MEETING STATE OF CALIFORNIA LANDS COMMISSION

HOLIDAY INN CAPITOL PLAZA

CALIFORNIA ROOM

300 J STREET

SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA

MONDAY, JUNE 29, 2015 9:57 A.M.

JAMES F. PETERS, CSR CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER LICENSE NUMBER 10063

APPEARANCES

COMMISSION MEMBERS:

Mr. Gavin Newsom, Lieutenant Governor, Chairperson, represented by Mr. Kevin Schmidt

Mr. Michael Cohen, Director of Department of Finance, represented by Ms. Eraina Ortega

Ms. Betty T. Yee, State Controller, also represented by Ms. Anne Baker

STAFF:

Ms. Jennifer Lucchesi, Executive Officer

Mr. Dave Brown, Assistant Executive Officer

Mr. Mark Meier, Chief Counsel

Mr. Reid Boggiano, Public Land Management Specialist

Mr. Brian Bugsch, Chief, Land Management Division

Ms. Jennifer DeLeon, Environmental Program Manager, Division of Environmental Planning and Management

Ms. Sheri Pemberton, Chief, External Affairs and Legislative Liaison

ATTORNEY GENERAL:

Mr. Joe Rusconi, Deputy Attorney General

ALSO PRESENT:

Mr. Greg Bombard, Avalon Freight Services

Mr. Martin Curtin

Mr. Jared Ficker, Santa Catalina Island Company

APPEARANCES CONTINUED

ALSO PRESENT:

- Mr. Michael Garabedian, Committee for 245 Million Acres
- Mr. Pablo Garza, The Nature Conservancy
- Mr. Jason Giffen, Port of San Diego
- Mr. Job Nelson, Port of San Diego
- Mr. Daniel Reidy, Catalina Freight Line
- Mr. Geoffrey Rusack, Santa Catalina Island Company
- Mr. Ricky Russell, California Coastkeeper Alliance
- Ms. Sue Vang, Californians Against Waste

PAGE

I 10:00 A.M. - CLOSED SESSION: AT ANY TIME DURING THE MEETING THE COMMISSION MAY MEET IN A SESSION CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC TO CONSIDER THE FOLLOWING PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 11126:

1

- A. LITIGATION.

 THE COMMISSION MAY CONSIDER PENDING AND POSSIBLE LITIGATION PURSUANT TO THE CONFIDENTIALITY OF ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATIONS AND PRIVILEGES PROVIDED FOR IN GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 11126(e).
 - 1. THE COMMISSION MAY CONSIDER MATTERS THAT FALL UNDER GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 11126(e)(2)(A):

California State Lands Commission v. City and County of San Francisco

Defend Our Waterfront v. California State Lands Commission et al.

Seacliff Beach Colony Homeowners Association v. State of California et al.

SLPR, LLC et al. v. San Diego Unified Port District, State Lands Commission

San Francisco Baykeeper v. State Lands Commission

Keith Goddard v. State of California

Sportsman's Paradise v. California State Lands Commission

California State Lands Commission v. Lee Stearn

City of Santa Monica et al. v. Nugent

City of Santa Monica et al. v. Ornstein City of Santa Monica et al. v. Bader

City of Santa Monica et al. v. Levy

City of Santa Monica et al. v. Philbin

City of Santa Monica at al. v. Greene

City of Santa Monica et al. v. Prager

Sierra Club et al. v. City of Los Angeles et al.

- 2. THE COMMISSION MAY CONSIDER MATTERS THAT FALL UNDER GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 11126(e)(2)(B) or (2)(C).
- B. CONFERENCE WITH REAL PROPERTY NEGOTIATORS.
 THE COMMISSION MAY CONSIDER MATTERS THAT
 FALL UNDER GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION
 11126(c)(7) TO PROVIDE DIRECTIONS TO ITS
 NEGOTIATORS REGARDING PRICE AND TERMS FOR
 LEASING OF REAL PROPERTY.
 - 1. Provide instructions to negotiators regarding entering into a new lease of state land for the Broad Beach Restoration Project, City of Malibu, Los Angeles County. Negotiating parties: Broad Beach Geologic Hazard Abatement District, State Lands Commission; Under negotiation: price and terms.
- C. OTHER MATTERS.

 THE COMMISSION MAY CONSIDER MATTERS THAT FALL UNDER GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 11126(e)(2)(B) or (2)(C). THE COMMISSION MAY ALSO CONSIDER PERSONNEL ACTIONS TO APPOINT, EMPLOY, OR DISMISS A PUBLIC EMPLOYEE AS PROVIDED IN GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 11126(a)(1).

II OPEN SESSION

1

I N D E X C O N T I N U E D

PAGE

III CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES FOR THE MEETING OF APRIL 23, 2015

2

IV EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S REPORT

62

V CONSENT CALENDAR C01-C93
THE FOLLOWING ITEMS ARE CONSIDERED TO BE
NON-CONTROVERSIAL AND ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE AT
ANY TIME UP TO THE DATE OF THE MEETING.

2

LAND MANAGEMENT DIVISION NORTHERN REGION

- C01 HUTCHENS FAMILY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED PARTNERSHIP (APPLICANT): Consider rescission of approval of Lease No. PRC 8147.1, a General Lease - Recreational Use, acceptance of payment, and an application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 8405 Meeks Bay Avenue, near Tahoma, El Dorado County; for an existing pier, boat lift, and one existing mooring buoy previously authorized by the Commission and one existing mooring buoy not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: rescission and acceptance of payment - not projects; lease categorical exemption. (PRC 8147.1; RA# 10011) (A 5; S 1)(Staff: M.J. Columbus)
- CO2 LILA L. SCHIFFNER (LESSEE); DENNIS CORNELL AND CHARLENE NIIZAWA (APPLICANT): Consider termination of Lease No. PRC 6935.9, a Recreational Pier Lease, and an application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 95 Chipmunk Street, near Kings Beach, Placer County; for one existing mooring buoy. CEQA Consideration: termination not a project; lease categorical exemption. (PRC 6935.1; RA# 27014) (A 1; S 1)(Staff: M.J. Columbus)
- C03 MERDDYN E. BENARD AND MARK E. BENARD, TRUSTEES OF THE MARK BENARD AND MERDDYN BENARD FAMILY TRUST DATED JULY 1, 1994 (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease Recreational

