mr. Janssen has does not have a date.

5 MR. JANSSEN: This was quoted from my file copy. I  
6 prepared the letter and sent it to the Director for his signa-  
7 ture. He signed it. The original is certainly in your files.

8 MR. HORTIG: I have just had the information that  
9 the original letter is dated May 26th, which is two days ago;  
10 so there is the commitment on the part of the Department of  
11 Fish and Game to buy the land if the Commission does not  
12 order its sale to Mrs. La Moree.

13 Secondly, of course, with the coming into effect in  
14 the immediate future of rules and regulations of the Lands  
15 Commission to provide for new private sale applications for  
16 the first time in four years -- new and separate applications  
17 that could be processed much more expeditiously than those  
18 which suffered through the entire transition period could be  
19 discussed with the La Morees as to other available land;  
20 whether desirable to them, of course, is the problem of their  
21 own evaluation.

22 GOV. ANDERSON: I think it is the feeling of Mr.  
23 Hurley and myself that we not take action today; that we do  
24 it at the next meeting. In the meantime, I'd like to see some  
25 effort made that there wouldn't be this kind of tie-up for  
26 the La Morees. I know we have a lot of land out there.

1 MR. HORTIG: We will certainly review the vacant  
2 land list with the La Morees and proceed with an inventory for  
3 that purpose immediately.

4 GOV. ANDERSON: Then we will take this under submis-  
5 sion for one month.

6 MR. HURLEY: Yes.

7 GOV. ANDERSON: Item (b) in Classification 5 will  
8 be taken under submission for one month. The other item --

9 MR. HURLEY: I'll move.

10 GOV. ANDERSON: You make a motion on 5(a)?

11 MR. HURLEY: I move we sell that at the appraised  
12 price.

13 GOV. ANDERSON: Item 5(a) is moved and seconded,  
14 so ordered that we sell. Item (b) we will take off calendar  
15 for one month and make our decision at the next meeting.

16 MRS. LA MOREE: Thank you very much for hearing us.  
17 I appreciate it.

18 GOV. ANDERSON: Item Classification 6 -- Oil and  
19 Gas, and Mineral Extraction Leases:

20 (a) (1) Determine that extraction of limited amount  
21 of sand from area proposed in application of Pacific Cement  
22 and Aggregates, Inc. will not adversely affect the adjoining  
23 shoreline; (2) Authorize Executive Officer to offer for lease,  
24 pursuant to competitive bidding, for extraction of sand at  
25 minimum royalty of six cents per cubic yard, 10.00 acres tide  
26 and submerged lands in vicinity of Lapis, Monterey Bay,

1 Monterey County.

(1)

2 (b) Determine that valuable deposits of geothermal  
3 steam and mineral waters have been developed within limits of  
4 Prospecting Permits P.R.C. 2705.1, P.R.C. 2706.1, P.R.C.  
5 2707.1, and P.R.C. 2708.1, covering approximately 535 acres  
6 of State land under jurisdiction of the Department of Fish and  
7 Game in Imperial County; (2) Authorize Executive Officer to  
8 issue four preferential mineral extraction leases to R. W.  
9 Cypher covering: P.R.C. 2705.1, 55 acres more or less; P.R.C.  
10 2707.1, 160 acres more or less; P.R.C. 2706.1, 160 acres more  
11 or less; and P.R.C. 2708.1, 160 acres more or less, at rental  
12 of one dollar per acre per year, and at the royalty rates  
13 specified in the prospecting permits.

14 (c) Authorization for Executive Officer to publish  
15 notice that the Commission is considering the offering for  
16 oil and gas lease, pursuant to competitive public bidding  
17 under the Public Resources Code, of approximately 74,000 acres  
18 of tide and submerged lands offshore Ventura County, for  
19 bidding at such times and in such parcels as the Commission  
20 shall determine to be in the best interests of the State.

21 (d) we have taken care of.

