## MEETING

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

STATE LANDS COMMISSION

CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS
330 W. 20th Avenue

San Mateo, California

TUESDAY, JULY 26, 1977 10:00 A.M.

ORIGINAL

PETERS SHORTHAND REPORTING CORPORATION

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
>	
10	
•••	
11	
12	
13	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
14	
15	
16	
10	
17	
18	
19	
13	
20	
21	
22	
22	
23	
24	
25	

## INDEX

2		Page
3	Members Present	iv
4	Proceedings	1
5	Approval of Minutes	1
6	Executive Officer's Report	2
7	Assistant Executive Officer's Report	ż
8	Cl - Cl7 Consent Calendar	, <u>,</u>
9	Request approval of partial settlement of pending litigation in South San Francisco Bay - Item 18	5
11	Approval of settlement agreement regarding tide and submerged lands under the jurisdiction of the Los Angeles Board of Harbor Commissioners - Item 19	9
13	Agate Bay Properties, Inc; General lease - Commercial use - Item 20	11
5	Antone Dowrelio (Dowrelio Boat Works); Extension of Dredging Permit - Item 21	11
7	Carl Bennett, Rodeo Marina; Dresding Permit - Item 22	12
8	Lake Minerals Corporation; Modification of Extraction Lease - Item 23	12
9 20	Recommendation to extend, upon request by the County of Santa Barbara and Aminoil USA, Inc., the period for issuance of a demand notice to Aminoil USA, Inc. to remove the existing Ellwood Pier to January 31, 1978 - Item 24	13
.2	Approve the First Modification of the Plan of Development and Operations and Budget, Long Beach Unit - Item 25	14
.5	Determine that first and second phase subsidence costs for the Seaside Boulevard Storm Drain portion Town Lot Development Project are actual costs - Item 26	15

1 2	I N D E X (continued) Page
3	Closing accounts of expenditures of tideland oil revenues for Pier A, Berth 5 Storm Drain
4	Pump Station Reconstruction - Item 27 15
5	Approve the boundary line agreement on the Feather River - Item 28
6	Approve the special Area Plan South Richmond Shoreline - Item 29
8	Encroachment agreements for Piers Nos. 6, 7, 9, 10 & 11 - Item 30
9	Status of major litigation 17
10	Reporter's Certificate 20
11	Reporter 5 Certificate
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
7.2	
23	
24	en e
25	
. د	
I	

1	MEMBERS PRESENT
2	Mr. Kenneth Cory, State Controller, Chairman
3	Mr. Roy Bell, Director of Finance, Commissioner
4	Ms. Betty Jo Smith, Alternate
5	
6	MEMBERS ABSENT
7	Mr. Mervyn M. Dymally, Lieutenant Governor
8	
9	STAFF PRESENT
10	Mr. R. S. Golden, Assistant Executive Officer
11	Mr. Robert C. Hight, Chief Counsel
12.	Mr. William F. Northrop, Executive Officer
13	Mr. N. Gregory Taylor, Assistant Attorney General
14	Mr. James F. Trout, Manager, Land Operations
15	Ms. Diane Jones, Secretary
16	
7	
8 1	
19	
20	
21	
2.2	
23	
24	
25	

## PROCEEDINGS

--000--

CHAIRMAN CORY: The hour of ten o'clock having arrived, I call the meeting to order.

I'd like to acknowledge that Roy Bell, the Director of Financeishere. Betty Jo Smith is here, representing Lieutenant Governor Dymally.

The first item on the agenda is a confirmation of the minutes of the June 30th meeting. Are there any corrections or additions?

Without objection those minutes will be confirmed as presented.

Mr. Northrop, do you have a report for us?

MR. NORTHROP: Yes, Mr. Chairman, members, a report on the Division's hazard removal efforts. Staff is preparing an agreement with the County of Sacramento to remove hazards between Nimbus Dam and the mouth of the American River. Additionally, bids are being solicited to clear obstructions from Lake Tanoe.