Use, of sovereign land located in Donner Lake, adjacent to 14822 South Shore Drive, near the town of Truckee, Nevada County; for an existing pier. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 8538.1; RA# 27514) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: M.J. Columbus)

- CO4 MOANA BEACH PROPERTY OWNERS ASSOCIATION, INC. (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to Assessor's Parcel Number 098-191-011, near Homewood, Placer County; for an existing pier. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 7354.1; RA# 26614) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: M.J. Columbus)
- CO5 REED A. SAMMET AND CYNTHIA A. SAMMET (APPLICANT):
 Consider application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in
 the Albion River, adjacent to 33601 Albion Ridge
 Road, near Albion, Mendocino County; for an
 existing boat dock previously authorized by the
 Commission, and an existing shed and two wooden
 posts not previously authorized by the
 Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical
 exemption. (PRC 3939.1; RA# 05409) (A 2; S 2)
 (Staff: M.J. Columbus)
- C06 T. GARY ROGERS AND KATHLEEN TUCK ROGERS,
 CO-TRUSTEES OF THE ROGERS LPRP LEGACY TRUST DATED
 MARCH 31, 2007 AND T. GARY ROGERS AND KATHLEEN
 TUCK ROGERS, CO-TRUSTEES OF THE ROGERS REVOCABLE
 TRUST DATED DECEMBER 31, 1981 (APPLICANT):
 Consider application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in
 Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 1390 West Lake Boulevard,
 near Tahoe City, Placer County; for an existing
 pier, boat lift, and two existing mooring buoys.
 CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC
 6714.1; RA# 26114) (A 1; S 1)
 (Staff: M.J. Columbus)
- CO7 URBANA TAHOE TC, LLC (LESSEE), CAPITALSOURCE, A DIVISION OF PACIFIC WESTERN BANK (SECURED-PARTY LENDER): Consider application for an Agreement and Consent to Encumbrancing of Lease No. PRC

- 3981.1, a General Lease Commercial Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 3411 Lake Tahoe Boulevard, city of South Lake Tahoe, El Dorado County; for an existing commercial marina known as Timber Cove Lodge Marina. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 3981.1; RA# 35614) (A 5; S 1) (Staff: M.J. Columbus)
- CO8 WALLACE AND JANET BREUNER, LLC, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 4990 West Lake Boulevard, near Homewood, Placer County; for an existing pier and one mooring buoy. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 4140.1; RA# 30514)
 (A 1; S 1) (Staff: M.J. Columbus)
- CO9 GUSTAV E. AHLSTROM, TRUSTEE OF THE GUSTAV E. AHLSTROM REVOCABLE TRUST DATED OCTOBER 13, 2000 (LESSEE); DALE DARLING AND JUDY DARLING (APPLICANT): Consider termination of Lease No. PRC 6902.9, a General Lease Recreational and Protective Structure Use, and an application for a General Lease Recreational and Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in the Sacramento River, adjacent to 32946 South River Road, near Clarksburg, Yolo County; for an existing uncovered floating boat dock, appurtenant facilities, and bank protection. CEQA Consideration: termination not a project; lease categorical exemption. (PRC 6902.1; RA# 17414) (A 4; S 3)(Staff: S. Kreutzburg)
- C10 MATTHEW W. SHELTON AND KELLEY M. SHELTON, AS TRUSTEES OF THE SHELTON FAMILY REVOCABLE TRUST, DATED DECEMBER 22, 1997 (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Donner Lake, adjacent to 14594 South Shore Drive, near the town of Truckee, Nevada County; for an existing pier not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (W 26849;RA# 27114) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: S. Kreutzburg)

- C11 PETER R. CHRISTL AND DANA A. CHRISTL, TRUSTEES OF THE CHRISTL FAMILY TRUST DATED FEBRUARY 17, 2006 (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 2845 West Lake Boulevard, near Tahoe City, Placer County; for two existing mooring buoys not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA consideration: categorical exemption. (W 26199; RA# 28614) (A 1; S 1)(Staff: S. Kreutzburg)
- C12 ROBERT WAGNER, TRUSTEE AND MELISSA WAGNER,
 TRUSTEE OF THE WAGNER FAMILY TRUST DATED MAY 22,
 1990 (APPLICANT): Consider application for a
 General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign
 land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 1950 West
 Lake Boulevard, near Tahoe City, Placer County;
 for two existing mooring buoys. CEQA
 Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC
 7930.1; RA# 25614) (A1; S1) (Staff: S.
 Kreutzburg)
- C13 ROBERT H. ZERBST AND ANNE K. ZERBST, TRUSTEES OF THE ZERBST 2003 FAMILY TRUST DATED MARCH 28, 2003 (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 2600 West Lake Boulevard, near Tahoe City, Placer County; for two existing mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 7401.1; RA# 28414) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: S. Kreutzburg)
- C14 ROBERT R. MYRMEL AND CAROLYN J. MYRMEL (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 8144 Brockway Vista Avenue, near Kings Beach, Placer County; for two existing mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 8428.1; RA# 18811) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: S. Kreutzburg)
- C15 ROLAND A. VON METZSCH AND CHRISTINE WENTE VON METZSCH, TRUSTEES OF THE CHRISTINE AND ROLAND VON METZSCH FAMILY TRUST DATED OCTOBER 11, 2010, AND ERIC P. WENTE, TRUSTEE OF THE CHRISTINE AND

ROLAND VON METZSCH 2012 IRREVOCABLE TRUST (LESSEE): Consider an amendment of lease to Lease No. PRC 5598.1, a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 2220 Sunnyside Lane, near Tahoe City, Placer County; for an existing pier, open-sided boathouse, two boat hoists, and two mooring buoys. CEQA consideration: not a project. (PRC 5598.1; RA# 30014) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: S. Kreutzburg)

