MEETING

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

LANDS COMMISSION

STATE CAPITOL

ROOM 112

SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA

THURSDAY, JUNE 28, 2007 10:07 A.M.

JAMES F. PETERS, CSR, RPR CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER LICENSE NUMBER 10063 ii

APPEARANCES

BOARD MEMBERS

- Mr. John Garamendi, Lieutenant Governor, Chairperson
- Mr. John Chiang, State Controller, also represented by
- Mr. Ron Placet
- Mr. Michael Genest, Director of Finance, represented by
- Ms. Anne Sheehan

STAFF

- Mr. Paul Thayer, Executive Officer
- Mr. Jack Rump, Chief Counsel
- Mr. Colin Connor, Assistant Chief, Land Management Division
- Ms. Barbara Dugal, Chief, Land Management Division
- Ms. Kimberly Lunetta, Executive Assistant
- Mr. Dave Plummer, Regional Manager, Land Management Division

ATTORNEY GENERAL

Mr. Alan Hager, Deputy Attorney General

ALSO PRESENT

- Mr. Gordon Bennett, Sierra Club
- Mr. Bob Gressens, Cambria Community Services District
- Mr. J.B. Morais
- Mr. Bob Vellanoweth

iii

	INDEX	PAGE
I	OPEN SESSION	1
II	CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES FOR THE MEETING OF MAY 10, 2007	4
III	EXECUTIVE OFFICERS REPORT	5
IV	CONSENT CALENDAR C01 - C61	13
GENE	RAL LEASES	
С	14 CAMBRIA COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT (LESSEE/APPLICANT): Consider termination of Lease No. PRC 7858.9, a General Lease Public Agency Use and an application for a new General Lease Public Agency Use, of sovereign lands located in the Pacific Ocean, at San Simeon State Beach, San Luis Obispo County; for the construction of two temporary groundwater monitoring wells, including temporary equipment to perform groundwater pumping tests. (W 26226; RA# 26806)	64
V.	REGULAR CALENDAR ITEMS 62 - 64	
62	EVERETT G. NORD AND GRETTA L. NORD (LESSEES); ROBERT L. VELLANOWETH AND KELLY A. VELLANOWETH (APPLICANTS): Consider the termination of Lease No. PRC 5065.9, a Recreational Pier Lease, and an application for a new General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign lands located in the Sacramento River, near the city of Sacramento, Sacramento County; for the construction of a covered floating boathouse with second-story sundeck and handrail, boat lift, steel pilings, and an existing gangway, and wood pilings previously authorized by the Commission. (WP 5065.9; RA# 16404)	14

iv

INDEX CONTINUED

		PAGE
63	NORTH BAJA PIPELINE, LLC (LESSEE): Consider certification of an Environmental Impact Report (SCH 2006081127/CSLC EIR 739) and authorization of an amendment to Lease No. PRC 8378.2, a General Lease - Right of Way Use, of State school lands located near State Highway 78 in Imperial County; for the construction of an additional steel pipeline for the transmission of natural gas. (PRC 8378.2; RA# 24404)	3
64	CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION: Consider a resolution proposed by the Controller opposing federal preemption of state laws that would reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	84
VI	PUBLIC COMMENT	87
VII	CLOSED SESSION	88
Adjo	urnment	88
Repo	rter's Certificate	89

- 2 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: We're going to start the
- 3 meeting. So if you could take your places and we'll be
- 4 underway here in a few moments.
- 5 Controller John Chiang will be joining us
- 6 shortly. But we have sufficient members of the Commission
- 7 to begin the meeting, as in we have a quorum. Two of us.
- 8 I'm John Garamendi, Lieutenant Governor and
- 9 current Chair of the State Lands Commission.
- 10 We have an agenda today that we want to move
- 11 through expeditiously, but also allow people to
- 12 participate as they choose.
- We're going to take a couple items off the
- 14 consent calendar.
- 15 Paul, which ones are those? I think you said
- 16 there were two that we were going to take off the consent
- 17 calendar.
- 18 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: Yes. I'm looking for
- 19 the on switch button. It sounds like it's on.
- 20 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Yeah, I looked for that,
- 21 and I don't think you have them.
- 22 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: I think it's on. Is
- 23 it on?
- Okay. There are two items we'd like to take off
- 25 consent and here today. Often when there's last-minute

1 opposition to items, we put them over for a future meeting

- 2 in an attempt to resolve those differences. But there are
- 3 various deadlines associated with these two, that we'd
- 4 like to add them to the regular calendar and conduct the
- 5 hearing. And those are Items 14 and 42.
- 6 We also have some other items where there were
- 7 last-minute glitches and for which we think we have enough
- 8 time to work them out before the next Commission meeting.
- 9 And so if the Chair would like, I can read you those as
- 10 well.
- 11 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Yeah, let's deal with
- 12 those. I'm aware of what they are. But let's make sure
- 13 everybody in the audience is.
- 14 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: Sure. Those would be
- 15 items 37 and 38, 40, 41 --
- 16 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Tell us which items they
- 17 are as you go through.
- 18 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: Sure.
- 19 Items 37 and 38 are offers to dedicate public
- 20 access-ways easements in Malibu. They will expire in
- 21 October. The Commission has a record of accepting these
- 22 to prevent them from expiring. There was last-minute
- 23 opposition from a property owner. If we hear this
- 24 September 13th we'll still have the opportunity to be
- 25 timely with our acceptance. He called late last night or

- 1 this morning.
- Next two, items 40 and 41, are rent reviews for
- 3 Tesoro, two different oil terminals. We will have the
- 4 opportunity to hear this again in September. And it won't
- 5 change the revenues to the state at all. We'll backdate
- 6 if they have to pay back rent for anything that's changed
- 7 because of putting it over two and a half months.
- 8 Item 49 is an item involving a new powerline
- 9 across the Colorado River proposed by the Western Area
- 10 Power Administration. There's a disagreement as to
- 11 whether or not the feds want to enter into a lease with
- 12 us. And so we need to straighten that out. And again it
- 13 won't affect the project, the timing of the project.
- 14 Item 54 is a memorandum of understanding
- 15 involving mitigation for L.A. diversion of water from the
- 16 Owens River. There are a number of parties that need to
- 17 be part of this amendments to the memorandum, including
- 18 Fish and Game; Sierra Club; the Owens Valley Committee,
- 19 which is an environmental group. And not all those
- 20 parties have agreed to all the terms. So we'll bring that
- 21 back in September as well.
- Finally, Item 63 is the North Baja Pipeline. And
- 23 that was originally scheduled for today. But staff found
- 24 that, in working with the consultant, that we didn't think
- 25 that the documents would be prepared in a timely enough

1 way so the public would have an opportunity to adequately

- 2 review them. Specifically, the statement of findings for
- 3 the Commission adoption wasn't going to be able to go up
- 4 until this week on the Internet. And that's 240 pages
- 5 long.
- 6 So as a result, as I think all the Commissioners
- 7 know, we've rescheduled this for a special hearing on July
- 8 13th at 3:30 probably at the STRS office. But we'll be
- 9 posting details for that meeting on the web probably
- 10 tomorrow.
- 11 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: I know there are several
- 12 people in the audience that were here for that specific
- 13 issue of the North Baja Pipeline. We will now hear that
- 14 on July 13th. Details and locations to be announced.
- 15 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: That's correct.
- 16 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: All right. Those items
- 17 we will take off the consent and off the calendar and take
- 18 them up -- two items come off the consent and will be
- 19 dealt with today, and the remaining items will be dealt
- 20 with at subsequent meetings.
- Okay. Lets move on to the minutes.
- 22 ACTING COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: If there are no
- 23 changes, I'll move approval of the minutes.
- 24 ACTING COMMISSIONER PLACET: Second.
- 25 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: So moved. Unanimously

- 1 approved. So the minutes have been adopted.
- The Executive Officer's Report.
- 3 Mr. Thayer.
- 4 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: Thank you.
- 5 There are a couple items I want to discuss. I'd
- 6 like to lead off with further details about our public
- 7 trust workshops.
- 8 The Commission will recall that earlier this year
- 9 there were some discussion about time shares and whether
- 10 or not we wanted to gather some additional information.
- 11 And the Commissioners agreed that the way to approach this
- 12 would be to redo something we've done in the past, which
- 13 is to conduct some public trust workshops up and down the
- 14 state. And so as we did four or five years ago, we're
- 15 having workshops in San Francisco, Los Angeles and San
- 16 Diego.
- 17 The dates have been sent out to all interested
- 18 parties, and details. But basically it will be July 6th
- 19 in San Francisco at 4 in the San Francisco -- the Port of
- 20 San Francisco's Hearing Room; July 13th in Los Angeles at
- 21 the Port's Hearing Room; and July 17th in San Diego, also
- 22 at the Port Board Room. All these meetings will be from 4
- 23 to 7. Staff will be giving a presentation on the Public
- 24 Trust Doctrine. There will be a representative from the
- 25 Attorney General's Office there to answer the legal

- 1 questions.
- We'll discuss time shares during the San Diego
- 3 meeting. There are local issues at each of the other
- 4 locations, in San Pedro and San Francisco area, that will
- 5 be under discussion.
- 6 We'll also have a panel involving -- at each of
- 7 these meetings involving representatives of the port,
- 8 environmental groups, and industry, so we can get a good
- 9 exchange of ideas.
- 10 And once those workshops are completed, we'll
- 11 report back to the Commission on highlights of them.
- 12 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Sometime ago you shared
- 13 with me a brochure that describes the Public Trust. I
- 14 think we may want to consider updating that, making that
- 15 available, not only on the website -- an updated version
- 16 of it on the website, but also available for the public
- 17 who attend our hearings and others who might be
- 18 interested, so that there's better understanding of what
- 19 this incredibly important concept principle's all about.
- 20 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: I think that's a great
- 21 idea. The Commission three or four years ago had formally
- 22 gone through a hearing process and adopted a public trust
- 23 policy in conjunction with a report primarily prepared by
- 24 the AG's Office. And both of those are on the website.
- 25 But we've been looking at a brochure in Hawaii that they

1 used to explain the Public Trust Doctrine, and it's

- 2 written in a much easier, more comprehensive -- or
- 3 comprehendible manner.
- 4 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: You've got about 20
- 5 people behind you saying that that would be a great idea.
- 6 (Laughter.)
- 7 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: So we're trying to
- 8 work up a California version of that.
- 9 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Good.
- 10 Okay. Let's move that little project along.
- 11 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: Then the next item I
- 12 wanted to talk about -- unless there are any questions
- 13 about the public trust workshops -- is that we have a
- 14 number of retirements actually. And there's one in
- 15 particular that I think perhaps now is the right time to
- 16 do.
- 17 I'd like to note that Alan Hager, sitting to my
- 18 right, has been the representative of the Attorney
- 19 General's Office for State Lands Commission matters, a
- 20 variety of matters, for over 30 years. He's been sitting
- 21 at this table for fewer of those. But even when he wasn't
- 22 here, he was kind of the lead guy on the Long Beach oil
- 23 matters. The state has as much money as it does, there
- 24 hasn't been as bad financial shape because of his good
- 25 work. He has been stalwart on, as I say, oil issues. But

1 also he supplied, I can say personally, a key memorandum

- 2 dealing with the San Francisco waterfront a couple years
- 3 ago.
- 4 And so, as important as he has been to the
- 5 Commission's deliberations and the staff's work, it's as
- 6 much as we're going to miss him. So we have a memento
- 7 that we'd like to have presented to him on behalf of the
- 8 Commissioners. And I think the Chair has that up there.
- 9 Alan, if you want to join --
- 10 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Alan, come on up. We
- 11 really value and appreciate all that you've have done.
- 12 And we, you know -- photo. Paul, if you and Jack could
- 13 come up and join us.
- 14 (Thereupon a photo was taken.)
- 15 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Don't hurt yourself.
- 16 (Laughter.)
- 17 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Paul, why don't you take
- 18 that side.
- 19 Okay. On the official website.
- Thank you so much.
- 21 (Applause.)
- 22 DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL HAGER: Thank you.
- 23 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: I know that everyone in
- 24 the audience and certainly the staff at the State Lands
- 25 Commission and the Governor's staff as well as the

1 Controller's staff and certainly my staff depend heavily

- 2 on your work, Alan. We know that when we get that work,
- 3 it's going to be well reasoned and factually correct. And
- 4 we thank you so very, very much for that.
- 5 And we don't here debate about your analysis.
- 6 (Laughter.)
- 7 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: We certainly here debate
- 8 about my analysis.
- 9 (Laughter.)
- 10 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: So, Alan, thank you very
- 11 much.
- John.
- 13 COMMISSIONER CHIANG: Mr. Chair, thank you very
- 14 much.
- 15 Alan, I just wanted to express our office's
- 16 deepest gratitude. You've provided extraordinary and
- 17 exceptional service to the residents of California and,
- 18 frankly, we're stronger as a state because of your
- 19 service. Thank you very much.
- 20 DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL HAGER: Thank you. I
- 21 certainly enjoyed every minute of it.
- 22 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Now, we do have a program
- 23 called retired annuitant.
- 24 (Laughter.)
- 25 DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL HAGER: Yes.