Staff also applied for a grant of one million dollars from the Federal government. This money would necessarily be earmarked for obstruction removal in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta. We should know whether or not the grant has been formally awarded within the next 30 days.

The second item, Mr. Chairman, is in regard to the tax on the Long Beach oil properties by the Long Beach County Assessor. Instead of using crude oil prices being paid on the lien date, an estimated future crude oil price level is being established. This level is probably twice the current price, but we feel we must take some action on this. So I bring it to your attention to see what we can do with it. COMMISSIONER BELL: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN CORY: Mr. Bell. COMMISSIONER BELL: It's obvious to me from seeing the charts and looking at the assessor's I guess you'd say initial appraisal, or whatever it is, that there just is no rhyme or reason to what he charges. I would certainly recommend that the Chairman instruct the Executive Officer to get together with the

I would certainly recommend that the Chairman instruct the Executive Officer to get together with the Los Angeles assessor, see if he can work out some more reasonable tax approach toward this possessory interest problem or whatever he's charging the state, and report back at our August meeting. Because I think it is so outrageous that if we don't get this adjusted, I would suggest that the Commission take legal action.

MR. NORTHROP: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CORY: I don't have any problems with

25 that. Betty?

١

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I think you should proceed, and at least for 1 our own point of view, I think we should keep in mind that 3 my recollection is that that thing can be alleviated by a statutory change. They don't have a constitutional right 5 even to charge that tax. If they want to get too carried away, there might be a way in one of the tax reform programs to solve that problem, so that the man ought to negotiate from some reasonableness. COMMISSIONER BELL: And since the Legislature is 10 still here until September 15th, maybe we can get a favorable 11 report back in August. CHAIRMAN CCRY: And if not --12 MR. NORTHROP: That completes my report, Mr. 13 Chairman. 14 15 CHAIRMAN CORY: Thank you. The Assistant Executive Officer has a word for us. 16 MR. GOLDEN: Yes, a very short report, Mr. 17 18 Last Thursday the San Francisco Bay Conservation Development Commission passed a resolution commending both 19 20 the State Lands Commission and Westbay Community Associates for reaching a resolution of the proposed partial settlement, 21 which is on our calendar today, and are urging our adoption 22 23 of this today. 24 In addition, the Coastal Commission staff and the 25 staff of the Attorney General's Office and our staff are

working conscientiously to plan, to sort out our varied responsibilities under the Public Trust Requirements, both of the Coastal Act, and our continuing responsibilities 3 under the Public Trust. We should be reporting back to you before too long 5 on where we are on that. CHAIRMAN CORY: Okay. Any questions from members? 7 COMMISSIONER BELL: I have no questions. 8 CHAIRMAN CORY: Thank you. 9 The next item on our agenda are the consent 10 calendar items Cl through 17. 11 Is there anyone in the audience who wishes to 12 address the Commission on any of these items, Cl through 17? 13 MR. HIGHT: Mr. Chairman? 14 CHAIRMAN CORY: Yes. 15 MR. HIGHT. On item C15, W21223, we would like to 16 remove that one for this month. 17 CHAIRMAN CORY: Item Cl5, John C. Vilicich. 18 Okay. That item is removed from the calendar. 19 Are there any other corrections, any questions from members 20 of the Commission? 21 Without objection, the consent calendar items 22 C1 through 17 will be approved -- or accepted as presented. 23 The 17th item is an informational item that is on the calen-24 25 dar.

Item 18, a request for approval of partial settlement of pending litigation in South San Francisco Bay.

MR. NORTHROP: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Trout from our staff will be making a presentation on this.

MR. TROUT: We just have a very brief presentation, Mr. Chairman. You do have before you the staff proposal on this partial settlement on Westbay, and each of the Commissioners has been provided with a summary of the transaction. You have the blue-covered actual proposed agreement; two survey maps, one of the settlement and one of the grant to the County of San Mateo; the summary of two staff public hearings; and the transcript of the staff hearings.

Now, copies of most of this material is in the back of the room. There may be a limited number of copies of the agreement itself. All the rest of the material, there are plenty of copies for the public.