- C16 SHELDON J. KAPHAN, TRUSTEE OF THE SHELDON J. KAPHAN REVOCABLE TRUST OF 2000, DATED DECEMBER 19, 2000 (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 3095 Jameson Beach Road, city of South Lake Tahoe, El Dorado County; for an existing pier and one mooring buoy. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 3505.1; RA# 28114) (A 5; S 1) (Staff: S. Kreutzburg)
- C17 SUSAN M. IRWIN AND KEITH D. IRWIN (APPLICANT):
 Consider application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in
 Donner Lake, adjacent to 13870 South Shore Drive,
 near the town of Truckee, Nevada County; for an
 existing pier. CEQA Consideration: categorical
 exemption. (PRC 7741.1;RA# 16814) (A 1; S 1)
 (Staff: S. Kreutzburg)
- C18 WILLIAM STANTON SUTTON AND MARILYN LEIGH SUTTON, TRUSTEES OF THE SUTTON FAMILY TRUST DATED DECEMBER 5, 1980 (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 4523 West Lake Boulevard, near Tahoe Pines, Placer County; for two existing mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 5510.1; RA# 24614) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: S. Kreutzburg)
- C19 ASPEN EXPLORATION CORPORATION (LESSEE): Consider waiver of rent, penalty, and interest and termination of Lease No. PRC 8569.1, a General Lease Right-of-Way Use, of sovereign land

located in the Feather River, adjacent to Assessor's Parcel Numbers 34-150-001 and 34-150-008, near Verona, Sutter County; for an existing natural gas pipeline abandoned in place. CEQA Consideration: termination and waiver of rent, penalty, and interest - not projects; pipeline abandonment - categorical exemption. (PRC 8569.1; RA# 05810) (A 3; S 4) (Staff: N. Lee)

- C20 ALBERT D. GIOVANNONI, TRUSTEE OF THE ALBERT D. GIOVANNONI TRUST, DATED JULY 3, 1991 (LESSEE): Consider revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 5822.1, a General Lease Commercial Use, of sovereign land located in the Napa River, adjacent to Assessor's Parcel Number 047-261-007, near the city of Napa, Napa County; for a commercial concrete boat launching ramp, gangway, and two floating docks. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 5822.1) (A 4; S 3) (Staff: M. Schroeder)
- BRENDA JOHNSON, TRUSTEE OF THE BRYTE JOHNSON AND BRENDA JOHNSON 1995 LIVING TRUST DATED MAY 19, 1995; NANCY M. JOHNSON, TRUSTEE OF THE JERRY JOHNSON MARITAL DEDUCTION QTIP TRUST, ESTABLISHED NOVEMBER 7, 1994; NANCY M. JOHNSON, AND SUCCESSORS IN TRUST, AS TRUSTEE OF THE JOHNSON QUALIFIED PERSONAL RESIDENCE TRUST TAHOE, DATED AUGUST 1, 2012; AND GERALD REID JOHNSON III AND MARY BRYTE JOHNSON, TRUSTEES OF THE JERRY AND NANCY JOHNSON RESIDUAL TRUST, CREATED NOVEMBER 7, 1994 (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 3975 Belleview Avenue, near Homewood, Placer County; for two existing mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 7400.1; RA# 20714) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: M. Schroeder)
- C22 CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease Public Agency Use, of sovereign land located in Pine Creek, within Section 22, Township 22 North, Range 1 West, MDM, near the

city of Chico, Butte County; for removal of an existing boat launching ramp and construction of a new boat launching ramp and appurtenant facilities. CEQA Consideration: Mitigated Negative Declaration, adopted by the California Department of Boating and Waterways, State Clearinghouse No. 2012042027, and adoption of a Mitigation Monitoring Program. (W 26617; RA# 18814) (A 3; S 4)(Staff: M. Schroeder)

- C23 CARL E. BEST, TRUSTEE OF THE WHITNEY BEST TRUST, CREATED UNDER THE SHELBY E. BEST REVOCABLE TRUST, DATED AUGUST 25, 1998; SCOTT R. BEST; WHITNEY L. BEST; AND TRACY DEMETRE (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 3590 West Lake Boulevard, near Tahoe Pines, Placer County; for an existing pier and two mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 4230.1; RA# 20314) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: M. Schroeder)
- C24 DANNY GIOVANNONI, THOMAS GIOVANNONI, AND REBECCA GIOVANNONI (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease Recreational and Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in the Napa River, adjacent to 1234 Milton Road, city of Napa, Napa County; for an existing deck, uncovered floating boat dock, appurtenant facilities, and bank protection not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption.

 (W 26834; RA# 21914) (A 4; S 3)

 (Staff: M. Schroeder)
- C25 GARY W. CHANEY AND LORALEE D. CHANEY, TRUSTEES OF THE CHANEY FAMILY TRUST OF 1998 U.D.T. DATED JULY 7, 1998, DBA THE CHANEY HOUSE (APPLICANT):
 Consider application for a General Lease Commercial Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 4725 West Lake Boulevard, near Homewood, Placer County; for an existing pier and two mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration:
 categorical exemption. (PRC 4285.1; RA# 17114)
 (A 1; S 1) (Staff: M. Schroeder)