1 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: So if you get a call,

- 2 please respond positively.
- 3 DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL HAGER: I understand the
- 4 paperwork has been signed yesterday.
- 5 (Laughter.)
- 6 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: We hope to benefit
- 7 from his advice as a retiree. As you say, retired
- 8 annuitant with some time to come. He'll be back on
- 9 special projects.
- 10 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Thank you.
- 11 Paul, please proceed.
- 12 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: There is one other
- 13 retirement. We don't have a resolution or a lot of frills
- 14 for this because he's -- the gentleman specifically asked
- 15 that we not do this. So I'm just going to say --
- 16 (Laughter.)
- 17 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: -- I'm just going to
- 18 announce that Dave Plummer is also retiring. He's
- 19 probably been working for the Lands Commission even longer
- 20 than Alan's been working for the Attorney General's
- 21 Office. He has specialized in base closures, to ensure
- 22 the state got back the public trust lands that originally
- 23 went into those bases. And he's also specialized in
- 24 northern California work. He worked very hard on the same
- 25 San Francisco waterfront project that alluded to earlier

```
1 in connection with Alan. And that would be Dave Plummer.
```

- 2 (Applause.)
- 3 LAND MANAGEMENT DIVISION REGIONAL MANAGER
- 4 PLUMMER: -- call that four days.
- 5 (Laughter.)
- 6 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Is he still employed?
- 7 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: Still employed.
- 8 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Well, then maybe we
- 9 should tell him he's going to get a picture taken anyway.
- 10 (Laughter.)
- 11 (Applause.)
- 12 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Other duties as assigned.
- 13 Can we have that -- you can't read the writing.
- 14 (Laughter.)
- 15 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Kind of fuzzed this part.
- 16 (Laughter.)
- 17 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Thank you.
- 18 (Applause.)
- 19 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Paul, we'll go ahead and
- 20 continue on here.
- 21 Are we losing any more key people?
- 22 (Laughter.)
- 23 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: I hope not for a
- 24 while.
- 25 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: I hope not, not for a

- 1 while anyway.
- 2 When you mentioned the base closure issue, I
- 3 think I was on the other side of several of those issues
- 4 with Dave when I was back at the Department of Interior.
- 5 And we were wrestling over who actually owned the land.
- 6 At that point I now admit, that I was wrong representing
- 7 the federal government.
- 8 (Laughter.)
- 9 LAND MANAGEMENT DIVISION REGIONAL MANAGER
- 10 PLUMMER: Well, thank you.
- 11 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Finally. But anyway,
- 12 over the years we actually changed positions.
- 13 (Laughter.)
- 14 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Take a different
- 15 position.
- 16 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: Well, thank you. It's
- 17 a big man would can admit those sorts of things.
- 18 (Laughter.)
- 19 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Circumstances.
- 20 (Laughter.)
- 21 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: And then the only
- 22 other announcements I had have already been foreshadowed.
- 23 But, again, our next Commission meeting we expect will be
- 24 a one-item-only meeting on July 13th regarding North Baja
- 25 at the STRS building. There may be one or two other items

- 1 if they can't wait for the September meeting.
- 2 And the September 13th meeting will be the next
- 3 regular meeting. It will be conducted in Oakland. And,
- 4 again, details will be posted on the web and sent to you
- 5 when they're arranged.
- 6 And that concludes the staff -- the Executive
- 7 Officer's Report.
- 8 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Any questions?
- 9 Very good. The next thing on our agenda is the
- 10 consent calendar. We have taken two items off the consent
- 11 calendar. Actually more than two, I think. And we will
- 12 then have the consent calendar before us with the
- 13 exception of those items that were previously announced
- 14 and moved.
- 15 Any questions from --
- ACTING COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: I'll move approval
- 17 of the consent agenda.
- 18 COMMISSIONER CHIANG: I'll second that.
- 19 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Any objection from me, I
- 20 suppose?
- 21 No.
- 22 So it passes unanimously.
- The consent calendar's been adopted.
- 24 We now have items -- which items are we moving
- 25 to, Paul?

1 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: I think Item 62, the

- 2 dock deck, the Vellanoweth issue is probably the first
- 3 thing up.
- 4 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: All right. Item 52 is
- 5 now before us.
- 6 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: And that would be --
- 7 Item 62 will be presented by Barbara Dugal from the staff.
- 8 And this is back on. Commissioners heard it at the last
- 9 meeting, with a discussion of generally whether or not the
- 10 Commission wanted to approve decks on private docks. And
- 11 this item's back for our vote.
- 12 LAND MANAGEMENT DIVISION CHIEF DUGAL: Good
- 13 morning, Mr. Chairman and Commissioners. I'm Barbara
- 14 Dugal. I'm the Chief of the Land Management Division.
- 15 And I'm presenting information for you today on Calendar
- 16 Item 62.
- 17 You will recall that the application before you
- 18 today was presented to the Commission at the May 10th
- 19 meeting. The staff recommendation to approve the dock and
- 20 a boathouse but not the deck because non-water dependent
- 21 private use of the public's waterways raised issues and
- 22 had not been previously addressed before.
- 23 At the May meeting, the Commission Chair
- 24 suggested that the Vellanoweth application might be
- 25 approved subject to certain criteria and if restrictions

- 1 were made a part of that lease.
- 2 This criteria included the following:
- 3 That the railing around the deck could be
- 4 provided but only for public safety purposes. The design
- 5 of the roof would be for the purpose of shading the boat
- 6 and not to enhance the use of the ridge of the deck. And
- 7 no permanent deck-related improvements like wet bars,
- 8 barbecues, grills, hot tubs, refrigerators, sinks, and sun
- 9 shades, those types of improvements, would not be allowed
- 10 to be constructed or placed on the deck.
- 11 No permanent roof or other enclosure would be
- 12 constructed or permitted above the deck. And any of the
- 13 improvements that could be construed as a residential use
- 14 such as enclosed patio, a bathroom, a sunroom, an office,
- 15 a studio or apartment would be prohibited.
- 16 The Chair also proposed that rent would be
- 17 charged for a deck which is part of a recreational pier.
- 18 While staff has analyzed the Chair's proposal, staff
- 19 remains concerned that dock decks are a non-water
- 20 dependent private use of the public's waterways and are
- 21 akin to a private residential backyard and should be
- 22 located on private uplands or non-public lands.
- 23 However, the following responds to the Chair's
- 24 proposal:
- The Commission has been given the responsibility

- 1 as the trustee under the public trust to manage
- 2 California's waterways on behalf of the public. That
- 3 trusteeship obligates the Commission to act as a fiduciary
- 4 in protecting the public's rights and means associated
- 5 with its navigable waters.
- 6 Public trust lands are to be protected for and
- 7 used in furtherance of not only the traditional commercial
- 8 and recreational navigation and fishing, but also for
- 9 ecological preservation.
- 10 In addition to the Public Trust Doctrine, the
- 11 public's access to and fishing on navigable waters are
- 12 rights protected in the California Constitution.
- 13 The Commission determines what uses are be made
- 14 of public trust lands on a case-by-case basis, and based
- 15 upon the factual and legal circumstances involving a
- 16 particular location and proposed use.
- 17 So then the question to be answered is, if a deck
- 18 like the one proposed in the Vellanoweth application is a
- 19 private recreational improvement located on state public
- 20 waters, is it consistent with the public trust?
- 21 The public trust is a common law doctrine. It's
- 22 not static. But it is subject to the changing needs of
- 23 the public. There are traditional uses such as harbors,
- 24 waters, marinas, and boat docks that have been constructed
- 25 in support of navigation and fishing and are accepted

1 trust consistent uses; and other such uses such as private

- 2 residences or general commercial uses such as office and
- 3 non-visitor serving retail that are inconsistent with the
- 4 public trust. Dock decks fall somewhere in between.
- 5 The Attorney General's Office representative has
- 6 informally opined that decks are not sufficiently
- 7 residential to be per se inconsistent with the Public
- 8 Trust Doctrine. As the trustee, the Commission generally
- 9 looks to those uses that benefit the public and the
- 10 public's use of trust lands. However, other uses that are
- 11 ancillary incidental to trust uses can be considered.
- 12 Therefore, the Commission may legally issue a
- 13 lease for a deck if under the particular circumstances
- 14 they do not interfere with the public's rights and needs
- 15 of the navigable waterway, and that the lessee compensates
- 16 the public for the value of the property being used for
- 17 its own private purpose.
- 18 The other question that was raised during the May
- 19 10th meeting was as to what types of improvements would
- 20 qualify for a rent-free recreational pier lease. Under
- 21 California law, construction of a dock or a pier in the
- 22 state waters is a privilege and not a right. However,
- 23 pursuant to the provisions of Public Resources Code
- 24 section 6503.5 enacted in 1977 and 1978, no rent is
- 25 charged for any private recreational pier constructed on

- 1 state property for the use of a littoral landowner.
- 2 A littoral landowner is defined as a natural
- 3 person or a person who owns littoral land that has
- 4 improved the land and used it for a single family
- 5 dwelling, or any association of or any nonprofit
- 6 corporation consisting of natural persons who own parcels
- 7 of land, each of which is known to be used solely for a
- 8 single family dwelling.
- 9 Further, a recreational pier lease can only be
- 10 issued if the improvement is solely used for the docking
- 11 or mooring of the boat, and is used by the littoral
- 12 landowner. Therefore, any other type of a structure, such
- 13 as a sun deck or a swim float or a mark buoy, that is not
- 14 used solely for the docking or mooring of the boats would
- 15 not qualify for a rent-free recreational pier lease. In
- 16 these situations a general lease recreational use would be
- 17 issued, and then rent would be charged for that portion of
- 18 the improvement that does not qualify for rent-free
- 19 status.
- 20 Should the Commission decide to approve the
- 21 Vellanoweth application, staff would recommend that rent
- 22 be for the deck.
- 23 Another issue that was brought up was compliance.
- 24 The Commission's Land Management staff is responsible for
- 25 managing over four million acres of sovereign lands and

1 over four thousand leases. Prior budget cuts and

- 2 significant staff reductions have resulted in Land
- 3 Management staff focusing its attention on various
- 4 priority matters such as new applications, replacement of
- 5 existing leases, responding to public inquiries and rent
- 6 reviews. Even with these reductions, staff is diligent
- 7 when it's made aware of a concern regarding any lease of
- 8 the Commission -- by the Commission.
- 9 However, because of the these budget cuts in the
- 10 large area that staff is responsible for, staff really
- 11 relies on public agencies and the general public to advise
- 12 on the compliance on leases issued by the Commission.
- 13 Currently staff's enforcement options are
- 14 limited. The Commission does not have the statutory
- 15 authority to levy monetary penalties or to issue cease and
- 16 desist orders requiring the movement of unauthorized
- 17 improvements.
- 18 More fundamentally, while approval of minimalist
- 19 effects that minimize conversion of public trust lands to
- 20 residential-like uses, there is likely to be a fundamental
- 21 tension between those restrictions and the intended use of
- 22 the deck.
- 23 Because decks will be located away from the
- 24 lessee's home, the lessee is more likely to want to
- 25 construct prohibited improvements to facilitate eating,

1 drinking, or other activities that typically would occur

- 2 on a residential deck. This is likely to increase
- 3 enforcement issues for a dock deck.
- 4 Should the Commission approve the Vellanoweth
- 5 application, staff would monitor the lease to make sure
- 6 that its compliance was constructed as approved if it is
- 7 approved.
- 8 As outlined in the staff report that's before you
- 9 today, staff continues to recommend the dock deck for the
- 10 Vellanoweth application not be approved. However, staff
- 11 believes that the Commission has the authority to approve
- 12 the issuance of a general lease recreational use for the
- 13 Vellanoweth dock and deck.
- 14 Finally, if the Commission decides to act on the
- 15 Vellanoweth application, individual applications will
- 16 continue to be -- excuse me -- will continue to need to be
- 17 evaluated on a case-by-case basis.
- 18 And this concludes my presentation.
- 19 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Let's go into this in a
- 20 little more detail here.
- 21 What exactly do we have before us today?
- 22 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: An application for a
- 23 revised dock, which would include -- which would generally
- 24 meet the rec pier requirements except that it is -- there
- 25 is a proposed deck on the roof of that dock.