These documents are submitted as a part of the permanent record of this hearing today together with the exhibit maps that are displayed around the room. These consist of eight infra-red aerial photographs of the area showing the various lines; the wall display map of the settlement, which is over here; the low water air photos of the area submitted by Westbay Community Associates, which is under the easel by the clock there. These show

the various lines.

The Westbav claim lines are depicted on the small scale US Coast and Geodetic Survey map of the Bay Area showing the large areas included within this settlement. Various other maps are attached to the summary that you displayed, Mr. Chairman.

The proposed settlement satisfactorily resolves be major state objectives in the following manner: The location of the old low water mark is established, and that is approximated by the red lines on the aerial photographs within the yellow area; the state's old patterns are determined to be invalid to divest the state of title to the submerged lands below the ordinary low water mark; and the tide lands trust easement applies to valid conveyances into private ownership of tide lands above the ordinary low water mark.

As a result of this, the state's fee title, unencumbered by private claims is being confirmed to about 7,300 acres within South San Francisco Bay. That basically is the area lying outside of the red lines on the maps out to the limits shown by the yellow pattern lines.

The private underlying fee title to some 2,300 acres above the ordinary low water mark -- stress above there -- will be confirmed in Westbay subject to the public tide lands easement. That's the area landward of the red

line to the upward limit of Westbay's ownership.

Left out of the settlement are three areas which will be resolved subsequently. This is a parcel near Bird Island, consisting of Bird Island near Foster City, a small triangle at Greco Island, and one parcel near Coyote Point.

Included in the settlement are two access easements to Westbay located in the San Mateo County grant boundaries and clearance of title ambiguities in these areas.

Two staff public hearings were held in this room on July 7th. The proceedings are described in the transcript and the summary. We also have cassette recordings of the staff hearings and copies of the written public comments received after the hearings which have also been provided to the Commission.

As Mr. Golden indicated, a staff briefing was provided to the Bay Conservation and Development Commission on July 21st, and their resolution of approval is also before you.

There are two small corrections in the material that was made available earlier. The Summary of Proposed Partial Settlement on page 3 and the staff comments indicated a total of 900 acres in the '65 trust grar' to the County of Coyote Point when the total in fact is 750 acres.

In addition, the two access leases which were earlier indicated as being 49-year leases, they are 66-year

1	leases.
2	In the audience today, in case there are questions
3	are Tom Casey, of San Mateo County, and Henry Dietz of the
4	County, recently retired, who put a lot of effort into this.
5	There is Jerry Coleman, City Attorney for Buclingame;
6	Maurice Hamilton, from San Mateo; and Allen Littman,
7	representing Westbay Community Associates.
8	CHAIRMAN CORY: Any questions from Commissioners?
9	COMMISSIONER BELL: None.
10	CHAIRMAN CORY: Is there anybody in the audience
11	who would like to comment on these items, on this particular
12	item?
13	Are we ready for a motion?
14	COMMISSIONER BELL: Yes, I'm ready.
15	COMMISSIONER CORY: Mr. Bell moves.
16	MS. SMITH: I second.
17	CHAIRMAN CORY: Betty Jo seconds. The partial
18	settlement is approved as presented. All those in favor
19	signify by saying aye.
20	COMMISSIONER BELL: Aye.
21	MS. SMITH: Aye.
2.2	CHAIRMAN CORY: Motion is carried.
23	(Applause.)
24	CHAIRMAN CORY: I'd like to thank all those who
25	labored so hard on this, and I particularly want to thank

Maurice Hamilton for the hospitality here in providing our meeting room and all of you who have worked so hard on a very difficult project. We thank you very much.

Moving to agenda ftem 19, approval of settlement agreements regarding tide and submerged lands under the jurisdiction of the Los Angeles Board of Harbor Commissioners.