22 MR. HURLEY: I'll move on (a), (b) and (c).

23 GOV. ANDERSON: (a), (b), and (c) have been moved,  
24 seconded, and so ordered.

25 Item 7 -- Approval of boundary agreements. Authori-  
26 zation for Executive Officer to execute agreements fixing the

1 Ordinary Low Water Mark along Steamboat Slough, a tidal water  
2 way in the vicinity of Alviso, Santa Clara County, (a) With  
3 Willis A. Laine, as described in Boundary Agreement, W.O. 4667;  
4 (b) With Alviso Marina Corporation, as described in Boundary  
5 Agreement, W.O. 4668; (c) With MacDonald and Dorsa, as de-  
6 scribed in Boundary Agreement, W.O. 4669; (d) With the City  
7 of Alviso, as described in Boundary Agreement, W.O. 4672.

8 MR. HURLEY: I'll move that the Executive Officer  
9 be authorized.

10 GOV. ANDERSON: Moved and seconded; so ordered.

11 Classification 8 -- Authorization for Executive  
12 Officer to inform the Office of the Attorney General that a  
13 Dismissal Without Prejudice may be entered on behalf of the  
14 State in the matter of City of Hermosa Beach vs. State of Cali-  
15 fornia, et al., Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No.,  
16 757030.

17 Do you want to comment on that, Frank?

18 MR. HORTIG: Yes, sir. Since we have Assistant  
19 Attorney General Goldin here, whose office has been handling  
20 the action, the details of the report should properly come  
21 from him, Mr. Chairman.

22 MR. GOLDIN: Yes. Governor, in 1960 the City sued  
23 the State in declaratory relief to obtain a judicial declara-  
24 tion that it could expend two cash bonuses totaling about a  
25 half million dollars for the execution of a tidelands contract  
26 and an uplands contract, this money to be spent on general

1 municipal projects. The State filed an answer, stating that  
2 the entire bonus was impressed with the Tidelands Trust.

3 At the present time, the City has indicated its  
4 intention to expend the entire cash bonus on a proper tide-  
5 lands trust purpose and, consequently, the City is desirous  
6 of dismissing its complaint for declaratory relief. However,  
7 since the State filed a cross-complaint seeking affirmative  
8 relief, in that we questioned the validity of the then City  
9 drilling ordinance, the case cannot be dismissed unilaterally  
10 by the City, but requires concurrence by the Attorney General's  
11 Office. Before we acquiesce in any such dismissal, we want  
12 the approval and permission of our client.

13 When we questioned the anti-drilling ordinance, it  
14 was predicated on the assumption that the tide and submerged  
15 lands off Hermosa Beach were being drained by drilling opera-  
16 tions off Redondo Beach. Subsequent to that time, we have  
17 had an engineering expert study which convinces us that this  
18 is not a realistic danger and, consequently, the question of  
19 the anti-drilling ordinance is one which does not involve  
20 draining; and at the present time the State Lands Commission  
21 is being asked to authorize its attorney to dismiss an action  
22 in which the State was sued as a defendant.

23 MR. HURLEY: So move.

24 GOV. ANDERSON: Second; no objection, so ordered.

25 Item 9 -- Service Agreements: (a) Authorization  
26 for Executive Officer to enter into and execute an agreement

1 for reproduction services for the 1964-65 fiscal year with  
 2 the Metropolitan Blueprint Company of Los Angeles, at a cost  
 3 not to exceed \$7500.

4 (b) Authorization for Executive Officer to enter  
 5 into and execute a Supplementary Agreement to that certain  
 6 agreement known as LC-34, dated July 1, 1961, as amended by  
 7 Agreement LC-91, dated January 1, 1963, between Control Data  
 8 Corporation and the State Lands Commission, to provide funds  
 9 for the continued rental and maintenance of a G-15 general  
 10 purpose computer, a flexowriter, and for systems analysis  
 11 service for the period July 1, 1964 through June 30, 1965 at  
 12 a cost not to exceed \$11,940.

13 MR. HOELIG: Mr. Chairman, items (b) and (c), both  
 14 in accordance with the budget of the State Lands Commission,  
 15 are for covering the service and rental of the electronic  
 16 data processing equipment which is operated by the Lands  
 17 Division. In item (c), the typewriter invented a new corpora-  
 18 tion, the Internal Business Machines, which should read  
 19 "International Business Machines." With that correction, it  
 20 is recommended.