1 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Okay. So the dock itself

- 2 is not an issue of concern?
- 3 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: No.
- 4 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: And the size of the dock
- 5 is not an issue of concern?
- 6 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: It is not. It's
- 7 reasonably consistent with the other docks that are built
- 8 under these leases.
- 9 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: And the location in which
- 10 the dock is to be built or has been built is not of
- 11 concern?
- 12 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: That's correct. There
- 13 are other docks in the vicinity, the Corps has been
- 14 consulted with, and we don't see any particular issues
- 15 with this.
- 16 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: So the issue is about the
- 17 additional use to which the dock would be placed?
- 18 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: Correct.
- 19 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: And in this case it is a
- 20 deck on top of a covered area that otherwise would cover a
- 21 boat -- or I guess not otherwise -- but does cover a boat?
- 22 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: Yes, sir.
- 23 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: So the issue is the
- 24 additional use to which that roof is put?
- 25 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: Exactly.

1 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Okay. And as I recall

- 2 the discussion from before, we had a question of
- 3 whether -- how big the dock -- the platform area of the
- 4 dock could be. Access to the boat, is it two feet, twenty
- 5 feet wide, so forth? That could also be a question. If
- 6 it was a very large -- I'm speaking about as you come down
- 7 the gangway and you enter on to the dock itself. Is it
- 8 wide enough for a boat? Or was it wide enough for a
- 9 fraternity party?
- 10 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: If it were a very
- 11 small fraternity --
- 12 (Laughter.)
- 13 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: But in general, it's
- 14 sized in a way that is appropriate for its use to
- 15 accommodate a boat. I mean you need a certain amount of
- 16 space to leave your water ski or assemble, that kind of
- 17 thing. And so it's going to be more than two feet wide.
- 18 But it is not unreasonably large, what's proposed.
- 19 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: So we don't have concern
- 20 about that?
- 21 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: No, sir.
- 22 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: The concern in this
- 23 specific case is the deck or the top of -- the roof, the
- 24 top, that has potential of being used for a small
- 25 fraternity party?

1 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: Exactly. And, you

- 2 know, the staff had brought this to the Commission
- 3 because, although some have been approved, there hadn't
- 4 been that many proposed so far. And the staff believe
- 5 that the Commission had never consciously expressly
- 6 addressed this issue about whether or not it wanted these
- 7 to be public waterways. So basically this is the decision
- 8 point to decide how we want to proceed.
- 9 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: A docket is brought to
- 10 us -- a proposal that's brought to us, that is, its
- 11 purpose of which is to moor the boat. I think the sizes
- 12 move up -- is there a specific size limit about which
- 13 we've said, "What amendment?" Now, you've got a
- 14 commercial operation. So 40 feet, 100 feet, 140 feet.
- 15 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: We informally look at
- 16 all docks with that analysis in it. The dock is heading
- 17 above, say, 50 or 60 feet, we ask them, you know, if you
- 18 have about the same effort, is what's the purpose for
- 19 that? So we do look at that as a criterion.
- 20 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Okay. So up to a size
- 21 50, 60 feet, that would be routine?
- 22 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: Yes.
- 23 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: And sufficient space to
- 24 answer the agreement goods, food, equipment on to the boat
- 25 of sufficient size, that would be routine?

```
1 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: Yes, sir.
```

- 2 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: If it gets to be a dance
- 3 floor, that's not routine?
- 4 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: That's correct.
- 5 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: If it gets to be a hot
- 6 tub, that's not routine?
- 7 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: No.
- 8 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Okay. And in this case
- 9 we're talking about a railing around the dance floor --
- 10 no, excuse me -- the deck?
- 11 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: Yes, sir.
- 12 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Okay. And we would
- 13 consider that not to be routine and subject to a review --
- 14 a different kind of review?
- 15 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: Yes, sir. And that's
- 16 the fundamental question, whether this ancillary use
- 17 should be allowed on these docks.
- 18 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Now, ancillary use in and
- 19 of itself is not prohibited; is that correct?
- 20 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: If they're associated
- 21 with the enjoyment of the water. So, for example -- Alan
- 22 can step in here in a second because I'm going to trip --
- 23 a restaurant or a hotel are considered -- or a restaurant
- 24 are ancillary uses. So they're not directly --
- 25 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Let me rephrase my

- 1 question.
- 2 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: Okay. Sorry.
- 3 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: The previous discussion
- 4 that I had about the specifics of a dock, mooring of a
- 5 boat up to a reasonable size, 50, 60 feet, sufficient
- 6 space on the deck around the boat to ingress and egress
- 7 with food, equipment, so forth, no problem. Okay.
- 8 Now, when you go beyond that and it becomes more
- 9 than that in effect, we then may be allowing it but it may
- 10 be that it has to be paid for?
- 11 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: Yes, sir.
- 12 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Okay. So that's where
- 13 the distinction comes. In other words, we could allow a
- 14 deck, but they're going to have to lease the land and pay
- 15 for that privilege?
- 16 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: Yes.
- 17 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Is that the way we
- 18 describe this?
- 19 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: And this is what we've
- 20 done in the past from the few that have been approved.
- 21 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: And so we're going to
- 22 make a decision here about whether to allow this rooftop
- 23 deck to be built free of charge or to be built at all or
- 24 to be built and charged -- or paid in some sort of a lease
- 25 format --

```
1 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: That's correct.
```

- 2 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: -- is that basically it?
- 3 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: I would -- Alan can
- 4 weigh in on this again. But I think that the Commission
- 5 probably would be obligated to charge rent, that there's
- 6 less of a question about that. The more fundamental
- 7 question is whether to allow them at all, because we don't
- 8 think they meet the definition of a recreational pier.
- 9 Alan --
- 10 DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL HAGER: Yes, I agree,
- 11 because of the statute -- and I won't say what it is --
- 12 that authorizes rent-free recreational piers, so it stays
- 13 only for the docking or mooring of boats. And anything
- 14 that goes beyond that does not fit in within the
- 15 definition of a rent-free lease.
- 16 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Rent free. Now --
- 17 DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL HAGER: If it's more than
- 18 that, you have to charge rent.
- 19 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Okay. So we have to
- 20 charge rent, some sort of a fee.
- 21 Are we prohibited from allowing them to be -- for
- 22 that additional space to be built for an activity in
- 23 addition to mooring a boat?
- 24 DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL HAGER: I don't believe
- 25 so under the Public -- there's nothing in the statute that

1 would prevent you. And the question would be under Public

- 2 Trust Doctrine. And as Paul has said, you know, it's not
- 3 per se prohibited. I think one of the things that staff
- 4 is concerned with is mansionization, if I may use that
- 5 word. I think that could be -- that that that's the
- 6 problem and --
- 7 ACTING COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: Mansion --
- 8 DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL HAGER: Mansionization.
- 9 Big deal in Los Angeles.
- 10 ACTING COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: What's that mean?
- 11 DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL HAGER: Turning them into
- 12 a mansion.
- 13 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: I think that might be
- 14 part of it. But I think, no matter the size of the deck,
- 15 the staff's concern is whether or not this is more of a
- 16 residential private use of what is otherwise public
- 17 property and whether or not the Commission wants to allow
- 18 that or not.
- 19 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: So the issue really is
- 20 one, are we going to allow these enhanced docks to be
- 21 built at all?
- 22 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: Correct.
- 23 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: And if we choose to allow
- 24 them to be built, what are the limits, if any?
- 25 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: Yes, sir.

1 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: And then a subsequent

- 2 question is: How much are they -- what would be the rent?
- 3 I don't think we're debating whether there should or
- 4 should not be rent; if we allow them, there should be
- 5 rent, correct?
- 6 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: Yes. And we
- 7 usually -- we're required by law to charge a fair market
- 8 rent. And we'll bring in an appraiser who will look at
- 9 the square footage and that kind of thing. It's fairly
- 10 mechanistic.
- 11 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Do these enhanced
- 12 docks -- is that a fair way to describe it and use that as
- 13 sort of a word that would deal with it?
- 14 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: Sure.
- 15 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Do these enhanced docks
- 16 now exist along the rivers and lakes?
- 17 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: Yes. There are not a
- 18 great number of them, but there are some.
- 19 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: How do we -- you say put
- 20 this into fair market value. How would you go about
- 21 determining that?
- 22 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: I think Colin -- he's
- 23 an appraiser and he can give you a lot of details. But
- 24 basically it involves comparing with nearby dry land how
- 25 much -- you know, comparables. And then there's usually a

1 deduction if it's an exclusive use. But I don't know if

- 2 you want more detail --
- 3 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Yeah, let's have a
- 4 discussion about that. It seems to me if we're to allow
- 5 these things at all, somebody's going to have to pay a
- 6 handsome sum of money.
- 7 Colin Connor's our Assistant Division Chief for
- 8 Land Management but is also -- works on appraisals.
- 9 LAND MANAGEMENT ASSISTANT DIVISION CHIEF CONNOR:
- 10 Mr. Thayer is correct. We're basically using a
- 11 sales comparison approach and finding sales of similar
- 12 upland properties. If the use excludes the public from
- 13 the area, then we do not provide any sort of discount.
- 14 It's typically just straight up market value for that
- 15 portion -- that area.
- 16 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: The deck is 200 square
- 17 feet. The upland property is worth a thousand dollars a
- 18 square foot. Is that --
- 19 LAND MANAGEMENT ASSISTANT DIVISION CHIEF CONNOR:
- 20 That would be basically -- and the rent is based
- 21 on 9 percent of annual rate of return. So we're looking
- 22 at 9 percent of whatever the fair market value is. The 9
- 23 percent is stipulated in the Code of Regulations.
- 24 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Anne.
- 25 ACTING COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: Yes. Have we

1 figured out based on their design what the rent would be

- 2 for this one?
- 3 LAND MANAGEMENT ASSISTANT DIVISION CHIEF CONNOR:
- 4 I have not done any analysis on that at this
- 5 point.
- 6 ACTING COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: Okay.
- 7 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: So you take the land
- 8 value adjacent to the dock. Say it's a five-acre parcel
- 9 and it's worth \$200,000. How many square feet do we have
- 10 here? Something like 300 -- almost 400,000 -- over
- 11 400,000 square feet?
- 12 LAND MANAGEMENT ASSISTANT DIVISION CHIEF CONNOR:
- 13 Forty-three hundred -- or 43,560 times whatever,
- 14 yeah.
- 15 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Okay. Simple division
- 16 and simple application to the square footage of that deck?
- 17 LAND MANAGEMENT ASSISTANT DIVISION CHIEF CONNOR:
- 18 That is correct.
- 19 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Okay. Well, at least we
- 20 understand. We don't have a number yet.
- 21 What is the square footage of this proposed deck?
- 22 Do you have any idea?
- 23 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: The applicant may.
- 24 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Yeah, we're going to have
- 25 to hear from the applicant here in a few moments.

Now, the staff recommendation is to don't allow

- 2 it, period?
- 3 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: Staff recommendation
- 4 is to approve the top but without the deck, yes.
- 5 ACTING COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: Can I ask a
- 6 question?
- 7 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Yeah, sure.
- 8 ACTING COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: Correct me if I'm
- 9 wrong, and my memory may be hazy on this. It's not so
- 10 much the roof that we object to; it is the railing on the
- 11 roof?
- 12 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: -- and the use that's
- 13 contemplated.
- 14 ACTING COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: -- that would go --
- 15 am I correct in that?
- 16 LAND MANAGEMENT DIVISION CHIEF DUGAL: That's
- 17 correct.
- 18 ACTING COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: So while we have
- 19 approved docks, you know, that have a roof, you know, and
- 20 you park the boat underneath, it is the deck around the
- 21 roof and then obviously, you know, the access to the roof,
- 22 you know, and then the use of that?
- 23 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: That's correct.
- 24 ACTING COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: Because what we
- 25 have, staff is comfortable with the roof, you know, for

1 the purposes of, you know, storing and keeping it out of

- 2 the -- it is really putting the railing on?
- 3 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: Putting the railing
- 4 and the use itself.
- 5 So I mean obviously if you're just using it for a
- 6 roof that might be corrugated aluminum, it might bear some
- 7 of your weight or it might be comfortable to walk on, it
- 8 might not. But if you're actually doing a deck, there
- 9 will be railings but there will also be some kind of
- 10 decking and basically that's --
- 11 ACTING COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: Yes, because
- 12 that's -- as I recall the pictures in term of that.
- 13 But at some point we will hear from the
- 14 applicant, right?
- 15 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Oh, yes.
- 16 ACTING COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: Okay.
- 17 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: I want to -- before we
- 18 hear from the applicant, the issue of the enforcement was
- 19 raised. So I'd like to go into that.
- 20 LAND MANAGEMENT DIVISION CHIEF DUGAL: You mean
- 21 the list -- did you --
- 22 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: Well, to just
- 23 summarize, I think there are -- there are two issues. One
- 24 is -- I mean we, like every other agency, have received
- 25 cuts. But since about 1991, they've been fairly dramatic.