- C26 HUMBOLDT REDWOOD COMPANY, LLC, A DELAWARE LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY (LESSEE): Consider revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 7171.1, a General Lease Right-of-Way Use, of sovereign land located in the Eel River, adjacent to Assessor's Parcel Number 205-351-18, near the town of Scotia, Humboldt County; for a seasonal bridge. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 7171.1) (A 2; S 2) (Staff: M. Schroeder)
- C27 JAMES VOGT (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease Recreational and Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in the Napa River, adjacent to 1230 Milton Road, city of Napa, Napa County; for an existing uncovered single-berth floating boat dock, deck, and appurtenant facilities previously authorized by the Commission, and eight existing pilings and bank protection not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 6745.1; RA# 21310) (A 4; S 3) (Staff: M. Schroeder)
- C28 JOHN H. SCULLY, TRUSTEE OF THE JOHN H. SCULLY LIVING TRUST, DATED OCTOBER 1, 2003 (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 1360 West Lake Boulevard, Tahoe City, Placer County; for an existing pier, boat lift, and two mooring buoys. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 7380.1;RA# 12314) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: M. Schroeder)
- C29 LAKESIDE PIER ASSOCIATION (LESSEE): Consider amendment and revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 6851.1, a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to Assessor's Parcel Number 016-091-47, near Meeks Bay, El Dorado County; for an existing pier. CEQA Consideration: not projects. (PRC 6851.1) (A 5; S 1) (Staff: M. Schroeder)
- C30 MARC KENNETH ROOS AND KATHERINE COTSWORTH ROOS, TRUSTEES OF THE ROOS FAMILY REVOCABLE LIVING TRUST AGREEMENT DATED JUNE 22, 2005 (LESSEE):

Consider revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 8872.1, a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 2985 West Lake Boulevard, near Homewood, Placer County; for an existing mooring buoy. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 8872.1) (A 1; S 1)(Staff: M. Schroeder)

- C31 MATTHEW ADRIAN YOUNG AND PAMELA DIANE THOMPSON YOUNG, TRUSTEES OF THE YOUNG FAMILY TRUST DATED MAY 16, 2013 (APPLICANT): Consider rescission of approval of Lease No. PRC 8456.1, a General Lease Recreational Use, and an application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in the Napa River, adjacent to 1308 Milton Road, city of Napa, Napa County; for an existing uncovered floating boat dock and appurtenant facilities. CEQA Consideration: rescission not a project; lease categorical exemption. (PRC 8456.1; RA# 30612) (A 4; S 3) (Staff: M. Schroeder)
- C32 MERRILL D. MARTIN, TRUSTEE OF THE MERRILL D. MARTIN QUALIFIED PERSONAL RESIDENCE TRUST-II, U/T/A DATED FEBRUARY 11, 2011; AND LILLIAN Z. MARTIN, TRUSTEE OF THE LILLIAN Z. MARTIN QUALIFIED PERSONAL RESIDENCE TRUST-II, U/T/A DATED FEBRUARY 11, 2011 (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 3085 Jameson Beach Road, city of South Lake Tahoe, El Dorado County; for an existing pier. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 4320.1; RA# 22214) (A 5; S 1) (Staff: M. Schroeder)
- C33 NORTH STATE PROPERTY INVESTMENTS, INC., A NEVADA CORPORATION (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in the Napa River, adjacent to 1546 Milton Road, city of Napa, Napa County; for an existing uncovered floating boat dock, appurtenant facilities, and installation of decking surface not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (W 26806; RA# 14514) (A 4; S 3) (Staff: M. Schroeder)

- C34 NORTH TAHOE WATERSPORTS, INC. (APPLICANT):
 Consider application for a General Lease Commercial Use, of sovereign land located in Lake
 Tahoe, adjacent to 8400 North Lake Boulevard,
 Kings Beach, Placer County; for five existing
 mooring buoys not previously authorized by the
 Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical
 exemption. (W 26837; RA# 09614) (A 1; S 1)
 (Staff: M. Schroeder)
- C35 SAMOA PACIFIC CELLULOSE, LLC (LESSEE); HUMBOLDT BAY HARBOR, RECREATION AND CONSERVATION DISTRICT (APPLICANT/SUBLESSOR); DG FAIRHAVEN POWER, LLC (SUBLESSEE): Consider termination of Lease No. PRC 3186.1, a General Lease Right-of-Way Use, an application for a General Lease Right-of-Way Use, and approval of a sublease, of sovereign land located in the Pacific Ocean, adjacent to Assessor's Parcel Number 401-111-006, near Samoa, Humboldt County; for an existing effluent outfall pipeline. CEQA Consideration: termination and sublease not projects; lease categorical exemption.(PRC 3186.1; RA# 23013, 24508) (A 2; S 2) (Staff: M. Schroeder)
- C36 SIMPSON PAPER COMPANY (APPLICANT): Consider termination of Lease No. PRC 3929.1, a General Lease Right-of-Way Use, and an application for a General Lease Right-of-Way Use, of sovereign land located in the Pacific Ocean, adjacent to Assessor's Parcel Number 401-121-007, near the city of Eureka, Humboldt County; for two existing non-operational effluent outfall pipelines. CEQA Consideration: termination not a project; lease categorical exemption. (PRC 3393.1, PRC 3929.1; RA# 13714) (A 2; S 2) (Staff: M. Schroeder)
- C37 CHAMBERS LANDING PARTNERSHIP, A CALIFORNIA GENERAL PARTNERSHIP (LESSEE): Consider revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 5499.1, a General Lease Commercial Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 6500 West Lake Boulevard, near Homewood, Placer County; for a commercial pier and bar/clubhouse. CEQA Consideration: not a project.(PRC 5499.1) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: B. Terry)

