TRANSCRIPT OF
MEETING
of

STATE LANDS COMMISSION

SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA
January 28, 1965

****

PARTICIPANTS:

STATE LANDS COMMISSION:

Hon. Glenn M. Anderson, Lieutenant Governor, Chairman

Hon. Alan Cranston, Controller

Mr. John Shoeman, Deputy Director of Finance appearing on behalf of Hon. Hale Champion, Director of Finance

Mr. F. J. Hortig, Executive Officer

Mr. Alan Sieroty, Executive Secretary to Lieutenant Governor Anderson

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL:

Mr. Paul M. Joseph, Deputy Attorney General

APPEARANCE:

Mr. Harold A. Langle, Chief Deputy City Attorney for the City of Long Beach

****

INDEX

(In accordance with calendar summary)

ITEM CLASSIFICATION	ITEM ON CALENDAR	PAGE OF CALENDAR	PAGE OF TRANSCRIPT
1 Call to order			
2 Confirmation of minutes of Sept. 24, Oct. 9, Oct. 22, and Nov. 13, 1964			1
3 PERMITS, EASEMENTS, RIGHTS-OF-WAY, NO FEE:			
(a) American Smelting & Ref.	12	1	1
(b) Calif-Pacific Util. Co.	21	2	1
(c) State of Calif., Fish & Game	11	3	1
(d) Cardiff Sanit. District & Solana Beach Sanit. Dist.	5	4	1
(e) San Joaquin County, Dept. of Public Works	20	5	2
(f) Pomeroy-Gerwick-Steers	26	6	2
4 PERMITS, EASEMENTS, LEASES, RIGHTS-OF-WAY, FEE:			
(a) Harry W. Erickson	7	7	9
(b) William L. Moore	13	8	9
(c) Richfield Oil Corp.	17	9	10
(d) Patrick A. Doheny	23	10	10
(e) Patrick A. Doheny	24	11	10
(f) Howard Hunt & Adam Mezalia	3	12	10
(g) Earl Luke & Donald Paterson	25	13	11
(h) Decon Corporation	1	14	11
(i) Decon Corporation	2	15	11
(j) Lloyd N. Inslee	4	16	11

continued

INDEX

(In accordance with calendar summary)

ITEM CLASSIFICATION	ITEM ON CALENDAR	PAGE OF CALENDAR	PAGE OF TRANSCRIPT
4 PERMITS, EASEMENTS, LEASES, RIGHTS-OF-WAY, FEE continued			
(k) Mobil Oil Company	16	17	11
(l) Sunset Internat'l Pet. Corp	15	18	11
(m) Union Oil Co. of Calif.	9	19	12
5 CITY OF LONG BEACH:			
(a) Pier G bulkloader	8	20	17
6 LAND ITEMS			
(a) 20 acres school land Mariposa County - pending purchase applic. Merced Irrigation District	10	22	20
7 OIL & GAS LEASES			
(a) 272 acres Lookout Slough and Cache Slough, Solano Cy	22	23	20
8 SERVICE AGREEMENTS			
(a) Atlas Chemical Industries	18	24	21
9 TRANSACTIONS EXEC. OFFICER	6		22
Humble Oil & Refining		27	
Shell Oil Co.		26	
Signal Oil & Gas Co.		25	
Texaco Inc.		26	
10 INFORMATIVE ONLY			
(a) Revisions to est. productive limits of pools Rio Vista Gas Field, Easement 415.1	14	28	22
(b) Report on major litigation (Morro Bay)	27	30	23

continued

INDEX

(In accordance with calendar summary)

ITEM CLASSIFICATION	ITEM ON CALENDAR	PAGE OF CALENDAR	PAGE OF TRANSCRIPT
11 Next meeting (February)			48

UNCALENDARED ITEMS:

Approval of bids, Contractor's Agreement, Long Beach Unit	31
Leslie Salt Co. land exchange	34

******

INDEX

(In Accordance with Item numbers)

ITEM ON CALENDAR	PAGE OF CALENDAR	PAGE OF TRANSCRIPT
1	14	11
2	15	11
3	12	10
4	16	11
5	4	1
6	25	22
7	7	9
8	20	17
9	19	12
10	22	20
11	3	1
12	1	1
13	8	9
14	28	22
15	18	11
16	17	11
17	9	10
18	24	21
19	not on calendar	
20	5	2
21	2	1
22	23	20
23	10	10
24	11	10
25	13	11
26	6	2
27	30	23
NEXT MEETING		48

UNCALENDARED ITEMS:

Approval of bids Long Beach Unit	31
Leslie Salt Co. land exchange	34

GOV. ANDERSON: The meeting of the State Lands Commission will come to order. First item is the confirmation of minutes of meetings of September 24, October 9, October 22, and November 13, 1964.

MR. CRANSTON: I move approval.

MR. SHEEHAN: Second.

GOV. ANDERSON: It has been moved and seconded, so ordered.

Item 3 -- Permits, easements, and rights-of-way to be granted to public and other agencies at no fee, pursuant to statute:

Applicant (a) is American Smelting and Refining Company -- Permit to dredge approximately 5,000 cubic yards of material from tide and submerged lands in Carquinez Strait, Contra Costa County.

Applicant (b) is California-Pacific Utilities Company -- Approval of location for overhead telephone cable, with necessary appurtenances, across Colorado River, San Bernardino County.

Applicant (c) is State of California, Department of Fish and Game -- 49-year permit to construct artificial reef about 3.7 miles southwesterly of radio towers in City of Ventura, 8.83 acres submerged land, Pacific Ocean, Ventura County.