21 GOV. ANDERSON: (c) Authorization for Executive  
 22 Officer to enter into and execute contracts for the rental of  
 23 peripheral computer equipment for the 1964-65 fiscal year, as  
 24 follows: International Business Machines, not to exceed  
 25 \$9,510; Control Data Corporation, not to exceed \$4,570.

26 MR. HURLEY: I move authorization of (a), (b) and (c).

1 GOV. ANDERSON: Seconded, so ordered.

2 Informative only, no Commission action required --  
3 Report on the status of major litigation.

4 MR. HORTIG: As noted, informative; and the princi-  
5 pal litigation item has already been outlined to you under  
6 Classification 8, the dismissal of the City of Hermosa Beach  
7 vs. California item. No action is required at this time.

8 GOV. ANDERSON: Is there any other matter to be  
9 brought before we settle the adjournment date?

10 MR. HORTIG: Yes. We have supplemental calendar  
11 items.

12 GOV. ANDERSON: Supplemental items -- Item 12:  
13 Withdrawal of offers to receive bids for proposed oil and gas  
14 leases - Parcel 20, Parcel 22; and proposed oil and gas lease,  
15 Orange County, Parcel 20A, and proposed oil and gas lease,  
16 Ventura County, Parcel 22A.

17 MR. HORTIG: Mr. Chairman, the Commission has pre-  
18 viously authorized and had published three bid receipt dates  
19 for three separate parcels of tide and submerged lands, to  
20 be opened during the month of June 1964.

21 In view of the control desired by the Lands Commis-  
22 sion on administration of the sequential bid lease offer  
23 program, to offer parcels at a location and at times which  
24 will optimize the entire operation, it is being recommended  
25 here that the bid offers for Parcels 20 and 22 be terminated  
26 and rescheduled in succeeding months, leaving the current

1 bid opening date for Parcel 21 for the 9th of June still  
2 available for industry to submit bids.

3 The situation becomes complicated mechanically only  
4 because, to comply with the statute, it is required that the  
5 Commission authorize the termination of the prior offer, to  
6 cancel the publication, and to re-authorize the offering at a  
7 later date of the same parcels which the Commission had pre-  
8 viously authorized.

9 MR. HURLEY: That's what -- north of Huntington  
10 Beach?

11 MR. HORTIG: They are scattered. Actually, one is  
12 in Orange County and one in Ventura County.

13 MR. HURLEY: I'll move withdrawal of the offers.

14 GOV. ANDERSON: Second, and so ordered.

15 Item 13 -- Approval of resolution, oil and gas  
16 lease, City of Los Angeles, Santa Monica Bay, Los Angeles  
17 County. Frank?

18 MR. HORTIG: By Statutes of 1959 the Legislature  
19 required that any grantee of tide and submerged lands from  
20 the State of California, who thereafter wished to offer tide  
21 and submerged lands for oil and gas lease, would -- in addi-  
22 tion to complying with their municipal code and their charter  
23 if a chartered city -- also be required to secure advance  
24 approval of the State Lands Commission as to the form and  
25 method under which it was proposed to lease such granted tide  
26 and submerged lands. Subsequently, after bids are received

1 on such an approved lease offer, the bids must also be approved  
2 by the State Lands Commission prior to issuance of a lease.

3           Following this procedure, it is the desire of the  
4 City of Los Angeles to call for bids on two parcels of tide  
5 and submerged lands lying on lands previously granted primarily  
6 to the City of Venice, subsequently annexed to the City of Los  
7 Angeles, in the Santa Monica Bay area easterly of the City of  
8 Santa Monica.

9           In accordance with the statutory requirements, the  
10 City of Los Angeles has adopted a resolution setting forth the  
11 proposed requirements of the lease, the lease offer, and all  
12 matters required both by local ordinance and by the State  
13 statutes; and the request before the Lands Commission today --  
14 and it is recommended by the staff -- is that the resolution  
15 as adopted by the City and the procedure to be carried on by  
16 the City of Los Angeles be approved and authorized by the  
17 State Lands Commission.