- C38 FLEUR DU LAC ESTATES ASSOCIATION (LESSEE):
 Consider revision of rent to Lease No. 6454.1, a
 General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign
 land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 4000 West
 Lake Boulevard, near Homewood, Placer County; for
 two existing sheet pile piers with breakwater and
 floating boat slips; one steel sheet pile jetty
 and breakwater; a portion of a boathouse;
 submerged remnants of wood pile jetties; and
 maintenance dredging. CEQA Consideration: not a
 project. (PRC 6454.1) (A 1; S 1)
 (Staff: B. Terry)
- C39 INNEX CALIFORNIA, INC., A TEXAS CORPORATION (LESSEE): Consider revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 8472.1, a General Lease Right-of-Way Use, of sovereign land located in the Eel River, near Alton, Humboldt County; for a natural gas pipeline. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 8472.1) (A 2; S 2)(Staff: B. Terry)
- C40 LAKESIDE PARK ASSOCIATION (LESSEE): Consider application for an amendment to Lease No. PRC 5883.1, a General Lease Commercial and Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 4041 Lakeshore Boulevard, city of South Lake Tahoe, El Dorado County; to include a one-time maintenance dredging. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 5883.1; RA# 30314) (A 5; S 1) (Staff: B. Terry)
- C41 NORTH TAHOE CRUISES, INC. (LESSEE): Consider revision of rent to Lease No.PRC 8663.1, a General Lease Commercial Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 950 North Lake Boulevard, near Tahoe City, Placer County; for the commercial operation of the "Tahoe Gal" tour boat. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 8663.1) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: B. Terry)
- C42 REID W. DENNIS AND MARGARET E. DENNIS, TRUSTEES, OR SUCCESSOR TRUSTEES, UNDER THE REID AND MARGARET DENNIS LIVING TRUST U/A/D JULY 7, 1989 (LESSEE); DAVID A. OLSON AND LYNN D. OLSON, AS

TRUSTEES OF THE OLSON FAMILY TRUST AS AMENDED AND RESTATED IN 2001, DATED JANUARY 3, 2001 (APPLICANT): Consider acceptance of a quitclaim deed for Lease No. PRC 7277.1, a General Lease - Recreational Use, and an application for a General Lease - Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 1340 West Lake Boulevard, near Tahoe City, Placer County; for an existing pier, two boathouses, three boat lifts, and one boat hoist. CEQA Consideration: quitclaim - not a project; lease - categorical exemption.(PRC 7277.1; RA# 33614) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: B. Terry)

- C43 RESERVATION RANCH dba SHIP ASHORE, A GENERAL PARTNERSHIP (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease Other, of sovereign land located in the Smith River, adjacent to Assessor's Parcel Numbers 102-170-03, 102-170-05, and 102-010-35, near Crescent City, Del Norte County; for existing pilings and remnant pilings, deck, boat ramp, breakwater, fill area, concrete abutment, and riprap. CEQA consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 5284.1; RA# 05412) (A 4; S 1) (Staff: B. Terry)
- C44 SDC TAHOE CITY, LLC (LESSEE): Consider an amendment of lease and revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 3495.1, a General Lease Commercial Use, of sovereign land located in Lake Tahoe, adjacent to 950 North Lake Boulevard, near Tahoe City, Placer County; for an existing commercial pier. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 3495.1) (A 1; S 1) (Staff: B. Terry)
- C45 TAHOE DONNER ASSOCIATION (LESSEE): Consider an amendment to Lease No. PRC 4909.1, a Non-Commercial Lease, of sovereign land located in Donner Lake, adjacent to 12915 and 12993 Donner Pass Road, near the town of Truckee, Nevada County; to adjust lease area, and revise rent and exhibits. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 4909.1; RA# 25414) (A 1; S 1)(Staff: B. Terry)

BAY/DELTA REGION

- C46 GEORGE E. ONG AND JENNIE Y. ONG; THOMAS NG AND KAY T. NG; AND TOMOYUKI YOKOMIZO (APPLICANT):
 Consider application for a General Lease Recreational and Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in Steamboat Slough, adjacent to 3415 Snug Harbor Drive, on Ryer Island, near Walnut Grove, Solano County; for an existing wood deck, uncovered floating boat dock, appurtenant facilities, and bank protection not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (W 26858; RA# 30714) (A 11; S 3)(Staff: G. Asimakopoulos)
- C47 JOSEPH E. UPHAM AND MARY B. UPHAM (APPLICANT):
 Consider application for a General Lease Recreational and Protective Structure Use, of
 sovereign land located in the Sacramento River,
 adjacent to 85 Edgewater Drive, near the city of
 Rio Vista, Solano County; for an existing wood
 deck, uncovered floating boat dock, appurtenant
 facilities, bulkhead, and bank protection not
 previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA
 Consideration: categorical exemption. (W 26859;
 RA# 30814) (A 11; S 3) (Staff: G. Asimakopoulos)
- C48 KATHERINE J. DAVIS (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease Recreational and Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in Steamboat Slough, adjacent to 3426 Snug Harbor Drive, on Ryer Island, near Walnut Grove, Solano County; for an existing wood deck, uncovered floating boat dock, appurtenant facilities, and bank protection not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (W 26848; RA# 27214) (A 11; S 3)(Staff: G. Asimakopoulos)
- C49 KEITH E. LINDSEY AND LELA LINDSEY, TRUSTEES OF THE KEITH AND LELA LINDSEY FAMILY TRUST DATED APRIL 24, 1996 (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease Recreational and Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in Steamboat Slough, adjacent to 13944 Grand Island Road, on Grand Island, near Walnut Grove,

Sacramento County; for an existing uncovered floating boat dock, appurtenant facilities, boat launch ramp, and bank protection. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 7929.1; RA # 30414) (A 11; S 3) (Staff: G. Asimakopoulos)

- C50 PATRICK J. ROONEY AND JULIE E. ROONEY, AS TRUSTEES OF THE ROONEY 2012 LIVING TRUST DATED SEPTEMBER 24, 2012 (APPLICANT): Consider termination of Lease No. PRC 8790.9, a General Lease - Recreational and Protective Structure Use, and an application for a General Lease -Recreational and Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in the Sacramento River, adjacent to 6825 Garden Highway, near the city of Sacramento, Sacramento County; for an existing uncovered single-berth floating boat dock, appurtenant facilities, and bank protection previously authorized by the Commission; and a boat lift, jet-ski float, electric utility outlet not previously authorized by the Commission, and the construction of a proposed gable roof structure. CEQA Consideration: termination - not a project; lease - categorical exemption. (PRC 8790.1; RA# 31114) (A 7; S 6) (Staff: G. Asimakopoulos)
- C51 RAYMOND F. BRANT AND ANN L. BRANT, TRUSTEES OF THE RAYMOND F. AND ANN L. BRANT TRUST DATED DECEMBER 22, 1992 (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in the Sacramento River, adjacent to 17400 Grand Island Road, on Long Island, near Walnut Grove, Sacramento County; for an existing floating boat dock, walkway, covered pier, and ramp previously authorized by the Commission; and a boat hoist, not previously authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 4763.1; RA# 35114) (A 11; S 3) (Staff: G. Asimakopoulos)
- C52 RIO VIENTO RV PARK, LLC, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease Recreational

