MR. CRANSTON: Well, certainly that should be done.

MR. HORTIG: Right.

Then there follow three non-grant bills, starting at the bottom of page 6, which are of significance to the Commission:

First, S.B. 995 (Dolwig) makes findings that, in summary, would result in (as reflected in the recommendation that the Commission oppose the bill, as reported on page 8):

This bill would unnecessarily stifle the progress being achieved by the State in developing a resource of extreme significance to the revenue needs of the State and consequently to the well being of the people of the State, because the bill would prohibit by a finding all offshore oil operations as unsafe and this finding by the Legislature therefore would prohibit any further offshore development of oil and gas in California except by some exotic methods which have not yet been proven in practice.

Additionally, the safety record for California offshore oil and gas production does not warrant assignment to the State Fire Marshal of the responsibilities enumerated in the bill. This would, in our estimation, constitute a duplication of the orders and safety regulations which are already conducted by the California Division of Industrial Safety.

The safety record established indicates that present controls are appropriate to the need and a serious conflict could arise which could prevent the Commission from fulfilling

1 its statutory responsibility with respect to tide and sub-
2 merged lands.
3 Therefore, it is recommended that the Commission
4 authorize the Executive Officer to express the Commission's
5 opposition to the appropriate legislative committees on
6 these bases -- this being on S.B. 995.
7 GOV. ANDERSON: The motion would be the staff
8 recommendation on S.B. 995, which expresses the Commission's
9 opposition for those reasons?
10 MR. HORTIG: Right.
11 GOV. ANDERSON: Also, on A.B. 2182, it is recom-
12 mended the Executive Officer clarify for the author the
13 wording of the bill?
14 MR. HORTIG: That's correct.
15 GOV. ANDERSON: And then on S.B. 985, our opposi-
16 tion until a study has taken place; and on A.B. 2050, our
17 opposition, period.
18 MR. HORTIG: Opposition until the study has taken
19 place and opposition in view of the technical, legal, and
20 administrative problems.
21 GOV. ANDERSON: I didn't see where that was written
22 in the recommendations, so the secretary will have that con-
23 dition in the recommendation relating to 2050?
24 MR. HORTIG: Yes, sir.
25 MR. CRANSTON: Moved.
26 MR. SHEEHAN: Second.

GOV. ANDERSON: Moved and seconded , carried unanimously.

MR. HORTIG: S.B. 1064 by Farr amends Sections 6950, 6952 and 6953 with respect to land titles. By the choice of words, it is felt this could create problems, particularly with respect to lands under the jurisdiction of the Lands Commission.

Therefore, it is recommended that the Executive Officer be authorized to apprise the appropriate legislative committees of the conflict which might be generated among public agences as a result of adding the power of eminent domain over lands to a greater group of agencies, where we can have interagency domination of land, which could certainly be susceptible to interagency agreement rather than the necessity of filing conflicting litigation.

This could be accomplished effectively by suggesting an amendment as it is stated here, by adding:

"Except that the right of eminent domain shall not be exercised under this chapter by a city or county with respect to any lands within the corporate limits of a city, or lands owned by a county, or owned by the State of California, unless the Legislature by special enactment consents thereto."

MR. SHEEHAN: I would so move.

MR. CRANSTON: Second.

GOV. ANDERSON: Seconded and carried unanimously.

MR. HORTIG: At this point, Mr. Chairman, this completes the item.

In view of the tremendous volume of legislation which you gentlemen know was introduced on last Friday at the last minute and the general knowledge that there are a number of bills that are of direct concern to the State Lands Commission included in that group and the possibilities that committee hearings may be set before the next regularly scheduled meeting of the Lands Commission, it may be come necessary to ask you gentlemen to meet for a special session to consider your recommendations to be carried to those committees in advance of the next regular Commission meeting.

GOV. ANDERSON: Item 20 -- Informative. Report on status of all legislation of concern and interest to the Commission. I guess your remarks pretty well covered that.

MR. HORTIG: This is correct. This is the general tabulation of all the bills of interest to the Commission that are being followed, and is submitted to the Commission regularly as a status report, but without any necessary action because there is no recommendation as to policy here.

MR. CRANSTON: What is your impression of what is going to happen re the McAteer bill on the Bay?

MR. HORTIG: In view of the fact that the McAteer bill is out of the Senate Governmental Committee with a recommendation "Do pass," I think this could only be regarded as a distinct plus, because for the four weeks of hearing that went

on there were some really deep considerations by the committee. All the proponents and opponents were given an opportunity to make full presentations.

In view of the fact that the bill came out of committee substantially unamended, or at least not amended to limit the proposed powers of the study commission which would provide for guidance and control of further development in San Francisco Bay during the time the two-year report and the four-year report are being considered by the committee, it would appear that everything that was duly hoped for in connection with establishing an effective and controlled study base to come up with an ultimate program for considering local, regional and statewide interests in San Francisco Bay will have a mechanism in S.B. 309 as it came from the Senate Governmental Efficiency Committee.

GOV. ANDERSON: Any further questions or comments or business? (No response).

If not, the order will be the reconfirmation of the date, time and place of the next Commission meeting, which is Thursday, May 27th, at ten a.m. in Sacramento, pending a special meeting we may have.

MR. CRANSTON: Moved.

MR. SHEEHAN: Second.

GOV. ANDERSON: Moved and seconded, carried unanimously, and we are adjourned.

ADJOURNED 11:50 A.M.

1
2 **CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER**
3
4 I, LOUISE H. LILLICO, reporter for the Office of
5 Administrative Procedure, hereby certify that the foregoing
6 fifty-three pages contain a full, true and accurate transcript
7 of the shorthand notes taken by me in the meeting of the
8 State Lands Commission held in Sacramento, California, on
9 April 29, 1965.
10 Dated: May 11, 1965.
11
12
13 Louise H. Lillico
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26