1 And so we don't have a staff to get out there on site as

- 2 much as we wish. But we do have some mechanisms for
- 3 enforcement. There's a five-year rent review. And
- 4 when -- and there's a ten-year renewal on the lease. We
- 5 have the opportunity -- we ask for pictures when we renew
- 6 the lease. So we have an opportunity to review it that
- 7 way.
- 8 So that's one aspect is whether or not we are
- 9 going to be able to monitor this to meet your criteria.
- 10 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Essentially the
- 11 enforcement is, "You cheated. You've put a dance floor on
- 12 top of your roof and you didn't tell us about it. And
- 13 therefore you're not going to get a renewal. Remove
- 14 your" --
- 15 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: That's right, we can
- 16 tell you to remove that, yeah.
- 17 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: -- "remove the dock"?
- 18 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: That's right.
- 19 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Okay. What other
- 20 enforcement options do we have?
- 21 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: That's the primary --
- 22 that's the primary one, is if they're in violation of the
- 23 lease, then we could go in court and have them remove the
- 24 improvements that are in violation.
- 25 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Now, that was different.

1 That's a different one. The first one is you don't get a

- 2 renewal.
- 3 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: That's correct.
- 4 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Okay. The second one you
- 5 just said we'd go to court and tell them to yank it out.
- 6 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: Well, yes, they're in
- 7 violation of the lease. And so if we found out, for
- 8 example, before the lease was being renewed, you know,
- 9 what should we do? We would probably come to the
- 10 commission and ask for authority to enforce the conditions
- 11 of the lease.
- 12 Furthermore, if we didn't renew the lease
- 13 ultimately, unless the applicant in fact did remove the
- 14 improvements, then we would have to go court.
- 15 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Do we have any other
- 16 enforcement? Looking at the memo here, we have no
- 17 authority to fine?
- 18 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: No.
- 19 LAND MANAGEMENT DIVISION CHIEF DUGAL: None.
- 20 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: No authority to issue a
- 21 cease and desist on our own?
- 22 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: No, sir.
- 23 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: We'd have to get a court
- 24 to approve -- or to enforce our request for a cease and
- 25 desist?

```
1 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: Yes, sir.
```

- 2 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Anne.
- 3 ACTING COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: Do we have
- 4 authority to put in the lease other provisions that would
- 5 require some sort of financial remuneration, additional
- 6 lease payments if they were in violation?
- 7 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: I think Jack can
- 8 answer that.
- 9 CHIEF COUNSEL RUMP: We determine a negotiation.
- 10 I'm not sure there would be a set amount. The question is
- 11 is whether or not some form of liquidated damage or what
- 12 the provision is.
- 13 ACTING COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: Because the issue
- 14 we get -- I understand we don't have a cease and desist
- 15 penalty. And we've had this discussion before I know with
- 16 the staff over the past few years. But I guess my issue
- 17 is since we are signing a lease, which is really a
- 18 contract, you know, and you put in what the other side
- 19 agrees to contractually, and both sides sign, you know,
- 20 they are well aware of what they are obligated to in the
- 21 lease, in the contract, and I guess the question that I
- 22 just -- I discussed this -- is additional provisions in
- 23 the contract, in the lease that could be added, you know,
- 24 that both sides would sign on the dotted line and agree.
- 25 And that -- and it could be short of going to court, you

- 1 know, to get it done. If it is being abused, they take
- 2 down the deck or some sort of financial enhancement to the
- 3 lease payment if we feel that they've gone beyond the use
- 4 of what has been authorized, would be the suggest that I
- 5 would have.
- 6 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: Right. I think your
- 7 question would be is whether or not the financial burden
- 8 is sufficient to really discourage the conduct. In other
- 9 words would people just say, "Well, really I can get what
- 10 I want, " and there's a certain amount. So I don't know if
- 11 the amount would be sufficient to really stop that or do
- 12 they think it's just an additional charge.
- 13 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: We may still have to go
- 14 to court to enforce the contracts?
- 15 CHIEF COUNSEL RUMP: Yes.
- 16 DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL HAGER: I was just going
- 17 to say, I mean -- I think your object would be to remove
- 18 the inappropriate use. And you may still have to go to
- 19 court to do that.
- 20 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: To get that done. So we
- 21 could write into the contract, but we're still going to --
- 22 our only real enforcement is the court?
- 23 ACTING COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: Right. But I guess
- 24 the issue is if both sides agree to the contract upfront,
- 25 you know, and understand, you know, what a court would

1 do -- you know, maybe you don't know -- but at least it's

- 2 in there.
- 3 DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL HAGER: Well, if you
- 4 wrote a provision that had some sort of -- well, I will
- 5 call it liquidated damages, or whatever, then would we --
- 6 do we -- we have to make it very clear that that isn't in
- 7 lieu of going to court and getting the thing -- the
- 8 inappropriate -- yeah, because it could be saying -- the
- 9 argument could be made that, "Well, fine, you can enhance
- 10 the use of the dock as long as you pay more money for it."
- 11 ACTING COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: Well, I guess --
- 12 DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL HAGER: And you don't
- 13 want to get into that bind.
- 14 ACTING COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: That is correct. I
- 15 understand it's, you know, in terms of sort of, you know,
- 16 financial disincentives in lieu of a penalty or a cease
- 17 and -- you know, a fix-it type of ticket, type of a thing.
- 18 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: So the question
- 19 here -- this issue of enforcement applies to a standard
- 20 or -- a normal lease for a dock only, purpose of which is
- 21 to access the boat. This issue would also apply if we
- 22 decide to move forward with allowing decks. So this
- 23 enforcement applies either way.
- 24 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: That's correct.
- 25 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Would also apply to

- 1 somebody that we issue a lease for a standard deck --
- 2 excuse me -- a standard dock and they decide to build a
- 3 deck.
- 4 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: That's correct.
- 5 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Okay. So the fundamental
- 6 question before us is whether to allow a deck on a dock.
- 7 (Laughter.)
- 8 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Okay. Let's hear from
- 9 the applicant.
- 10 I think -- why don't you take this one right
- 11 here.
- 12 How many of you are there? Just the two of you?
- Okay. Go up there then.
- MR. VELLANOWETH: Good morning, staff and
- 15 Commission. My name is Rob Vellanoweth. I'm the
- 16 applicant for the proposed lease, as you know, on the
- 17 Sacramento River.
- 18 J.B. will be covering most of our arguments
- 19 and -- a lot of them.
- 20 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Do you have a light on
- 21 that microphone?
- 22 MR. VELLANOWETH: It's on now. Is that better?
- 23 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Good. Start over.
- 24 MR. VELLANOWETH: Okay. Good morning. My name
- 25 is Rob Vellanoweth. I'm the applicant for the proposed

1 lease on the Sacramento River. You probably recall most

- 2 of our arguments from the last meeting that took place,
- 3 you know, for about an hour almost. But J.B. will be
- 4 covering most of those arguments again briefly so we don't
- 5 take up much of your time.
- I just want to talk to you about myself on a
- 7 personal note and this lease. I'm generally not an
- 8 individual who's geared to make strong opposition in any
- 9 type of situation; but, you know, especially when you guys
- 10 are dealing with multi-million dollar projects. You know,
- 11 I'm just a little guy on the totem pole. It seems like
- 12 it's become a big issue.
- 13 But I feel my proposed lease isn't out of the
- 14 norm, you know, it's somewhere I live. And I am making it
- 15 an issue because, you know, I plan on living there in this
- 16 house for some time. And I think having an upper deck
- 17 isn't out of the norm, especially the way my house sits
- 18 compared to, you know, other properties that are outside
- 19 the City of Sacramento.
- 20 A couple things that I'd like to point out based
- 21 on two points that the staff made on the last
- 22 presentation. It seems like two of the main arguments
- 23 were: 1) Blocking the public's view. And my specific,
- 24 you know, situation the way my house is laid out there's
- 25 no view to block, one, because it's private and, two,

1 there's a dense population of Oak trees. So really that's

- 2 not what I consider an issue there.
- 3 And, secondly, you know, it seems like one of the
- 4 main arguments was that people are building outside of
- 5 their permits. And I can totally cope with the staff in
- 6 that situation, because, you know, two people within a
- 7 mile of my boat dock were permitted to build these
- 8 structures, and built these enormous things way outside
- 9 their permits, and, you know, have huge deck areas and,
- 10 you know, are making my application look bad. I've been
- 11 stuck in the middle of this, which -- what it seems like
- 12 I've been in the permit process for two and a half years
- 13 now.
- 14 I've been very thorough about going about this
- 15 the right way. I could have, you know, gone outside my
- 16 permit like these individuals. But I decided to go about
- 17 this the right way and have gotten permits from six
- 18 different agencies, and you guys were number 7. So, you
- 19 know, I don't think it's something that's definitely out
- 20 of the norm. You know, I do appreciate your consideration
- 21 on this matter, you guys taking the time to discuss this
- 22 thoroughly. And, you know, I do feel that they should be
- 23 looked at on a case-by-case situation versus, you know,
- 24 just saying yes or no. Because in my situation I don't
- 25 think it's quite out of the norm. But thanks.

1 MR. MORAIS: Good morning. My name is Jay

- 2 Morais. I'm the contractor.
- 3 After the last meeting, I was under the
- 4 assumption that the project would be approved if we made
- 5 sure that we were within the parameters discussed. And we
- 6 checked with our staff representative and made sure that
- 7 they understood that we would not construct any ancillary
- 8 or residential structures on this dock or any other items
- 9 that the Commission desired to restrict.
- 10 Up until a week ago we thought that the staff was
- 11 busy crafting the policy discussed in May. And
- 12 unfortunately we were informed that they again planned to
- 13 recommend denial.
- 14 After reading the memorandum dated June 19th
- 15 that's on the website, I was somewhat relieved to see the
- 16 staff write, quote, "Representatives of the Attorney
- 17 General's Office have said that decks are not sufficiently
- 18 residential to be per se inconsistent with the Public
- 19 Trust Doctrine." And they say, "Therefore, the Commission
- 20 may issue leases for decks if under particular
- 21 circumstances they do not interfere with the public's
- 22 rights and needs in the navigable waterways and the lessee
- 23 compensates the public for the value of the property being
- 24 used for its own private purposes."
- Commissioners, we intend to compensate the state.

1 We are applying for a general lease and not the rent-free

- 2 lease. And we feel this project in no way interferes with
- 3 the public's rights and needs in the navigable waterway.
- 4 I believe that the critical definition here is
- 5 the allowable use. And I believe that at the last meeting
- 6 and in the memorandums it's the consensus that
- 7 recreational activities such as sunbathing, picnicking,
- 8 relaxing, or just hanging out on the dock is allowed. And
- 9 if these uses are allowed on the lower portion of the dock
- 10 or a regular dock without an upper deck, they should be
- 11 allowed on an upper deck.
- 12 It's really just a design change, moving the
- 13 usable space from the lower level to the upper level.
- 14 Conversely, if there's a use that's not allowed
- 15 on a regular dock, including installing -- kitchens,
- 16 affixed barbecues, et cetera, then that shouldn't be
- 17 allowed on an upper deck. The mere construction of an
- 18 upper deck is not going to promulgate residential uses and
- 19 encourage people to build an outdoor kitchen, for example,
- 20 up there.
- 21 Believe me, in my line of work, as a dock
- 22 builder, I've seen it all. And there's residential uses
- 23 at water level on docks all over California. And to my
- 24 knowledge there's only one example of that large dock that
- 25 was built outside of its permits that actually has

- 1 something built up on the top deck.
- Bottom line, this applicant is not asking for or
- 3 planning to do anything on the upper deck that's not
- 4 allowed at water level. We agree that there should not be
- 5 permanent accessories on decks. We've never requested any
- 6 of these items. And because one lessee went ahead with
- 7 the type of improvement that -- that does not mean that
- 8 every applicant coming along will do the same.
- 9 If a lessee is allowed to gather, sunbathe, have
- 10 a picnic, read a book or just stare out at the water,
- 11 which they consider recreation, there should be no reason
- 12 why he has to be limited to remaining at water level and
- 13 not allowed to do it on an upper deck. It should be the
- 14 use that's defined and not the location.
- 15 In conclusion, I would like to quote from the
- 16 staff's conclusion in the memo of June 19th, which quotes,
- 17 "Staff believes the Commission has the authority to
- 18 approve the decks consistent with the Public Trust
- 19 Doctrine. The conditions to be inserted into the lease
- 20 would minimize the impact of the decks by requiring the
- 21 docks not be designed to enhance the decks and by keeping
- 22 backyard improvements like barbecues off of them."
- 23 Staff goes on to say that the Commission
- 24 determines what uses are to be made on public trust lands
- 25 on a case-by-case basis, and based upon factual and legal