Use, of sovereign land located in the Sacramento River, adjacent to 4460 West Sherman Island Road, on Sherman Island, near the city of Rio Vista, Sacramento County; for three existing pedestrian access ramps. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 8578.1; RA # 29414) (A 11; S 3) (Staff: G. Asimakopoulos)

- C53 RIO VIENTO RV PARK, LLC, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in the Sacramento River, adjacent to Assessor's Parcel Number 158-0080-013, on Sherman Island, near the city of Rio Vista, Sacramento County; for an existing uncovered T-shaped floating boat dock and appurtenant facilities. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 8579.1; RA# 29514) (A 11; S 3) (Staff: G. Asimakopoulos)
- C54 4D FAMILY FARM, LLC (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease -Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in the Sacramento River, adjacent to 14087 Isleton Road, Andrus Island, Sacramento County, to construct a floating boat dock and appurtenant facilities. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (W 26829; RA# 20214) (A 11; S 3) (Staff: A. Franzoia)
- C55 DARRYL D. WILLIAMS AND ANNA M. WILLIAMS
 (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General
 Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land
 located in the Sacramento River, adjacent to 6401
 Garden Highway, near the city of Sacramento,
 Sacramento County; for the use and maintenance of
 an existing single-berth floating boat dock and
 appurtenant facilities previously authorized by
 the Commission; and use and maintenance of a boat
 lift and two utility conduits not previously
 authorized by the Commission. CEQA Consideration:
 categorical exemption. (PRC 7675.1; RA# 28514) (A
 7; S 6) (Staff: A. Franzoia)
- C56 DELTA GAS GATHERING, INC. (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease Right-of-Way

Use, of sovereign land located in Miner Slough, Ryer Island, Solano County; for an existing natural gas pipeline. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 7832.1; RA# 289714) (A 11; S 3) (Staff: A. Franzoia)

- C57 E.I. DUPONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY (ASSIGNOR);
 THE CHEMOURS COMPANY FC, LLC (ASSIGNEE): Consider application for the assignment of Lease, No. PRC 1725.1, General Lease Right-of-Way Use, as amended, of sovereign land located in the San Joaquin River adjacent to 6000 Bridgehead Road, near the city of Oakley, Contra Costa County; for the decommissioning and removal of an existing outfall pipeline. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 1725.1; RA# 29714) (A 11; S 7) (Staff: A. Franzoia)
- C58 JANET V. BROWNLEE, TRUSTEE OF THE BROWNLEE FAMILY TRUST (APPLICANT): Consider termination of Lease No. 6879.1, a General Lease Recreational and Protective Structure Use, and an application for a General Lease Recreational and Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in the Sacramento River, adjacent to 2847 Garden Highway, near the city of Sacramento, Sacramento County; for an existing uncovered floating boat dock, appurtenant facilities, and bank protection. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption.(PRC 6879.1; RA# 28514) (A 7; S 6) (Staff: A. Franzoia)
- C59 SILVER PAVILION HOME OWNERS' ASSOCIATION
 (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General
 Lease Recreational and Protective Structure
 Use, of sovereign land located in Corte Madera
 Creek, adjacent to 679-695 South Eliseo Drive,
 near Greenbrae, Marin County; for an existing
 four-slip floating dock, appurtenant facilities,
 and bank protection. CEQA Consideration:
 categorical exemption. (PRC 4647.1; RA# 14414) (A
 10; S 2) (Staff: A. Franzoia)
- C60 DENICE A. DERICCO REVOCABLE TRUST (APPLICANT):
 Consider an application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in

the Sacramento River, adjacent to 4075 Garden Highway, near the city of Sacramento, Sacramento County; for an existing covered floating dock and appurtenant facilities. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 5126.9; RA# 24010) (A 7; S 6)(Staff: W. Hall)

- OMP/I&G CREEKSIDE INVESTORS, LLC (LESSEE); UNION SANITARY DISTRICT (APPLICANT): Consider termination of Lease No. PRC 9118.1, for a General Lease Right-of-Way Use; and an application for a General Lease Public Agency Use, of sovereign land located in Penetencia (Scott) Creek, adjacent to APN 519-0820-002-13, city of Fremont, Alameda County; for an existing sewer pipeline and steel casing. CEQA consideration: termination not a project; lease categorical exemption. (PRC 9118.9; RA# 09213) (A 25; S 10) (Staff: W. Hall)
- C62 RON EDWARDSON (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in the Sacramento River, adjacent to APN 142-0110-014, near Walnut Grove, Sacramento County; for three existing steel pilings. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 8484.1; RA# 27413)

 (A 11; S 3) (Staff: W. Hall)
- C63 SUSAN R. WYCKOFF (ASSIGNOR); AUBURN HAMER, LLC, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY (ASSIGNEE): Consider application for the assignment of Lease No. PRC 7645.1, General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in the Sacramento River, adjacent to 1210 2nd Avenue, near Walnut Grove, Sacramento County; for an existing uncovered floating boat dock and appurtenant facilities. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 7645.1;RA# 24214) (A 11; S 3) (Staff: M. Schroeder)

CENTRAL/SOUTHERN REGION

C64 JAMES HOYT O'NEAL AND NANCY DEE BARDEN O'NEAL, TRUSTEES OF THE O'NEAL FAMILY TRUST AGREEMENT DATED JUNE 21, 2001 (LESSEE): Consider revision