Applicant (d) is Cardiff Sanitation District and Solana Beach Sanitation District -- 49-year life-of-structure

permit for construction, operation, and maintenance of sewer outfall line, 2.84 acres tide and submerged land, Gulf of Santa Catalina, San Diego County.

Applicant (e) is San Joaquin County, Department of Public Works -- 49-year bridge right-of-way, 1.14 acres tide and submerged lands of San Joaquin River, San Joaquin County.

Applicant (f) is Pomeroy-Gerwick-Steers -- Permit, effective 12/1/64 through 9/30/65, for equipment-barge mooring area, two parcels of submerged land, San Francisco Bay, Alameda and San Mateo counties, totaling 40.461 acres.

MR. CRANSTON: I move approval.

MR. SHEEHAN: I'll second.

GOV. ANDERSON: It has been moved and seconded. That's the one I think we wanted to ask a question on, Alan, about the overhead telephone cable.

MR. SIEROTY: Yes.

GOV. ANDERSON: Not with any thought in mind to object to this one, but to suggest the plausibility of having a study made, perhaps by Resources or by the State Lands Commission, or by a combination, as to what our policy should be relative to overhead hanging lines over rivers and bays and things like this. I know we have talked about it each time and they say the cost is prohibitive; and then we go to other parts of the country and they are doing it there.

My feeling is this might be something, when there isn't a pressure on the permit, perhaps the Division -- I am

RESCO-AOA 7-64 DSM ORB

not sure our Division has the staff facilities, but perhaps Resources or someone could make a survey into this to find out why these lines can't be put underground, under bays and so forth, where possible and prevent the eyesores that result.

MR. HORTIG: This is not only feasible but will be considered a directive to staff.

GOV. ANDERSON: Could that go to staff then?

MR. HORTIG: Yes, sir.

GOV. ANDERSON: When I went back to the national convention I toured some of the east coast and I was surprised to find out how much of the east coast has their lines not hanging up over freeways and roadways and rivers and things; and I asked several how they do it and they said, "All underground." I wondered why they can do it back there in so many areas and we don't do it out here. Maybe I am drawing some quick conclusions I shouldn't have drawn, but I think we ought to be advised on it.

MR. HORTIG: Certainly the study as to the feasibility, both physically and economically and so forth, will be reported to the State Lands Commission in the future. The practice of the State Lands Commission has been to consider these applications with respect to type of installation in the light of the zoning and planning requirements desired by and specified and required by cities and counties where the State lands happened to be located.

As to this particular cable crossing, the counties do not have any zoning or planning requirements per se as to the type of installation that is desired and, of course, there are already numerous overhead crossings both in terms of telephone and power lines, but also even gas transmission lines across the Colorado River. This does not resolve the question as to whether this is a desirable way that it should be done in all instances, but certainly sets the pattern or the precedent; and the fact that there have been no coordinated, directed planning studies with respect to this factor heretofore, this can well be the first of what will undoubtedly be a future policy.

GOV. ANDERSON: In the past there might not have been much incentive to do this, where the growth of population and necessity for lines and pipelines had been not as great and the cost maybe was so much more. Where we are growing and new lines are going to have to be brought in -- new lines and more powerful lines are going to be brought in -- I think some thought should be given to what we want. If we are going to double in population, do we want twice as many power lines over rivers, and so forth. You can hardly turn on your radio; that's one of the things that bothers me.

MR. MORTIG: You certainly touched on one of the major items, Governor, in comparison with the east coast. These are all partially or primarily matters of development and, of course, the east coast developments have been going

on for more years than the west coast's have been; and in the matters of transition, of replacing to new standards, there have been things done on the east coast that have not been yet considered economically in California because the original installation has not been depreciated and the time has not yet come to replace it -- at which replacement time certainly newer, better standards, more modern standards and utilization of all the modern technology, would be applied at that time.

So we do have that element in the comparison that is dissimilar between your east coast installations, because they are generations ahead in some of these developments as against the timing for the placement of the identical type of equipment on the west coast.

GOV. ANDERSON: Mr. Sieroty.

MR. SIEROTY: I am wondering whether we ought not to involve some of the other agencies in this study. The Public Utilities Commission is going to be involved. If we start a study without their cooperation, we just might be wasting time. So my thought is the study should be made jointly with the Public Utilities Commission, with the Resources Agency, with any other agency or department which is directly involved.

MR. HORTIG: I would concur with Mr. Sieroty entirely. It was not my intent to infer that this would be an exclusive in-house production of the State Lands Division

25220-404 7-64 85M OSP

because there are the other agencies he mentioned and some in addition. Even the U. S. Bureau of Reclamation have concern with respect to the type of installations across navigable waters of the State of California, and their viewpoint and their criteria certainly must be considered in connection with any future authorizations if we are to have an optimum type of authorization.

GOV. ANDERSON: Do you know off the top if there is any basic statute that some of the east coast states have regarding the subject? There must be some basic law.

MR. HORTIG: It is in the field of zoning and planning, Governor. Yes, there are; and there are other certain types of operation, more severe controls over larger areas than possibly apply in California today -- again a matter of historical development starting earlier than California started.

MR. SHEEHAN: I think one of the Assembly committees has gone into this -- on underground wiring anyway.

MR. HORTIG: The Public Utilities Commission did on just this one phase.

GOV. ANDERSON: I remember I was driving along and I was talking to the Governor on it and I said, "How can you get them to do this?" and they said, "We just won't let them do it any other way." I think it was the State of New York.

Is this all the instructions you need?

MR. HORTIG: Yes.