SPECIAL MEETING STATE LANDS COMMISSION STATE CAPITOL 10 **ROOM 1145** 11 SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 12 13 14 ì5 16 17 FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 14, 1986 18 11:00 A. M. 19 29 **ORIGINAL** 21 22

Nadine J. Parks Shorthand Reporter

23

24

25

CORRECTED COPY 3/20/86

APPEARANCES 1 2 Walter Harvey, Acting Chairman, for Kenneth Cory, 3 State Controller, Chairman Nancy Ordway, for Jesse R. Huff, Director of Finance, Commissioner 5 Laura Schlichtmann, for Les T. McCartty, Liettenant Governor, Commissioner 7 8 9 Staff Present: 16 Claire T. Dedrick, Executive Officer 11 J. F. Trout, Assistant Executive Officer R. C. Hight, Chief Counsel 12 Jane Smith, Secretary Frederick O. Ludlow, Staff Counsel 13 14 15 16 17 13 19 20 27 22 23 24

1 INDEX 2 Page Proceedings 1 3 Items 1 and 2, General Leases, right-of-way usage for gas and oil pipelines, PAPCO, PANGL, Permitting Agent, 5 Chevron U.S.A. 1 Mr. Lee Bafalon, Chevron U.S.A. 2 7 Motion and Adoption of Calendar Items 1 and 2 8 Adjournment 6: Certificate of Shorthand Reporter 7 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 APPENDIX 17 Letter from County of Santa Barbara signed by Dianne Guzman, Director, Resource 18 Management Department 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

PROCEEDINGS

--000--

1

2

3

5

7

10

13

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ACTING CPAIRMAN HARVEY: We'll convene the meeting of the State Lands Commission. We have, for the record, two items on our agenda, both dealing with a lease to, I guess, Chevron U.S.A. over our tidelands for the purpose of constructing a pipeline.

MR. BAFALON: A point of clarification. The leases are to PAPCO and PANGL, not to Chevron U.S.A.

ACTING CHAIRMAN HARVEY: Okay, fine,

MS. ORDWAY: Chevron is a party to it.

MR. BAFALON: They are the agent for those two partnerships.

ACTING CHAIRMAN HARVEY: Okay.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER DEDRICK: There are two piplines, an oil pipeline and a gas pipeline.

ACTING CHAIRMAN HARVEY: They are essentially, I understand, exactly the same language. We have merely separated oil from gas.

MR. HIGHT: In substance, they are.

ACTING CHAIRMAN HARVEY: Okay. I understand --

There's a

MS. ORDWAY: Is their representative here?

representative from Chevron U.S.A. who has asked to speak.

For the record, we also have a letter from the County of

ACTING CHAIRMAN HARVEY: Yes.

Santa Barbara. They have asked us, I widerstand, to introduce that letter into the record. We will do so.

I guess if they really cared, they would have been here.

They requested us to place the letter into the record and we will so do.

There is Mr. Lee Bafalon of Chevron. I understand you want to address the Commission. Mr. Bafalon?

MS. ORDWAY: With this air conditioning, you're going to have to speak very loudly or else she won't be able to hear you.

MR. BAFALON: Very briefly, I'm Lee Bafalon from Chevron U.S.A., permitting agent for PAPCO and PANGL, the applicants before the Commission today.

Primarily, the statement I want to make is a short one and express my company's and the companies I represent appreciation for the efforts that the State Lanks Commission staff has made in the last several weeks in working with us to come out with what we believe to be reasonable and acceptable leases for the companies.

That's not to say that I say that we've accepted them. My management still has to review them, but I believe we've made significant strides in coming up with something that will be reasonably workable for everyone.

And, thank you.

ACTING CHAIRMAN HARVEY: Okay. I understand

that there is a point in controversy between the applicant 2 for the lease and the County of Santa Barba; a. The lease 3 does provide that this will be operated as a common carrier ٠. pipline; is that true, Mr. Hight? 5 MR. HIGHT: That's correct. That's one of the conditions of the Commission's permit and I believe Standard-7 MS. ORDWAY: Chevron. ACTING CHAIRMAN HARVEY: Standard agrees with that? 10 MR. BAFALON: I'll clarify that the point of controversy is not between the applicant and the County of 11 Santa Barbara. 12 EXECUTIVE OFFICER DEDRICK: That's correct. 13 ACTING CHAIRMAN HARVEY: Okay. I'm sorry I 14 misstated. Okay. 15 MR. BAFALON: And, yes, as Mr. Hight says, 16 both of these companies have always been proposed to be common 17 carraers and will be. The conditions reflect the status 18 that was agreed upon by the companies. 19 MS. ORDWAY: You do agree to Section K? 20 MR. BAFALON: We agree to Section K. 21 ACTING CHAIRMAN HARVEY: That is our concern 22 basically. If someone else says you're not, that's between 23 you and them, not between us and them. Is that a good 24 statement? Okay. Laura, under normal circumstances you would 25

be here instead of me. Any input you want to get into this? 2 MS. SCHLICHTMANN: Nothing I can think of. 3 MS. ORDWAY: Walter? ACTING CHAIRMAN HARVEY: Yes? 5 MR. BAFALON: Again, you said that's between 6 the applicant and them. I think the point of clarification should be made that if the partmerships had had their way. that condition as it reads would not have been that way. 8 But that is a modification that we have found acceptable 9 considering what we started out with. 10 ACTING CHAIRMAN HARVEY: The record will 11 reflect that statement. No problem. 12 MS. SCHLICHTMANN: Your question? 13 ACTING CHAIRMAN HARVEY: I just, you know, under 14 normal circumstances, you would be sitting here, not me. 15 And I just wondered if you had any input you wanted into 16 this thing, had any feeling with respect to --17 MS. SCHLICHTMANN: No. The main -- my main 18 concern arising out of this letter I reviewed in a briefing 19 with the staff --20 ACTING CHAIRMAN HARVEY: It's okay. As long as 24 Chevron is willing to go along with it. Okay. 22 MR. HIGHT: For the record, Mr. Chairman, I think 23