1 circumstances involving the particular location and

- 2 proposed use.
- 3 Commissioners, please remember that in this case
- 4 the applicant's house is on the other side of the levee
- 5 with no view of the water. Also, the river side of the
- 6 levee is a steep, unusable levee, basically a rock wall.
- 7 The only way for this upland owner to recreate on or use
- 8 or even see the river would be from this dock.
- 9 We will accept your conditions and -- we'll
- 10 accept the conditions that will result in this dock being
- 11 consistent with the public trust doctrine. We have no
- 12 desire to construct this dock and utilize the dock outside
- 13 of your conditions.
- 14 Once again, I urge you to support this concept
- 15 and to approve this dock with the upper deck.
- 16 Thank you.
- 17 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Thank you very much.
- 18 Questions, Anne?
- 19 ACTING COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: Yeah. I guess the
- 20 question I'd have for staff is the point about what they
- 21 could do on, you know, a regular dock, that just goes
- 22 straight out, the recreational use, you know, could be
- 23 done on the upper one.
- 24 Can you -- do you disagree with that? Do
- 25 you -- but that's kind of what I'm struggling with,

1 because it's -- you know, the point he makes is because of

- 2 the way it is, I think, you use the upper deck. So you
- 3 can -- if somebody takes a chair out to enjoy the water,
- 4 recreational use, on just a regular deck, and he says we
- 5 want to do this on the upper one --
- 6 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: We would not -- and I
- 7 think we've talked about this a little bit in the last
- 8 meeting. Our view is we're not going to go out there and
- 9 tell somebody not to sit out there in a chair and read a
- 10 book or even bring a sandwich down there to eat or that
- 11 kind of thing.
- 12 But, again, in terms of looking at the design of
- 13 the dock itself, we would be concerned if there were
- 14 features of that dock that weren't consistent with its use
- 15 for the boating. And so, if people put railings around
- 16 their dock or put in other things there that facilitated
- 17 the non-dock use, then we would have some concerns.
- 18 I might also say that -- respond to one argument
- 19 that Mr. Morais made, which is that these are merely
- 20 moving space from the dock up to the roof. Our view is
- 21 that the size of the dock can only be built, as the Chair
- 22 was describing, to accommodate the boating use. It cannot
- 23 be built larger in order to accommodate the other kinds of
- 24 uses you're talking about, the chairs and that kind of
- 25 thing. So there's no movement of space here. It's the

1 same size no matter what. It's the size you need to get

- 2 in and out of the boat.
- 3 The other thing I wanted to respond to is, since
- 4 the last meeting we appreciated the tips -- the discussion
- 5 about the other uses. We hadn't been looking at those.
- 6 And we've gone out and inspected one of those and we're
- 7 working on the other one. So we're following up on those.
- 8 But they didn't generate our approach to this dock. It
- 9 was the larger issue of statewide what -- you know, what
- 10 does the Commission want out there? And feeling that the
- 11 Commission hadn't looked at this. And so from a staff's
- 12 perspective I think the applicant's right. You know, we
- 13 believe it's within your authority to go either way. And
- 14 we just want the Commission to -- decide what it wants to
- 15 do with it.
- 16 ACTING COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: Okay.
- 17 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Do we have a -- we
- 18 approve these things case by case. Each one is unique and
- 19 we handle it uniquely.
- 20 I'd like to see the plans. Do you happen to have
- 21 them with you?
- MR. VELLANOWETH: I do.
- 23 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: I've got them.
- 24 ACTING COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: Do you have any
- 25 other drawings, pictures of what it --

```
1 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: We have some
```

- 2 additional ones here that are condensed versions of -- Mr.
- 3 Morais has some he's giving you.
- 4 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: I assume this is going to
- 5 look like this Steakhouse boathouse after it was
- 6 downsized.
- 7 Are the sides open or the sides closed?
- 8 MR. MORAIS: It's a boathouse where the sides are
- 9 enclosed.
- 10 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: And it's opened at one
- 11 end?
- MR. MORAIS: Yes.
- 13 MR. VELLANOWETH: Enclosed there, basically to be
- 14 able to leave the boat there and secure it.
- 15 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Close it up and secure
- 16 it.
- 17 And you're using the float to lift it up?
- 18 MR. VELLANOWETH: We intend to.
- 19 ACTING COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: Yeah, I guess --
- 20 this is a difficult one. I understand the staff's
- 21 concern. And I also understand the applicant who got into
- 22 this process at the time that we had these other ones. So
- 23 we're sort of, you know, stuck in this -- you know, you're
- 24 really stuck in this sort of bureaucratic timeline. And,
- 25 you know, just sort of in fairness I'm very sympathetic to

1 that in terms of, okay, you've got these other ones, and

- 2 now all of a sudden I'm the one who has to do this.
- 3 I guess where I am predisposed is -- you know,
- 4 because the application process started what, two and a
- 5 half years ago through this --
- 6 MR. VELLANOWETH: February 2005, I believe it
- 7 was.
- 8 ACTING COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: You know,
- 9 reluctantly, you know, you got stuck in this without us
- 10 sort of giving people a warning that we're going this way.
- 11 I am extremely concerned though about making sure
- 12 that -- I do think we need to develop some better policy
- 13 and communicate to people about what we're doing and what
- 14 is allowed and what isn't allowed going forward.
- 15 I also do believe -- and, you know, heaven
- 16 forbid, in terms of dealing in this building, you know,
- 17 some sort of ability for us to -- not you, but use the --
- 18 you know, penalize, you know, where they have abused the
- 19 Public Trust Document, because it is a privilege in term
- 20 of us providing that right, you know, that access to you
- 21 in terms of that.
- 22 You know, my feeling is if -- and then this is
- 23 why it talked about staff -- you know, if we see and we
- 24 don't have the enforcement. But, you know, we do have
- 25 people going up and down the river reporting back abuses,

1 you know, that you've got to tear down the railing if it's

- 2 abused. I don't know if we can put that in the lease,
- 3 but, you know --
- 4 MR. VELLANOWETH: Yeah. I would say terminate
- 5 the lease, you know, period, is going to be quite
- 6 devastating on the applicant. And that right there alone
- 7 is sufficient to keep someone from abusing it.
- 8 ACTING COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: I mean I don't know
- 9 what we -- you know, in terms of the lawyers -- you
- 10 terminate the lease immediately. I think we need to
- 11 publish and, you know, let people know the direction that
- 12 we are going. Because we will have other leases coming to
- 13 us for renewal that they know we're out of
- 14 compliance -- that could be out of compliance at that
- 15 point in time with what would be a new policy that we are
- 16 taking in terms of this.
- 17 So I don't say that makes sense. But it at least
- 18 begins to focus that discussion on what clarification we
- 19 are making, you know, as the policymaking body over the
- 20 public trust document.
- 21 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Well, at this point we're
- 22 dealing with individual leases and we're trying to apply
- 23 the Public Trust Document and the law on a case-by-case
- 24 basis. Now, it may be that we should undertake at some
- 25 point in the future a process to establish a specific

1 regulation or policy. That's not where we are today. And

- 2 I understand what you're saying about that task.
- 3 ACTING COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: Yeah. I mean that
- 4 can be separate.
- 5 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: And I'd like to make sure
- 6 that's a separate task from where we are at this moment.
- 7 I share a concern that the staff has, this
- 8 recreational enhanced docks. Enhanced docks can, and in
- 9 fact I know from my own view of the river, has gotten out
- 10 of control. And so we must pursue this.
- 11 At this point, the one thing that I find that is
- 12 likely to trouble us as we move forward is the design of
- 13 the railing. I hate to get into this. But that railing
- 14 design gives the impression that this is really something
- 15 spectacular, different. I don't know what you can do
- 16 about that. But just looking at it, people are going to
- 17 go, "Oh, I can do that and I'll do it a little bit bigger
- 18 and I'll do a little more." I don't know.
- 19 I'm prepared to move forward with this one. And
- 20 then I'm going to make you pay a fee for that little
- 21 enhancement deck on the dock. If I have one more vote we
- 22 will.
- 23 So that's where I'm looking at this thing, in
- 24 that view, that I'm prepared to allow this to go forward.
- 25 I want it to be very clear that I am very, very concerned

1 about where this may lead. And I want to start a process

- 2 that will make -- will better define how we should move
- 3 forward with this.
- 4 In this case, your deck on the dock -- your deck
- 5 on the boathouse on the dock is, in my view, beyond the
- 6 requirements of the -- or the allowance of the current
- 7 state law, which allows you to have a free dock. My view,
- 8 you can have a free dock, but your deck you're going to
- 9 pay for. Okay. We've heard how that can be -- how the
- 10 value can be determined. And that process will -- and
- 11 assuming I have one more vote, will be allowed, will go
- 12 into place.
- 13 And I want to make it clear that if you do more,
- 14 there's a penalty. And I like your penalty. Your lease
- 15 is terminated and you will remove the dock, the deck, the
- 16 boat house. The boat you can leave there if you can tie
- 17 it to a tree.
- 18 Okay. I think I've covered what I want to cover.
- 19 Anne.
- 20 ACTING COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: I am comfortable
- 21 with supporting the Chair's motion if we also begin that
- 22 other process that I had discussed in terms of, you know,
- 23 really beginning to rationalize what we are doing and
- 24 communicating with people.
- 25 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Paul, we have a meeting

- 1 on the -- excuse me, Anne.
- 2 ACTING COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: Sure
- 3 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: We have meeting on July
- 4 13th. And if you or your staff can come back with how we
- 5 might fulfill Anne's request and what might be entailed in
- 6 that discussion at that time.
- 7 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: If I could have just a
- 8 little bit more detail on what Commission Sheehan is
- 9 suggesting.
- 10 So you're talking about perhaps some guidelines
- 11 or policies specific to this particular issue?
- 12 ACTING COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: Yeah, to this --
- 13 well, this application will be behind us. But letting
- 14 people know we are beginning to undertake the development
- 15 of some guidelines, policies on this issue that we feel,
- 16 while we have provided these applications, it's been
- 17 abused, you know, by some people, they've gone beyond what
- 18 their authority is; and the fact that the Lands Commission
- 19 is beginning to look at this issue and will be taking
- 20 steps on these at least renewals and new applications,
- 21 that type of thing.
- 22 That's what I am -- so that people know when they
- 23 start the process --
- 24 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: -- here are the
- 25 parameters.

1 ACTING COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: Right, what is the

- 2 expectation and they know what the rules are.
- 3 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: Certainly. We'll come
- 4 back with sort of a plan for that. You're right, we're
- 5 going to have to look at ones that have already been
- 6 approved and how we want to apply this, or whether we want
- 7 to grandfather in what they've already got.
- 8 ACTING COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: Yeah, you've got
- 9 those. And then you've got, you know, ones to come, as we
- 10 know.
- 11 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: And of course there's
- 12 going to be a discussion about whether we can adopt the
- 13 guidelines without making them regs or whether we just
- 14 want to say, okay, let's adopt some regs.
- 15 So we'll investigate that and come back with --
- 16 not the full report, but with kind of an outline of where
- 17 we think we're going so we have some --
- 18 ACTING COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: How do we proceed
- 19 in terms of --
- 20 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Give us a road map.
- 21 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: Right.
- 22 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: How do we proceed and
- 23 some time frames of what it would take to do -- to carry
- 24 it out, whether it's a guideline or a regulation, and if
- 25 there's a difference between the two, what that might be.

1 Okay. I think we've covered this specific issue.

- 2 I wanted to be quite sure that it's clear what we're doing
- 3 here.
- 4 We are going to approve this application as
- 5 presented in the design. Is what's before the Commission?
- 6 Is this actually the design?
- 7 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: Yes.
- 8 MR. MORAIS: We also have a copy of it.
- 9 ACTING COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: This is actually
- 10 it.
- 11 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Okay. And the size is --
- 12 this is what you're going to build, correct?
- MR. VELLANOWETH: Exactly.
- MR. MORAIS: Correct.
- 15 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Including the railing,
- 16 which I have some troubles with, but I'm not going to go
- 17 that way right now.
- 18 How's the gangway get to this?
- MR. MORAIS: After the last meeting we had
- 20 talked -- we went out and remeasured and decided that we
- 21 can have the gangway come to the upper deck, which is
- 22 going to be -- one of the benefits of this design is
- 23 having a less steep gangway, have it come to the upper
- 24 deck. From there a staircase will go down to the lower
- 25 deck.