MR. NORTHROP: Mr. Chairman, this settlement consists of eight points in the settlement. The points are:

Maximum repayment to the general fund has been established; interest monies owing to the Harbor Revenue Fund, claims have been waived; city claims for reimbursement for retirement costs have been waived; city claims for reimbursement for cost of services provided to and for the Harbor Department, the city shall bill the Board of Harbor Commissioners on July 1, past claims have been waived; the city's use of the Harbor Department trust lands for general municipal purposes, the city shall be billed and billings shall be allocated on the basis of benefit. Past claims have been waived.

The sixth point, property transferred to the Harbor Department, segregation of revenues from Parcel One so as to preclude comingling of trust and non-trust revenues. After deductions for a management fee and an amortization of and a return on Harbor investments, the Harbor may transfer all or portions of the remaining

non-trust revenues to the General Fund as meant for the use of the land.

The seventh point, the comingling of revenues from trust and non-trust assets, all lands under the administration of the Harbor Department, except Parcel One, are declared to be impressed with the trust created by the grant to the city.

The last point, all other controverted claims are waived.

The staff has reviewed this, the Attorney General has reviewed this, and the Attorney General informs us that it is an acceptable settlement. The staff feels that there would be some areas that they would have liked to have got, but unfortunately in negotiations you cannot get everything you ask for. We recommend approval.

CHAIRMAN CORY: Any questions from members?

Anybody in the audience on item 19?

COMMISSIONER BELL: If not, I would move, Mr. Chairman, approval of the settlement agreement regarding tide and submerged lands under the jurisdiction of the LA Board of Harbor Commissioners as shown on page 66 and 62.

MS. SMITH: I second.

CHAIRMAN CORY: Without objection, the motion is approved as presented.

Item 20, permit for Agate Bay Properties Incor-1 porated. 2 I think we ought to back up and thank Kathy for 3 service above and beyond the call of duty in the LA Harbor. MS. SMITH: I agree. 5 CHAIRMAN CORY: We appreciate what you've done. б You avoid us having to go to those meetings. 7 Item 20, Agate Bay Properties, Incorporated, 8 40 foot diameter, 14 circular parcels. 9 MR. NCRTHROP: For 14 existing mooring buoys 10 and one existing floating platform to be utilized for 11 recreational boating and swimming respectively. We get in 12 excess of \$3,000 back rent and establish a rent going 13 forward of 450 a year. 14 CHAIRMAN CORY: Anybody in the audience on item 15 20? 16 Any questions from Commissioners? 17 Without objection, item 20 will be approved 18 as presented. 19 Item 21, Dowrelio Boat Works. 20 MR. NORTHROP: Mr. Chairman, this has been on the 21 agenda before, and the approval was given for an experimental 22 subaqueous disbursal method, and that unfortunately the 23 They did not got started, and they have asked 24 for an extension through June 30th. 25

The Corps of Engineers, California Water Quality 1 and Marine Affairs and several others are interested -several other organizations are interested in the movement 3 of sands and such a disposal area. We ; ommended no fee because of the experimental nature. CHAIRMAN CORY: Anybody on item 21 in the audience? 6 Ouestions from the Commissioners? 7 Without objection, item 21 will be approved as 8 presented. Item 22, Carl Bennett Rodeo Marina, a dredging 10 permit. 11 MR. NORTHROP: This is on dredging --12 CHAIRMAN CORY: Fifteen cents per cubic yard, 13 and this will be disposed of on the disposal site as opposed 14 to the experimental. 15 MR. NORTHROP: Right. 16 Anybody in the audience on item CHAIRMAN CORY: 17 22? 18 Without objection, item 22 will be approved as 19 presented. 20 Item 23, Lake Minerals Corporation in Owens 21 Lake, Inyo County. They want to go from two feet to twelve 22 feet, but they are giving up something on the other side 2.3 and going to a standard lease? 24 MR. NORTHROP: Right. They are going to a standard 25