- of rent to Lease No. PRC 8182.1, a General Lease Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in the Pacific Ocean below 211 Pacific Avenue, city of Solana Beach, San Diego County; for an existing sea cave/notch infill and seawall. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 8182.1) (A 78; S 39)(Staff: R. Collins)
- C65 RIO BUENA VISTA HOMEOWNERS' ASSOCIATION (LESSEE):
 Consider an amendment to Lease No. PRC 9122.9, a
 General Lease Recreational and Protective
 Structure Use, of sovereign land located in the
 Colorado River, for an existing concrete stairway
 with railing and riprap bank line, adjacent to
 Lots 37, 38, and a portion of Lot 39, Tract No.
 15640, Amended Map Book 261, Pages 88-94, city of
 Needles, San Bernardino County; to amend the land
 description. CEQA consideration: not a project.
 (PRC 9122.9) (A 33; S 16) (Staff: R. Collins)
- C66 SAN SIMEON COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT
 (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General
 Lease Public Agency Use, of sovereign land
 located in the Pacific Ocean, offshore from the
 town of San Simeon, San Luis Obispo County; for
 an existing outfall pipeline. CEQA Consideration:
 categorical exemption.(PRC 5208.9; RA# 00714)
 (A 35; S 17) (Staff: R. Collins)
- C67 SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY (APPLICANT):
 Consider application for a General Lease Right-of-Way Use, of sovereign land located in
 the historic bed of the Colorado River, in the
 City of Blythe, Riverside County; for three
 existing and one abandoned gas transmission
 pipelines and a portion of a fenced regulator
 station. CEQA Consideration: categorical
 exemption. (PRC 3642.1; RA# 29411)
 (A 56; S 28) (Staff: R. Collins)
- C68 MARTIN RESORTS, INC. (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in the Pacific Ocean adjacent to 2411, 2555, 2575, and 2651 Price Street, in the city of Pismo Beach, San Luis Obispo County; for existing shoreline

protective structures, portions of a retaining wall, and sand fill. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 4698.1; RA# 03612) (A 35; S 17) (Staff: K. Foster)

- C69 PORTOFINO COVE CONDOMINIUM ASSOCIATION
 (APPLICANT): Consider termination of a General
 Permit Recreational Use and authorization for a
 General Lease Other, of sovereign land located
 in the Bolsa Chica Channel, adjacent to 16291
 Countess Drive, Huntington Beach, Orange County;
 for public access and a parking lot. CEQA
 Consideration: termination not a project; lease
 categorical exemption. (PRC 6966.9; RA# 36014)
 (A 72; S 34) (Staff: A. Franzoia)
- C70 CITY OF HUNTINGTON BEACH (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease Public Agency Use, of sovereign land located within the Bolsa Chica Lowlands Restoration Project area, Orange County; for temporary construction staging and access, and for the construction, use, and maintenance of two water pipelines. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (W 26784; RA# 01514)(A 74; S 37) (Staff: W. Hall)
- C71 SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY (LESSEE):
 Consider revision of rent to Lease No. PRC
 8895.1, a General Lease Right-of-Way Use, of
 sovereign land in and adjacent to the San
 Dieguito River, near the city of Del Mar, San
 Diego County; for an existing natural gas
 pipeline. CEQA Consideration: not a project.
 (PRC 8895.1) (A 78; S 39) (Staff: C. Hudson)
- C72 SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY (LESSEE):
 Consider revision of rent to Lease No. PRC
 8896.1, a General Lease Right-of-Way Use, of
 sovereign land in and adjacent to the San
 Dieguito River, near the city of Del Mar, San
 Diego County; for an existing natural gas
 pipeline. CEQA Consideration: not a project.
 (PRC 8896.1) (A 78; S 39) (Staff: C. Hudson)
- C73 CHEVRON ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT COMPANY (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General

- Lease Industrial Use, of sovereign land located in the Pacific Ocean, Estero Bay, near the city of Morro Bay, San Luis Obispo County; for two existing non-operational petroleum pipelines and one existing wastewater pipeline. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 8100.1; RA# 31006) (A 35; S 17) (Staff: A. Scott)
- C74 CATALINA ISLAND CONSERVANCY (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located at White's Cove, Santa Catalina Island, Los Angeles County; for the replacement, use, and maintenance of a fixed recreational pier and the continued use and maintenance of a float and two mooring string lines. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 6439.1;RA# 05714) (A 70; S 26) (Staff: D. Simpkin)
- C75 CITY OF LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER (APPLICANT): Consider application for an Archaeological Investigation Permit for Phase II Testing and Evaluation of sites on sovereign land located on the dry lake bed of Owens Lake, Inyo County, for the Owens Lake Dust Mitigation Program (Phase 9). CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 8943.9, RA# 35414) (A 26; S 8) (Staff: D. Simpkin)
- C76 CITY OF SANTA BARBARA (LESSEE/APPLICANT):
 Consider termination of Lease No. PRC 4942.1, a
 General Lease Public Agency Use, and an
 application for a General Lease Public Agency
 Use, of sovereign land located in the Pacific
 Ocean, city of Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara
 County; for an existing outfall pipeline and an
 abandoned outfall pipeline. CEQA Consideration:
 termination not a project; lease Environmental Impact Reports and Addendum,
 adopted by the city of Santa Barbara, State
 Clearinghouse Nos. 9010859 and 91121020. (PRC
 4942.1;RA# 35914) (A 37; S 19)
 (Staff: D. Simpkin)
- C77 ERNIE W. QUIJADA, JR. AND DIANE G. QUIJADA (APPLICANT): Consider termination of Lease No.