- 1 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Okay.
- 2 ACTING COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: To access the boat.
- 3 MR. MORAIS: To access the boat, correct.
- 4 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: And the access of the
- 5 boat will be inside the boathouse or outside the
- 6 boathouse?
- 7 MR. VELLANOWETH: The point I made, Mr.
- 8 Garamendi, was that typically on the bottom deck people
- 9 get on and off the boat. And it makes sense to have a
- 10 railing on the upper deck because people can be standing
- 11 there scheduling to get on and off the boat where it's not
- 12 as practical --
- 13 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: My question is really --
- 14 we're into such detail here. I really don't like to see
- 15 us having to do this. But it is a case by case, so
- 16 proceed.
- 17 Okay. This was the design and that's what you're
- 18 going to build.
- 19 MR. MORAIS: And the answer of your question
- 20 there is three feet access on each side inside the
- 21 boathouse, which is --
- 22 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Outside the boathouse
- 23 it's three feet also.
- MR. MORAIS: The minimum that we can -- you know,
- 25 you can safely walk. And, you know, we didn't try and go

- 1 beyond what was a minimum.
- 2 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: All right. Now, the
- 3 remaining -- the other issue is the question of the
- 4 valuation of the deck -- or the value of the deck. And
- 5 you will pay accordingly, okay, based upon the discussion
- 6 we had earlier, and that's standard operating procedure?
- 7 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: Yes. Usually we try
- 8 and have that worked out before the Commission acts so
- 9 it's clear. I'm trying to think about how -- you folks
- 10 want to start construction as soon as possible, I presume.
- 11 And so --
- 12 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Paul, there's a
- 13 formula -- there's a standard operating formula, correct?
- 14 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: Okay.
- 15 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: All right. And that is
- 16 the valuation of the land -- adjacent land?
- 17 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: Right.
- 18 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Which as I understand,
- 19 it's required that the dock owner has to own the land
- 20 adjacent to the dock.
- 21 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: That's correct.
- 22 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Okay. And whatever that
- 23 valuation is, that's determined by an appraisal and then
- 24 the -- it's just a proportion.
- 25 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: Sure.

1 LAND MANAGEMENT DIVISION CHIEF DUGAL: Nine

- 2 percent.
- 3 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: I'm sorry.
- 4 LAND MANAGEMENT DIVISION CHIEF DUGAL: Nine
- 5 percent.
- 6 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Nine percent of that.
- 7 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: And then of course
- 8 we'll want to see --
- 9 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Pay once?
- 10 LAND MANAGEMENT DIVISION CHIEF DUGAL: Annually.
- 11 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Thank you. That's what I
- 12 thought it would be.
- 13 ACTING COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: So it's a five-year
- 14 lease?
- 15 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: Five-year rent review,
- 16 ten-year lease term. And we would want to see the revised
- 17 plan as well to make sure they -- I mean I presume the
- 18 Commission's intent is that the approval would accommodate
- 19 the changes he's talking about and generally within about
- 20 the same size as the present plans are.
- 21 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Well, let's -- is this
- 22 what you're building?
- MR. MORAIS: Yes.
- 24 ACTING COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: Yes. They've
- 25 changed the gangway access, as I understood --

1 MR. MORAIS: Well, before it just went to the

- 2 lower deck. And We're just raising it up at a different
- 3 angle so it rests on the upper deck. It's the same
- 4 gangway -- same existing gangway.
- 5 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: This is what I'm
- 6 approving, sure.
- 7 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: And then, finally, I
- 8 presume also the conditions that were -- that the Chair
- 9 had outlined at this meeting, the last one, would apply to
- 10 would apply to this lease as well and no additional
- 11 improvements and that kind of thing? The same conditions
- 12 you previously described.
- 13 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Correct.
- Okay. I think we've covered it.
- 15 The one thing that I want to be quite clear about
- 16 is the termination of the lease should this not be --
- 17 something different -- greater than this be built. Okay?
- 18 I want that in the lease.
- 19 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: Would that also apply
- 20 if the criteria that you enumerated were violated or would
- 21 you only apply that if --
- 22 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: I'm sorry, Paul, would
- 23 you repeat that. I didn't understand what you said.
- 24 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: I heard you describe
- 25 that that penalty would be imposed if the deck was -- or

- 1 if the dock was constructed any different from these
- 2 plans. Would that penalty as well apply if, for example,
- 3 at some future -- four years from now they added a
- 4 barbecue or a spa or violated one of the other criteria
- 5 that you list there?
- 6 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Most definitely, yes.
- 7 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: Okay.
- 8 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: No permanent barbecue, no
- 9 hot tubs, wet bars, dry bars, et cetera, et cetera. Okay?
- 10 Very good. I think that covers all of this.
- 11 And Anne's request, we'll talk again on the 13th
- 12 about that.
- 13 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: Yes, sir.
- 14 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Okay. I don't know that
- 15 I can make a motion. But I would if I could.
- 16 But you can.
- 17 ACTING COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: I'll move --
- 18 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: And I'll second and --
- 19 ACTING COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: -- the motion as we
- 20 discussed, with the conditions, the rent, the lease
- 21 termination, the other conditions that were discussed.
- 22 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Very good.
- 23 Motion. Second?
- I'll second it.
- 25 And it's approved on a two vote. Okay.

- 1 ACTING COMMISSIONER PLACET: No.
- 2 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Two to one vote.
- 3 All right. Moving on. Paul, I want you and your
- 4 staff to know that this is -- these decks are of great
- 5 concern to -- certainly to me, and I think also Anne is
- 6 tending in perhaps that direction. Obviously Mr. Chiang
- 7 and his staff are concerned about this. And we expect to
- 8 have a -- give us a good road map and help us understand
- 9 which way we are going here.
- 10 I think it's very clear that my concern is
- 11 significant. And if somebody wants to bring in a -- what
- 12 do you call them? -- mansion dock, they're in deep
- 13 trouble.
- I also want to -- I would like to have a
- 15 discussion about enforcement of the existing docks. I
- 16 think that we have docks out there in the rivers that are
- 17 way beyond what was -- what has been presented to the
- 18 Commission. A little overexuberance on behalf of the
- 19 owner. And I'd like to have a discussion about that. It
- 20 seems to me we ought to be able to find some interns and a
- 21 small stipend and a boat and --
- 22 ACTING COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: A lot of navigable
- 23 waters.
- 24 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Well, we could start on
- 25 the Sacramento River. We've already discussed this

1 possibility on lake Tahoe, a summer at Tahoe perhaps, and

- 2 a canoe and a camera, and a lot of sunscreen.
- 3 ACTING COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: Probably have a lot
- 4 of volunteers.
- 5 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: I'm sure we'll have a lot
- 6 of volunteers.
- 7 (Laughter.)
- 8 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: It sounds like half of
- 9 the staff would do that.
- 10 Dave's willing to do that in his retirement for
- 11 us.
- 12 But I think we ought to look at that as something
- 13 that ought to be part of the commission of the Commission.
- 14 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: And we appreciate
- 15 hearing support for that approach from the Commission.
- 16 And, as I say, these two that were mentioned by Mr.
- 17 Vellanoweth and Mr. Morais, we're investigating right now.
- 18 And our preliminary review indicates that one of them was
- 19 built much larger than the Commission approved and there's
- 20 some other frills on it, a bathroom, things like this that
- 21 we think are inappropriate. And so we're likely to return
- 22 to the Commission. Given the amount of money that the
- 23 owner has put into it, he's probably going to fight about
- 24 this.
- 25 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: That's okay. I don't

1 mind a fight. I'm well known for fighting.

- 2 (Laughter.)
- 3 ACTING COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: I'd also add in
- 4 terms of if staff, you know, in consultation with our
- 5 legal counsel, feels we need more statutory enforcement
- 6 authority. Because through this discussion the many
- 7 months that we've had this, you know, penalty, cease and
- 8 desist, you know, some other type of authority that would
- 9 put a little more teeth into the leases and the permits,
- 10 short of having to go fight everything out in court, would
- 11 be very helpful. So that at least to me would be helpful.
- 12 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: Sure. We will review
- 13 that.
- 14 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: And early on, maybe at
- 15 the first meeting, the issue of trespass came up. And
- 16 we've talked about it, but I'm not sure we've had a good
- 17 legal analysis of the -- just the trespass laws of the
- 18 state and how that might relate to all of this.
- 19 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: And since that meeting
- 20 staff -- I've talked to Commissioner Sheehan about this, I
- 21 haven't with the other Commissioners -- is the staff has
- 22 moved forward on a number of fronts to look at some of
- 23 these issues, these related issues. We're conducting a
- 24 comprehensive review of improvements that we know about
- 25 that don't have any lease or on leases that have expired.

- 1 We look at trespasses kind of our first weapon on that.
- 2 And we're going to be proceeding. We'll be bringing you
- 3 enforcement actions that haven't occurred in the past from
- 4 the Commission, because we think this is the only way to
- 5 get a handle on this.
- 6 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Which means we may have
- 7 to hire another summer intern with a cable cutter at
- 8 Tahoe.
- 9 (Laughter.)
- 10 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Okay.
- 11 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: And the other thing is
- 12 that we've been reviewing leases for rental rates. One
- 13 thing that wasn't included in the discussion earlier about
- 14 how we set our rents. On a case-by-case basis it's
- 15 difficult because the amount of time it takes to do a new
- 16 appraisal for each one is much too much time. So we
- 17 establish benchmarks for different areas.
- In the last six months we've redone the benchmark
- 19 at Tahoe. So the rents there have increased dramatically
- 20 to reflect that. And the Delta area is the next area
- 21 we're going to to revise that. And I think that will get
- 22 us closer to the fair market rent and get the public what
- 23 it deserves for renting its property.
- 24 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Very Good. Thank you.
- Let's move on. I think we have two items that

1 were on the consent calendar, were taken off the consent

- 2 calendar, items 14 and 42; is that correct?
- 3 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: That's correct. And
- 4 also the resolution.
- 5 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Let's take those up.
- 6 Let's take up the two items. Then the resolution will be
- 7 the last.
- 8 Let's take 14.
- 9 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: Okay. Calendar Item
- 10 14, we have a staff presentation ready for that. This is
- 11 the item that -- just by way of background, this is the
- 12 item that deals generally with two experimental wells that
- 13 are proposed for the -- by the Cambria Community Services
- 14 District on a state beach.
- 15 There had been no opposition to this that we
- 16 heard of up until two days ago when we received a fax
- 17 letter which is part of -- I think your comment letter's
- 18 up there -- it's included in your files. And then today I
- 19 think we've got a -- received an e-mail on the same
- 20 subject, raising objections. And I think staff is
- 21 prepared to make a presentation.
- 22 Colin Connor from LNP will make that
- 23 presentation.
- 24 LAND MANAGEMENT ASSISTANT DIVISION CHIEF CONNOR:
- Good morning, Mr. Chairman, Commissioners. I'm

1 Colin Connor, Assistant Chief of the Commission's Land

- 2 Management Division. I'll be presenting background
- 3 information regarding the proposed project that you're
- 4 considering today in Calendar Item No. 14.
- 5 The item involves the termination of an existing
- 6 general lease public agency use and the issuance of a
- 7 new general lease public agency use.
- 8 On October 25th, 1995, the Commission approved
- 9 the issuance a 25-year general lease public agency use
- 10 to the Cambria Community Services District. That lease
- 11 was for the construction of two 10-inch diameter intake
- 12 lines, one 10-inch diameter outfall line, and other
- 13 pertinent facilities enclosed within a 30-inch diameter
- 14 2300-foot-long pipeline. These authorized improvements
- 15 were never constructed, and staff is therefore
- 16 recommending termination of this lease.
- 17 On May 17th of this year the district applied for
- 18 a new General Lease Public Agency Use for the
- 19 construction, maintenance, and monitoring of two temporary
- 20 groundwater monitoring wells. The purpose of the wells is
- 21 to collect groundwater information that will be used in
- 22 preparation of a future Environmental Impact
- 23 Report/Environmental Impact Statement for proposed future
- 24 desalination facilities. Data collected from the
- 25 monitoring wells will be used to characterize the

- 1 groundwater that's available.
- 2 The district intends to share information
- 3 gathered when the concept's developed from this project
- 4 with other agencies within the state.
- 5 Each of the vertical wells will consist of a
- 6 four- to six-inch diameter PUC pipe -- excuse me -- PUC
- 7 pipe well casing that was drilled to a depth of up to 125
- 8 feet. The top of the well was going to be capped
- 9 approximately four feet below the surface of the beach.
- 10 The well heads will be uncovered and uncapped only during
- 11 periodic monitoring of the groundwater. The well heads
- 12 will then be recapped and reburied. Only hand tools will
- 13 be used during the periodic uncovering and reburying.
- 14 The proposed lease requires the district to
- 15 perform weekly monitoring of the wells to ensure that they
- 16 remain buried. If at any time during the lease term the
- 17 well heads become uncovered, then the district will
- 18 immediately rebury them. If needed, the district will
- 19 recut and cap the well casings at a lower depth to prevent
- 20 future occurrences.
- 21 The proposed lease also requires removal of the
- 22 wells and restoration of all disturbed areas to the
- 23 satisfaction of the Commission within 90 days of the
- 24 expiration of the lease. Removal and restoration are to
- 25 be performed at the district's expense.