I need to make the statement that the Lieutenant Governor's

Office is sitting ir a nonvoting capacity because of the

24

Government Code sections. 2 ACTING CHAIRMAN HARVEY: That's correct. I just 3 thought that the Lieutenant Governor deserved at least the courtesy of reflecting his position. MS. SCHLICHTMANN: Certainly appreciate the courtesy. ACTING CHAIRMAN HARVEY: Okay. Do we have a motion? MS. ORDWAY: You have a motion. ACTING CHAIRMAN HARVEY: Okay. Without 10 objection, then, we will adopt the proposed leases as 1 7 presented to us in the form presented by the staff. That will be the order --13 MS. ORDWAY: For Calendar Items both 1 and 2. 14 ACTING CHAIRMAN HARVEY: For Calendar Items 15 both 1 and 2. Hearing no further business, we'll stand 16 17 adjourned. MR. LUDLOW: You should consider this, the 18 Calendar Item with PAPCO also creates a common carrier 19 corridor that generally embraces the right-of-way that PAPCO 20 and PANGL will be in, and that needs to be addressed. 21 EXECUTIVE OFFICER DEDRICK: But that's adopted in-22 ACTING CHAIRMAN HARVEY: That's adopted in the 23 lease agreement. 24 MR. HIGHT: Yes. 25

1	EXECUTIVE OFFICER DEDRICK: That action is
2	being taken by the Commission.
3	MR. LUDLOW: I just wanted to make sure that
4	the record shows that that action was being approved also.
5	ACTING CHAIRMAN HARVEY: Any further business
6	to come before the
7	MS. ORDWAY: Item 3, I understand, is being
8	put over until we have further information?
9	ACTING CHAIRMAN HARVEY: We did Item 3. We
0	acted on Item 3 yesterday.
1	MS. ORDWAY: We acted on part of Item 3
2	yesterday. The remainder of Item 3 is put over until we
3	receive additional information.
4	EXECUTIVE OFFICER DEDRICK: The question as
15	approval of the annexation of State lands. That is
16	correct.
7	MS. ORDWAY: Move we adjourn
8	ACTING CHAIRMAN HARVEY: We are adjourned.
: 9	(Thereupon the meeting was adjourned.)
20	»o0o
11	
12	
13	



County of Santa Barbara RESOURCE MANAGEMENT DEPARTMENT

Dianne Guzman, AICP, Director Dev Vrat, Assistant Director

State Lands Commission Sacramento, California

Dear Commissioners:

RE: Chevron Point Arguello Oil and Gas Pipelines

The County of Santa Barbara has reviewed the General Right of Way leases proposed to be issued to the Point Arguello Partnership (Chevron). We find we must object to the inclusion of Condition K. As we understand this condition, it requires that Chevron agree to initiate litigation against the County of Santa Barbara if the County should decline to issue additional permits for increased amounts or new sources of oil or gas to be transported to or processed at the Gaviota facility.

We object to the inclusion of this condition because it is unwarranted and fosters unnecessary litigation.

The County of Santa Barbara has limited Chevron's permit to sources and quantities of oil and gas whose impacts were identified and evaluated in the certified EIR/S prepared for the project. As a Joint Review Party member, the State Lands Commission was heavily involved in the preparation of this environmental document. The intent of Santa Barbara County Condition A-13 is to cause appropriate environmental review to occur if Chevron should apply: 1) for additional capacity; or 2) to process or transport oil or gas of different compositions than those analyzed in the certified EIR/S. Nothing in the permit condition prohibits Chevron from increasing capacity or accepting other sources of oil and gas once the impacts from such changes have been addressed and found acceptable to the County. To further this point, County Condition Q-7 states that "Chevron's facilities will be operated on a common carrier basis with access for use available on a nondiscriminatory and equitable basis...The intent of this condition is to ensure the multi-capany use of oil and gas transportation and processing facilities."

We question the propriety of a government agency requiring, as a condition to its permit, the permittee to bring litigation against another government agency because the first agency is dissatisfied with the discretionary act of the second agency. For this reason, we request that you delete this condition.

Very truly yours,

Diana Eyman

Dianne GUZMAN

Director

DG:JC:PC:5497e

CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER

I, Nadine J. Parks, a shorthand reporter of the State of California, hereby certify that as such reporter I was present at the time and place of the foregoing meeting of the State Lands Commission, and as such reporter, reported the proceedings in stenograph shorthand writing, and that thereafter I transcribed my shorthand writing into typewriting.

I further certify that I am a disinterested person herein and am not counsel or attorney for any of the parties to said meeting and have no interest in the outcome of said meeting.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have set my hand this 10th day of March, 1986.

Nadine J. Parke

Shorthand Reporter

- -