18           GOV. ANDERSON: How does this fit with the law  
19 prohibiting drilling offshore in Santa Monica Bay?

20           MR. HORTIG: Uniquely, Governor, the portions of  
21 Division 6 of the Public Resources Code prohibiting the offer-  
22 ing of oil and gas leases by the State Lands Commission, except  
23 to protect State lands against drainage, apply only to State-  
24 owned tide and submerged lands; do not apply to granted tide  
25 and submerged lands. So we do have the anomaly that the City  
26 of Los Angeles and other grantees in Santa Monica Bay are

1 authorized to go forward in the development of tide and sub-  
2 merged lands in a manner which the State is not authorized  
3 to do.

4 GOV. ANDERSON: What lands are we talking about  
5 that are granted in this place? You have only shown Parcels  
6 1 and 2 off Santa Monica and off El Segundo.

7 MR. HORTIG: Actually, this comprises the entire  
8 grant to the City of Los Angeles, inasmuch as it is comprised  
9 of the tidelands between El Segundo and the City of Santa  
10 Monica.

11 GOV. ANDERSON: Then, the lands off Redondo, Paso  
12 Robles -- are they in the same situation?

13 MR. HORTIG: Yes, they have been granted to the  
14 municipalities.

15 GOV. ANDERSON: Are they in the same position as  
16 the City of Los Angeles? MR. HORTIG: Yes, sir.

17 GOV. ANDERSON: So the only one where the State law  
18 applies is El Segundo?

19 MR. HORTIG: Yes, this is correct -- because the  
20 tide and submerged lands are still under the jurisdiction of  
21 the State Lands Commission. In the case of the City of  
22 Santa Monica itself, there has been no proposed development  
23 of the tide and submerged lands because the City of Santa  
24 Monica, while it has a grant and has no State statutory pro-  
25 hibition against or limitation against leasing, does have a  
26 municipal ordinance -- an initiative ordinance prohibiting

1 drilling.

2 GOV. ANDERSON: This morning or some time, I read  
3 somewhere that the courts had upheld the State law in Santa  
4 Monica.

5 MR. HORTIG: That was the City's ordinance prohib-  
6 iting drilling.

7 GOV. ANDERSON: Then the State law only applies to  
8 the City of El Segundo in the whole bay?

9 MR. HORTIG: And westerly of Santa Monica, where  
10 there are no tidelands grants; in other words, from the City  
11 of Santa Monica westerly.

12 GOV. ANDERSON: Are we going to have development of  
13 fields off all of these cities?

14 MR. HORTIG: This is possible at the option of the  
15 cities.

16 GOV. ANDERSON: But it is also at our option if  
17 there is a resolution for us to approve. What happens if we  
18 don't approve this?

19 MR. HORTIG: Then there could be no legal procedure  
20 and no legal lease offering by the cities on the granted  
21 lands if the Lands Commission does not approve.

22 GOV. ANDERSON: This is something I'd like to have  
23 another look at, Frank.

24 MR. HORTIG: I am looking for the representative of  
25 the City of Los Angeles. The Petroleum Administrator for the  
26 City of Los Angeles, Mr. Arthur Spaulding, is here, Mr.

1 Chairman, and I think the viewpoint and the proposals and  
2 the program of the City should be detailed for you by him.

3 MR. SPAULDING: Mr. Chairman, this program repre-  
4 sents the first effort by the City of Los Angeles to initiate  
5 leasing in Santa Monica Bay on these lands granted by the  
6 State to the City. The program that we have devised involves  
7 lands seaward one mile from shore. We have divided the land  
8 into two parcels, each consisting of about 3,500 acres. This  
9 will be the first phase -- which will be from the city limits  
10 of the City of Santa Monica to the City of El Segundo. This  
11 effort is necessary to develop the lands in connection with  
12 upland leases at the present time.

13 We would be delighted to answer questions on this.

14 GOV. ANDERSON: Are there exploratory results that  
15 indicate there is oil off these shores? Haven't you been in-  
16 volved in this, Frank?

17 MR. HORTIG: No, sir -- not on this specific land  
18 because, again, these are granted lands that are administered  
19 by the City of Los Angeles and on which we have only such  
20 information as is relayed to the State Lands Commission by  
21 the City of Los Angeles.

22 GOV. ANDERSON: Did we not, though, approve some  
23 geodetic surveys off Santa Monica?

24 MR. HORTIG: No, sir -- never in connection with  
25 granted lands. The surveys in Santa Monica Bay are always  
26 for those lands under the State Lands Commission's jurisdiction.