1 Because of the nesting season of the threatened

- 2 Western Snow Plover runs from mid-March to mid-September,
- 3 and the winter storm season generally begins in November,
- 4 the district's construction window is from late September
- 5 through October, a period of approximately six weeks.
- 6 While the actual drilling of the wells may take only five
- 7 to ten days, the staging and set up prior to drilling may
- 8 last several weeks.
- 9 In order for avoiding a breaching bluff in
- 10 constructing an access ramp, a truck crane will be placed
- 11 on the bluff above the drill sites, which will lower the
- 12 drill rig to the beach.
- 13 The district, as lead agency for the California
- 14 Environmental Quality Act, prepared and adopted on October
- 15 25th, 2005, a mitigated negative declaration. Because
- 16 portions of the project occur within the federal
- 17 jurisdictional areas, the environmental assessment was
- 18 also prepared in order to comply with National
- 19 Environmental Protection Act. The U.S. Corps of Engineers
- 20 is the lead agency for that topic.
- 21 Proposed circulation and commenting on the
- 22 environment assessment concluded on February 2007. A
- 23 finding of no significant impact, also known as FONSI, is
- 24 currently being finalized.
- 25 The project has also received water quality

- 1 certification from the California Regional Water Quality
- 2 Control Board, Central Coast Region. The project still
- 3 requires approvals from the Coastal Commission, the United
- 4 States Army Corps or Engineers, and the California
- 5 Department of Parks and Recreation for access across San
- 6 Simeon State Beach.
- 7 It should be noted that the district has received
- 8 a letter from Department of Parks and Recreation that
- 9 states it intends to grant the right of entry subject to
- 10 the district continue all other necessary local, state and
- 11 federal permits.
- 12 Based on concerns expressed during the public
- 13 hearing process, the project has been modified as to well
- 14 heads in access to and across the beach. The well heads
- 15 will now be capped and buried to a minimum of four feet
- 16 below the surface of the beach instead of extending above
- 17 the beach and being set in concrete footings to the
- 18 aprons.
- 19 Also, the project originally called for breaching
- 20 of bluff and construction of an access ramp for the
- 21 drilling rig. But the plan now calls for a crane to lower
- 22 the drill rig to the beach.
- 23 In conclusion, there are two actions that the
- 24 staff is asking the Commission to consider today:
- 25 First is the termination of the existing lease;

1 and the second is the issuance of a new general lease -

- 2 public agency use for the construction, maintenance and
- 3 monitoring of the two temporary groundwater monitoring
- 4 wells for a term of two years.
- 5 This concludes the presentation. Staff is
- 6 available so answer any questions you may have.
- 7 And Mr. Bob Gresens, District Engineer for the
- 8 Cambria Community Services District, is also available to
- 9 answer your questions.
- 10 Thank you.
- 11 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Are there people here in
- 12 the audience opposed to this project -- opposed to the
- 13 lease?
- I think all we have are the letters before us; Is
- 15 that correct?
- 16 LAND MANAGEMENT ASSISTANT DIVISION CHIEF CONNOR:
- 17 Yes, sir.
- 18 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: I would like to hear from
- 19 the representatives of the public service district.
- Just sit next to the staff, please.
- 21 The objection letters that we have before us say
- 22 that the project -- the scope of the project has been
- 23 changed. How much change, if any, has occurred in the
- 24 scope of the project?
- 25 MR. GRESENS: We do not believe with regard to

1 the two groundwater monitoring wells that are subject here

- 2 has changed at all from our adopted initial study
- 3 mitigated neg dec that occurred back in October of 2005.
- 4 There are changes being recommended by the Coastal
- 5 Commission that they believe would lessen the potential
- 6 impacts of the project that we would be willing to do at
- 7 the Coastal Commission level when we get to that point.
- 8 And that's been discussed in an earlier Coastal Commission
- 9 meeting on February 14th. But they've postponed their
- 10 action at that point.
- 11 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: So we're acting ahead of
- 12 the Coastal Commission here with allowing the lease
- 13 itself, and the lease itself is -- the lease is for the
- 14 two wells, and there's been no change in what we're
- 15 involved in, correct?
- MR. GRESENS: I'm Sorry, but my hearing is a
- 17 little --
- 18 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: I'm saying we're
- 19 approving a lease for two monitoring wells and there's
- 20 been no change in that portion of the project?
- MR. GRESENS: Correct.
- 22 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: And the Coastal
- 23 Commission will act subsequent to our action, assuming we
- 24 act today?
- MR. GRESENS: That's correct.

```
1 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Okay.
```

- 2 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: The Coastal Commission
- 3 generally requires us to act first because they won't
- 4 entertain applications unless the applicant can
- 5 demonstrate that they have the property right to proceed.
- 6 So they need a lease also for the -- moving forward too.
- 7 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Thank you.
- 8 Appreciate the new information. I'll learn along
- 9 the way.
- 10 (Laughter.)
- 11 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: What are the wells for?
- 12 MR. GRESENS: The wells are for gathering
- 13 scientific information so that we can come up with
- 14 alternatives that will be analyzed in the future
- 15 Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement
- 16 for a desalination project. The intent is to avoid
- 17 entrainment and intentional issues that have plagued other
- 18 projects by looking very closely at the subterranean
- 19 conditions there. So they serve as a means to gather the
- 20 water quality characteristics at different depths. And
- 21 that's why we have a two-year timeframe. We want to get
- 22 some seasonal variation in that snapshot of information.
- 23 They will serve as a pumping test to determine
- 24 hydraulic characteristics of the subterranean soil and
- 25 sand mix as well as a seismic reflection study where

1 there's a device placed inside and it generates sound that

- 2 allows us to get a clearer picture of what they call the
- 3 lithology or the layering of the materials that have been
- 4 deposited over geologic time.
- 5 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Well, we're going to have
- 6 several of these issues before us over the next several
- 7 months or year. Once-through cooling puts us into the
- 8 same situation, as I understand it. And we have two or
- 9 three desal proposals that have gone -- so they ended up
- 10 working.
- 11 Okay. Well, I don't personally see any reason to
- 12 delay you. And that's my personal opinion. And I'm
- 13 prepared to move this thing forward, assuming I've got one
- 14 or two other votes.
- 15 ACTING COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: I am comfortable
- 16 moving this forward just for the process. So I will move
- 17 approval of the staff's recommendation.
- 18 Was there anybody else who -- we asked if there's
- 19 anybody else who -- I'll move approval.
- 20 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: I don't know if anybody
- 21 else wants to speak to this from the audience.
- 22 ACTING COMMISSIONER PLACET: I just had a couple
- 23 questions.
- 24 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Sure, please.
- 25 ACTING COMMISSIONER PLACET: Where are -- looking

1 at the map here. Are the wells being dug offshore, just

- 2 into the water? Are we talking right on the beach or --
- 3 MR. GRESENS: We're on the beach where the
- 4 drilling will be done. They're going to be looking at
- 5 timetables to make sure they sequence the actual operation
- 6 to avoid, you know, high tide periods. But it's the exact
- 7 same equipment that we described in our earlier initial
- 8 study mitigated neg dec. So just below the new high tide
- 9 line.
- 10 ACTING COMMISSIONER PLACET: Okay. So once
- 11 they're installed, how long do we go out to the site and
- 12 check it?
- 13 MR. GRESENS: Well, the condition that's in the
- 14 lease right now is once every week we would be checking to
- 15 make sure they're not exposed. And we would lower them.
- 16 We're flexible on that too, if you feel a more frequent
- 17 checking. But we felt once per week was reasonable, that
- 18 we would lower them should they become exposed.
- 19 ACTING COMMISSIONER PLACET: When the lease is
- 20 over and you're done with your drilling and your testing,
- 21 how long would it take to remove the wells?
- 22 MR. GRESENS: Our geologist believes that's going
- 23 to take less than a day. Maybe a day's setup time. But
- 24 they've told me that they've done hundreds of these where
- 25 they'd remove these entirely. They use what they call a

- 1 hollow-stemmed auger machine, which has a drill head on
- 2 the top of it, that has these sort of corkscrew flights.
- 3 And when they drill down, they grind up the PVC pipe. And
- 4 then these flights lift all the material to the surface,
- 5 and that will be removed to safely disposed of away from
- 6 the beach. So they'll make sure there's no more plastic
- 7 pieces in that. They'll let it spin for a while.
- 8 There'll be a geologist out there the whole time
- 9 inspecting that to make sure that we remove that in its
- 10 entirety.
- 11 ACTING COMMISSIONER PLACET: Okay. Then the
- 12 depths of the wells is what?
- 13 MR. GRESENS: It will vary. The deepest they
- 14 would ever be is about 125 feet. They may be from 60 to
- 15 125 feet.
- 16 ACTING COMMISSIONER PLACET: All right. Thank
- 17 you.
- 18 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Okay we have a motion.
- 19 ACTING COMMISSIONER PLACET: Second.
- 20 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: And a second.
- 21 And approved.
- Next issue is Item 42.
- 23 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: We have a proposal for
- 24 a wetland restoration in Marin County in Tomales Bay where
- 25 the National Parks Service would carry out that

1 restoration, but some of that would occur on state lands.

- 2 And so they've asked for a lease from the state. We
- 3 received a letter from the county I think late
- 4 yesterday --
- 5 LAND MANAGEMENT DIVISION REGIONAL MANAGER
- 6 PLUMMER: Six o'clock last night.
- 7 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: -- six o'clock last
- 8 night with concerns about whether there were enough
- 9 trails. And I think we're prepared to respond to the
- 10 issues raised.
- 11 LAND MANAGEMENT DIVISION REGIONAL MANAGER
- 12 PLUMMER: Good morning, Commissioners. My name
- 13 is Dave Plummer. I'm the Regional Manager of the Land
- 14 Management Division. And I'm here today to present some
- 15 background on what is known as the Giacomini Wetland
- 16 Restoration Project at Tomales Bay, a proposal by the
- 17 National Park service.
- 18 There are four actions that the staff is asking
- 19 the Commission to consider today:
- 20 The first is to certify the Environmental Impact
- 21 Report that was prepared pursuant to CEQA.
- 22 Second is to adopt the mitigation monitoring
- 23 program.
- 24 Third is to adopt the findings made in
- 25 conformance with state CEQA guidelines.