lease and the material they will be using will be environmentally helpful because it's going to be used in a stack scrubber -- stack-gas scrubber program. 3 CHAIRMAN CORY: So it helps the urban environment 4 and puts some dust in the air in any event. Anybody in 5 the audience on item 23? 6 Ouestions from members? 7 COMMISSIONER BELL: No problem. 2 CHAIRMAN CORY: Without objection, item 23 is 9 approved as presented. 10 Item 24, recommendation to extend, upon request 11 by the County of Santa Barbara -- oh, this is --12 MR. NORTHROP: This is the pier. 13 CHAIRMAN CORY: Okay. 14 COMMISSIONER BELL: This is that pier again. 15 CHAIRMAN CORY: That's the ship? 16 MR. NORTHROP: All right. No, this is the Ellwood 17 Pier. 18 COMMISSIONER BELL: This is that old Ellwood 19 Pier, again isn't it? 20 MR. NORTHROP: Yes. There have been some studies 21 on --22 CHAIRMAN CORY: They haven't decided what to do 23 with their pier yet? 24 MR. NORTHROP: They have got the studies out now, 25

and there are two gentlemen here from Santa Barbara County if you have some questions on it. But Aminoil has agreed to stand in the shoes they were in originally as to the 3 removal if the County does not want to use it for a fishing pier. б They are now analyzing the report that was given to them on the costs of converting it to a fishing pier in the 7 area. COMMISSIONER BELL: How much time? 9 January 31, 1978, Mr. Bell. MR. NORTHROP: 10 COMMISSIONER BELL: Hopefully in six months? 11 MR. NORTHROP: Yes. We should have some resolution 12 on this problem. 13 COMMISSIONER BELL: No problem. 14 CHAIRMAN CORY: Anybody in the audience on item 15 24? 16 Without objection, item 24 will be approved as 17 18 presented. Item 25, Long Beach operations First Modification 19 In the first months, it's 20 of the plan of development. always the first modification, isn't it? 21 MR. NORTHROP: That's right. This consists of --22 23 (Laughter.) CHAIRMAN CORY: One of these days we're going to 24 25 get through a month without modifying.

1	MR. NORTHROP: Mr. Chairman, this substantially	
2	reduces the revenue estimate by almost 30 million dollars	
3	and increases the cost of actual expenditures by nearly five,	
4	in excess of five million dollars.	
5	CHAIRMAN CORY: Any questions by anybody in the	
6	audience? Commissioners?	
7	COMMISSIONER BELL: No. I've had the bad news	
8	already.	
9	CHAIRMAN CORY: Okay. Item 26, project review,	
10	developments in Los Angeles County.	
11	MR. NORTHROP: Yes, Mr. Chairman. This item is	
12	a reduction from 70-odd percent to 13 percent of the state	
13	participation in a sewer project.	
14	CHAIRMAN CORY: Aryone in the audience on item 26?	
15	Okay. Without objection, item 26 will be	
16	approved as presented.	
17	Item 27, project review on Pier A, Berth 5,	
18	Storm Drain Pump Station Reconstruction.	
19	MR. NORTHROP: This adjusts a subsidence amount	
20	to make us responsible for nearly seven million dollars.	
21	CHAIRMAN CORY: Any questions from the Commissioner	s?
2.2	Anybody in the audience on item 27?	
23	Without objection	
24	MR. HIGHT: Seven.	
25	MR. NORTHROP: Seven thousand. I stand corrected.	

Ŧ	I missed it.
2	COMMISSIONER BELL: Corrected to \$7,000, right.
3	CHAIRMAN CORY: Seven thousand or \$6,989.79.
4	MR. HIGHT: And not a penny more.
5	CHAIRMAN CORY: I presume we are approving what's
6	written before us, not
7	MR. NORTHROP: What I'm saying. Okay.
8	CHAIRMAN CORY: Okay.
9	COMMISSIONER BELL: Okay. Without objection,
10	item 27
11	CHAIRMAN CORY: Twenty-seven is approved as
12	presented in writing.
13	Item 28, boundary line agreement on the Feather
14	River adjacent to upland owned by Mathews Readymix near
15	Gridley, Butte County. Is there anybody in the audience
16	on item 28?
17	Any questions by Commissioners?
18	COMMISSIONER BELL: No questions.
19	CHAIRMAN CORY: Without objection the boundary
20	line agreement is approved as presented.
21	Item 29, approve the Special Area Plan, South
22	Richmond Shoreline what are we doing here? I forgot my
23	briefing.
24	MR. NORTHROP: Mr. Hight will respond to that.
25	MR. HIGHT: Mr. Chairman, the City of Richmond