1 And fourth is to authorize issuance of a General

- 2 Lease Public Agency Use to the National Parks Service
- 3 for construction of the wetland project.
- 4 The Giacomini Wetland Restoration Project
- 5 encompasses approximately 600 acres and includes the
- 6 former Giacomini Ranch, which is owned by the Parks
- 7 Service; Lagunitas Creek, which is under the Commission's
- 8 Jurisdiction; and Olema Marsh. And I can show you that
- 9 really fast.
- 10 Whole project area -- this is Olema Marsh; this
- 11 is Lagunitas Creek, which is owned by State Lands
- 12 Commission; and this is Giacomini Ranch, east and west
- 13 parcels.
- 14 The potential importance to the health of Tomales
- 15 Bay and the outer Marin coastline of restoring hydrologic
- 16 connectivity between Giacomini Ranch and Olema Marsh and
- 17 Tomales Bay is underscored by the relative scarcity of
- 18 coastal wetlands present along the central California
- 19 coastline. Giacomini Ranch and Olema Marsh account for as
- 20 much as 12 percent of the historic wetlands present along
- 21 the outer central California coast.
- 22 The proposed project would remove levees that
- 23 were constructed in the 1940s to reclaim tidelands for the
- 24 operation of a dairy. Removal of the levees would restore
- 25 natural tidal and floodplain processes to the project

- 1 area. The project will improve rearing and smolting
- 2 habitats of federally endangered Coho Salmon in Lagunitas
- 3 Creek and address recommendations of the California
- 4 Department of Fish and Game's recovery strategy for
- 5 California Coho Salmon.
- 6 Expansion of the marshes is also designed to
- 7 support growth of population of threatened Black Rails to
- 8 sustainable numbers. It is important to note that because
- 9 of its importance to wildlife, Tomales Bay as part of the
- 10 Golden Gate biosphere reserve is a designated California
- 11 critical coastal area. And in 2002 Tomales Bay was
- 12 nominated as a wetland of international importance under
- 13 an international treaty called the Convention on Wetlands,
- 14 commonly known as the Ramsar Convention.
- 15 Marin County has written us a letter alleging
- 16 inaccuracies in the final EIR/EIS. The letter mainly
- 17 focuses on the selection of one project alternative over
- 18 another, how the alternatives relate to public access, and
- 19 whether the selected project alternative is consistent
- 20 with the county plans.
- 21 Staff believes the EIR before you is in full
- 22 compliance with CEQA and is consistent with currently
- 23 adopted county plans.
- 24 Today, we have Mr. Eric Gillis from the Division
- 25 of Environmental Planning and Management. And he's here

1 to describe the environmental process, the selection of

- 2 alternative, and the inclusion of the public access
- 3 component throughout the CEQA and NEPA review process.
- 4 Also here today is Don Neubacher, the
- 5 Superintendent of Point Reyes National Seashore, and
- 6 members of the staff, as that issue's raised in the
- 7 county's letter, and are available for questions. And I
- 8 also believe we have Mr. Gordon Bennett from Sierra Club
- 9 here today.
- 10 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Let's hold -- what's the
- 11 county up to.
- 12 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: I don't think there's
- 13 anyone here.
- 14 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Is the county represented
- 15 here?
- 16 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: I don't believe so.
- 17 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: They sent us a letter and
- 18 this is about access. What kind of access are we talking
- 19 about here?
- 20 LAND MANAGEMENT DIVISION REGIONAL MANAGER
- 21 PLUMMER: It has to do with a, we suggest, a
- 22 pump kind of access that would go from Point Reyes Station
- 23 to Inverness. And there was public access workshops that
- 24 have been held throughout the process. Selected
- 25 alternative does not preclude public access. And really

- 1 their main focus is over a bridge over Lagunitas Creek,
- 2 which ultimately would have to come back to the Commission
- 3 for approval.
- 4 The selected alternative's an environmentally
- 5 superior alternative. And it doesn't preclude the bridge
- 6 from being constructed at a later date.
- 7 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: What's the county's beef
- 8 with it? What do they -- what's the problem they see?
- 9 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: Can you see this from
- 10 there?
- 11 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Yes.
- 12 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: Okay. Basically the
- 13 county would like to have a trail that comes from Point
- 14 Reyes Station here over to Inverness. We have this large
- 15 area that's being restored to wetlands.
- 16 The alternatives being looked at for this county
- 17 trail is either along the road right here, the levee top
- 18 road, or through the restored area, primarily in this area
- 19 north of the creek, which parallels the road right here.
- 20 And then potentially with a bridge crossing the creek and
- 21 then continuing along, not on the road, but in the green
- 22 area through here.
- 23 The county much prefers that second alternative
- 24 than having it along the street here. However, during the
- 25 public review process there was a lot of discussion about

1 whether that creek bridge here and the increased use that

- 2 would occur if this trail would go straight through here
- 3 would be incompatible with restoration goals, with the
- 4 wetland habitat goals.
- 5 The final plan recommended for approval in the
- 6 EIR does provide for a trail out to the creek here, but
- 7 not the bridge across. So there's not the connection that
- 8 the county wants. The county has some concerns as to
- 9 whether or not it's practical to build the pedestrian
- 10 walkway and the bicycle access along the road here,
- 11 whether that's too dangerous or not.
- 12 The EIR ends up saying the environmentally
- 13 preferable alternative is the one that has a big
- 14 restoration, larger acres of restoration, and leave the
- 15 bridge out. But it also specifically says that if enough
- 16 funds are -- it's also a funding issue here as to whether
- 17 there's enough money for the bridge. But the EIR leaves
- 18 open a possibility that down the road if the county and
- 19 the parks want to do a separate environmental review on
- 20 that bridge, if you can find it's justified, it could be
- 21 done at that point.
- 22 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: So presumably the county
- 23 wants us to delay this entire project so they can figure
- 24 out what to do with the bridge?
- 25 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: How to get the bridge

- 1 and how to get that access there.
- 2 The reason staff did not wait for further
- 3 discussions here, particularly with the comments coming so
- 4 late from the county, is because there's a funding problem
- 5 where there's a limited amount of federal funds in a
- 6 particular fund. And unless all the approvals are
- 7 accomplished by July --
- 8 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: October 31st.
- 9 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: So -- yeah -- July
- 10 31st, I think.
- 11 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Federal budget, not
- 12 total --
- 13 ACTING COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: Well, I think we
- 14 have to do ours under ours to get some of those federal
- 15 dollars. But, anyway.
- 16 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: So staff is concerned
- 17 that waiting until September to hear this means that the
- 18 project won't get funded.
- 19 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: I thought I heard Finance
- 20 say they had money.
- 21 (Laughter.)
- 22 ACTING COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: I have a question.
- 23 So truly it is -- if we leave them sort of hold
- 24 harmless, they could go either way.
- 25 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: Yes.

1 ACTING COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: Because we allow

- 2 them to do the trail up. They can decide on the bridge,
- 3 or they could go by the roads, either way. So it's
- 4 nothing -- it's sort of no harm, no foul if we move
- 5 forward. The issue would be holding up all of this until
- 6 we decide -- until they decide. Yeah, I'd be reluctant
- 7 for the work that's gone in -- and especially since we
- 8 haven't really, you know -- it's up to them as to which
- 9 way they want to go in terms of moving forward in the
- 10 future. We haven't in any way harmed them.
- 11 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: No, they would
- 12 probably have to come back and get a lease from us because
- 13 our land is basically the streambed through here. So I'm
- 14 putting the bridge. They would need another lease. But
- 15 we would consider it, certainly.
- 16 ACTING COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: Unless I'm missing
- 17 something.
- 18 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: No, I think the
- 19 county -- I don't mean to speak unfairly for them. But I
- 20 think they see -- they really would like to do this trail
- 21 and they'd like the best trail possible. And they see
- 22 this as a decision point that might enhance their ability
- 23 to get that trail.
- 24 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Otherwise known as a
- 25 leverage opportunity.

1 Okay. We've had a discussion. I would

- 2 appreciate additional information, but I'm not sure that
- 3 it's necessary.
- 4 ACTING COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: Well, does the
- 5 Sierra Club --
- 6 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: I think the Sierra
- 7 Club member's here, who could probably testify as long as
- 8 we want.
- 9 MR. BENNETT: I'm here if you want more
- 10 information.
- 11 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: I don't need more
- 12 information.
- 13 Anne.
- 14 ACTING COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: Don't you want to
- 15 try and explain what the county's doing?
- MR. BENNETT: Well, I think you used the word
- 17 "holdup," and I think that's what this is.
- 18 I live right in this area. And the area that
- 19 needs most of the trail is for -- I live further up. And
- 20 so just from a local perspective, I'd rather see any money
- 21 going into extending the trail where it's most dangerous.
- 22 There's already a bridge there that people can use. It's
- 23 not a great bridge. It could use improvement. But the
- 24 county hasn't been part of this process the whole way
- 25 through. We've sent letters asking them to be part of the

1 process. And now they're coming in at the last minute.

- 2 You know, everyone needs to sit down at the table and work
- 3 out a good solution, and we done have it now.
- 4 Thank you.
- 5 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: Your recommendation
- 6 would be that the Commission move forward at this time?
- 7 MR. BENNETT: Absolutely. Please do not hold up
- 8 this restoration. This is a separate project. There's no
- 9 harm, no foul. We can go in. If the bridge ends up
- 10 wanting to be there, it can be there. There's no reason
- 11 to hold this restoration up at all. It's an important
- 12 restoration and it needs to move forward.
- 13 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: We need your name for the
- 14 record.
- MR. BENNETT: Gordon Bennett.
- ACTING COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: Well, if there are
- 17 no further comments, I move staff's recommendation on this
- 18 one.
- 19 ACTING COMMISSIONER PLACET: Second.
- 20 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: We have a unanimous vote
- 21 then to move this project.
- Okay. We have one more, a resolution.
- 23 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: Yes, sir.
- 24 This is a calendar item that was requested by the
- 25 Controller's Office. It's a resolution that is proposed

1 for adoption by the Commission. It deals with greenhouse

- 2 gas and climate change.
- 3 California, as most people know, has adopted a
- 4 leadership role in controlling greenhouse gas emissions.
- 5 It was adopted in 2002, AB 1493, by Pavley, that would
- 6 have the Air Resources Board adopt regulations to reduce
- 7 greenhouse gas emissions from vehicles. And last year AB
- 8 32, Nunez and Pavley, which would call for further
- 9 regulations to regulate greenhouse gas emissions by the
- 10 Air Resources Board.
- 11 The greenhouse gas emissions of course are
- 12 attributed to causing climate change in the world. It
- 13 affects California. In a recent meeting of the Ocean
- 14 Protection Council, that the Chair was in attendance,
- 15 there was testimony from a variety of agencies as to the
- 16 impacts to California.
- 17 For the State Lands Commission those impacts are
- 18 primarily going to come from sea level change. That will
- 19 change our jurisdiction. It will also affect the public
- 20 trust values that we're required to protect. It will
- 21 diminish the size of beaches and affect wetlands that have
- 22 already been supposedly saved but may be converted to
- 23 something other than these wetlands by the increased
- 24 water.
- 25 So there are genuine impacts to California and to

1 the jurisdiction of the State Lands Commission from sea

- 2 level rise and climate change.
- 3 A representative in Congress, Rick -- I'm sorry,
- 4 I'm going to mispronounce his name.
- 5 ACTING COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: Boucher.
- 6 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: Boucher? -- has
- 7 distributed draft legislation which if adopted would
- 8 preempt all states including California from controlling
- 9 greenhouse gas emissions particularly from vehicles.
- 10 This proposal was resisted. A letter was sent
- 11 opposing this from -- signed by eight governors, one of
- 12 them being California's Governor, and also signed by 14
- 13 attorneys general from 14 different states, including
- 14 California's Attorney General.
- 15 The OPC, the Ocean Protection Council, adopted a
- 16 resolution last meeting two weeks ago on climate change in
- 17 which it opposed this. To date Representative Boucher has
- 18 dropped his proposal for the energy bill, which was now
- 19 moving through Congress, but has indicated that although
- 20 he's dropped it now --
- 21 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Paul, that's an excellent
- 22 explanation. I think we got three votes now.
- 23 (Laughter.)
- 24 ACTING COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: If you keep
- 25 reading, we have less --

1 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: If you keep reading,

- 2 you're losing them.
- 3 (Laughter.)
- 4 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: Therefore, the staff
- 5 recommends that the Commission approves -- adopts this
- 6 resolution.
- 7 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: All right. Obviously a
- 8 very important issue, one that I know all three of us,
- 9 Anne representing Governor Schwarzenegger and the
- 10 Administration, and Mr. Chiang and myself are adamantly
- 11 opposed to what Mr. Boucher and Mr. Dingell are trying to
- 12 do.
- 13 Very good.
- 14 Without objection, then move, seconded, and
- 15 adopted.
- 16 ACTING COMMISSIONER PLACET: Moved.
- 17 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Seconded.
- 18 Your motion then, right?
- 19 ACTING COMMISSIONER PLACET: Yes.
- 20 CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Okay. Now, I think that
- 21 completes our public work.
- We have some private work to do.
- 23 EXECUTIVE OFFICER THAYER: And as well, I don't
- 24 know if anybody wants to speak during the public comment
- 25 period.

Τ		CHAIRPERSON GARAMENDI: Ah, public comment
2	period.	I assume nobody is rising to speak.
3		We're now into the private section.
4		Thank you all very much for participating thus
5	far.	
6		You may leave.
7		(Thereupon the State Lands Commission
8		meeting adjourned at 11:54 a.m.)
9		
LO		
L1		
L2		
L3		
L4		
L5		
L6		
L7		
L8		
L9		
20		
21		
22		
24		
4 4		

	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	I, JAMES F. PETERS, a Certified Shorthand
3	Reporter of the State of California, and Registered
4	Professional Reporter, do hereby certify:
5	That I am a disinterested person herein; that the
6	foregoing California State Lands Commission meeting was
7	reported in shorthand by me, James F. Peters, a Certified
8	Shorthand Reporter of the State of California, and
9	thereafter transcribed into typewriting.
10	I further certify that I am not of counsel or
11	attorney for any of the parties to said meeting nor in any
12	way interested in the outcome of said meeting.
13	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
14	this 11th day of July, 2007.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	JAMES F. PETERS, CSR, RPR
23	Certified Shorthand Reporter
24	License No. 10063
25	