has a plan for the development and the Commission must approve its consistency wit' the grant. This is a finding 2 that it is consistent. 3 Questions? Anybody in the audience CHAIRMAN CORY: 4 on item 29? 5 Without objection, item 29 is approved as 6 presented. 7 Item 30. This deals with encroachment agreements 8 for Piers numbers 6, 7, 9, 10, and 11 in Mission Bay. 9 It's a declaration that those piers are not consistent with 10 the terms of the grant and do not promote statewide purposes 11 and are not in the best interests of the state. 12 These are piers as I recall from the briefing, 13 that cannot be adapted to public use for unique circumstances 14 relating to them, and --15 COMMISSIONER BELL: This action affects us. 16 In the event the grant should MR. HIGHT: Yes. 17 be revoked for some reason, then the stace would not be 18 bound by saying that these were consistent with the trust. 19 COMMISSIONER BELL: Right. 20 CHAIRMAN CORY: Anybody in the audience on these 21 items? 22 Without objection, item 30 is approved as 23 presented. 24 Norm, do you want to tell us about litigation? 25

MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman, we received the Notice of Intended Decision in the Pariani case. The court held in favor of the state's position that geothermal resources are a mineral and therefore retained by the state in a mineral reservation contained in early state patents. There is now more than four million dollars on deposit with the court drawing interest, and we are adding to that amount at the rate of \$200,000 a month from the geysers area.

I would anticipate there would be an approval by the private parties, and if that occurs, I would say that we probably still are looking at approximately three years before we will be able to collect the money. At that time, it will be a very substantial amount, probably in excess of ten million dollars and it may be closer to twenty.

CHAIRMAN CORY: Okay. Anything else?

MR. NORTHROP: Mr. Chairman, in line with that and the fact that it has been determined that the geothermal resource is a mineral, we probably will expand our operation, perhaps assign one man to the geysers to follow that very closely.

CHAIRMAN CORY: Okay.

MR. TAYLOR: There are several other matters, but I believe they should be handled in a subsequent executive session of the Commission.

CHAIRMAN CORY: Okay. We'll probably try to

## 25

ŧ

2

3

5

б

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

have one later this week or next week in Sacramento before we go to litigation. Any other items before the Commission? 3 4 Okay. We stand adjourned. Or wait, before we do that, our next meeting is --5 6 MR. TAYLOR: August 25th in Sacramento. 7 CHAIRMAN CORY: August 25, Sacramento. All right. And we stand adjourned. 8 9 (Thereupon at the hour of 10:23 o'clock a.m. 10 the meeting of the State Lands Commission 11 was adjourned.) 12 --000--13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

State of California SS. County of Sacramento 3 I, DIANE FATTIG, a Notary Public in and for 5 the County of Sacramento, State of California, duly appointed and commissioned to administer oaths, do hereby 6 certify: That I am a disinterested person herein; that the foregoing State Land Commission Meeting was reported in shorthand by me, Diane Fattig, a Certified Shorthand 10 Reporter of the State of California, and thereafter 11 transcribed into typewriting. 12 I further certify that I am not of counsel or 13 attorney for any of the parties to said meeting, nor in 14 any way interested in the outcome of said meeting. 15 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand 16 and affixed by seal of office this of day of August, 17 1977. 18 19 20 21 Diane Fattig Notary Public in and for the County 22 of Sacramento, State of California 23 24 --000--OFFICIAL SEAL DIANE FATTIG 25 OTARY FUBLIC - CALIFORNIA Principal Office in Segramento Crenty My Commission Expires Dec. 7, 1980