PRC 5625.1, a General Lease - Recreational Use, and an application for a General Lease - Recreational and Protective Structure Use, of sovereign land located in the Main Channel of Huntington Harbour, adjacent to 16671 Carousel Lane, Huntington Beach, Orange County; for an existing boat dock, access ramp, bulkhead protections, and replacement of a cantilevered deck. CEQA Consideration: termination - not a project; lease and deck replacement - categorical exemption. (PRC 5625.1; RA# 31214) (A 72; S 34) (Staff: D. Simpkin)

- C78 PEBBLE BEACH COMPANY (LESSEE): Consider revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 2714.1, a General Lease Recreational Use, of sovereign land located in Stillwater Cove, Carmel Bay, Monterey County; for an existing multi-use pier. CEQA consideration: not a project. (PRC 2714.1) (A 29; S 17) (Staff: D. Simpkin)
- C79 U.S. BORAX, INC. (LESSEE): Consider revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 3511.1, a General Lease Right-of-Way Use, of sovereign land in the bed of Owens Lake, Inyo County; for an existing road and drainage ditches. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 3511.1) (A 26; S 8) (Staff: D. Simpkin)

SCHOOL LANDS

- C80 JANELLE RACINE (LESSEE); JOHN D. VAN SANT AND SELBY L. VAN SANT (APPLICANT): Consider termination of Lease No. PRC 4541.2, a General Lease Right-of-Way Use, and an application for a General Lease Right-of-Way Use, of State school land located in a portion of Section 36, Township 9 North, Range 22 East, SBM, near the city of Needles, San Bernardino County; for an existing roadway and utility access. CEQA Consideration: termination not a project; lease categorical exemption. (PRC 4541.2; RA# 23314) (A 33; S 16) (Staff: C. Hudson)
- C81 PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY (APPLICANT): Consider application for a General Lease -

Right-of-Way Use, of State school land located in a portion of Section 16, Township 28 South, Range 41 East, MDM, near the unincorporated community of Searles, San Bernardino County; for two existing natural gas pipelines. CEQA Consideration: categorical exemption. (PRC 1531.2; RA# 16114)(A 33; S 16) (Staff: C. Hudson)

- C82 THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA (LESSEE): Consider revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 8646.2, a General Lease Public Agency Use, of State indemnity school land located in a portion of Section 4, Township 11, South, Range 10 East, SBM, near Salton City, Imperial County; for two laser strainmeter facilities, one overhead power line, and an unimproved dirt road. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 8646.2) (A 56; S 40) (Staff: C. Hudson)
- C83 SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY (LESSEE): Consider revision of rent to Lease No. PRC 1801.2, a General Lease - Right-of-Way Use, of eight parcels of State school lands in portions of Section 36, Township 10 North, Range 13 East, SBM; Section 36, Township 10 North, Range 14 East, SBM; Section 16, Township 7 North, Range 1 East, SBM; Section 16, Township 7 North, Range 10 East, SBM; Section 16, Township 7 North, Range 13 East SBM; Section 16, Township 7 North, Range 21 East, SBM; near the city of Twentynine Palms and Section 16, Township 8 North, Range 20 East; and Tract 41, Township 8 North, Range 20 East, SBM, near the city of Needles, San Bernardino County; for two existing natural gas pipelines and an unpaved access road. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 1801.2)(A 33; S 16) (Staff: C. Hudson)
- C84 THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (APPLICANT):
 Consider authorization of the sale and issuance of a patent to the United States of America for 2,563 acres, more or less, of State school lands and State indemnity school lands located west and south of the Marine Corps Air Ground Combat Center, San Bernardino County and authorization to enter into a memorandum of agreement with the

PAGE

United States of America for future access to explore and develop the mineral estate. CEQA Consideration: sale and issuance of a patent - CEQA Supplement to an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) (State Clearinghouse No. 2014081010); and adoption of Findings and Statement of Overriding Considerations; memorandum of agreement - not a project. (SA 5767; RA# 15213) (A 33; S 18) (Staff: J. Porter, W. Crunk, G. Pelka)

84

MINERAL RESOURCES MANAGEMENT

- C85 TE CONNECTIVITY LTD. (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a permit to conduct a Non-Exclusive Geophysical Survey on tide and submerged lands under the jurisdiction of the California State Lands Commission. CEQA Consideration: Mitigated Negative Declaration, State Clearinghouse No. 2013072021, and adoption of a Mitigation Monitoring Program. (W 6005.153; RA# 33914) (A 35; S 17)(Staff: R. B. Greenwood)
- C86 BAY MARINE SERVICES, LLC (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a permit to conduct a Non-Exclusive Geophysical Survey on tide and submerged lands under the jurisdiction of the California State Lands Commission. CEQA Consideration: Mitigated Negative Declaration by the State Lands Commission, State Clearinghouse No. 2013072021, and adoption of a Mitigation Monitoring Program. (W 6005.154; RA# 34614) (A & S: Statewide) (Staff: R. B. Greenwood)
- C87 GLOBAL MARINE SYSTEMS, LIMITED (APPLICANT):
 Consider an application for a permit to conduct a
 Non-Exclusive Geophysical Survey on tide and
 submerged lands under the jurisdiction of the
 California State Lands Commission. CEQA
 Consideration: Mitigated Negative Declaration,
 State Clearinghouse No. 2013072021, and adoption
 of a Mitigation Monitoring Program. (W 6005.155;
 RA# 34714) (A & S: Statewide)
 (Staff: R. B. Greenwood)

- C88 MARINE APPLIED RESEARCH & EXPLORATION
 (APPLICANT): Consider an application for a permit
 to conduct a Non-Exclusive Geophysical Survey on
 tide and submerged lands under the jurisdiction
 of the California State Lands Commission. CEQA
 Consideration: Mitigated Negative Declaration,
 State Clearinghouse No. 2013072021, and adoption
 of a Mitigation Monitoring Program. (W 6005.156;
 RA# 36714) (A & S: Statewide)
 (Staff: R. B. Greenwood)
- C89 CALIFORNIA RESOURCES ELK HILLS, LLC (APPLICANT):
 Consider acceptance of the full Quitclaim Deed of
 Negotiated Subsurface (no surface use) Oil and
 Gas Lease No. PRC 8884.1, Kern County. CEQA
 Consideration: not a project. (PRC 8884.1) (A 32;
 S 18) (Staff: N. Heda)
- C90 IMPERIAL WELLS POWER LLC (APPLICANT): Consider an application for an amendment of a State Geothermal Resources Lease on behalf of the California Department of Fish and Wildlife for non-surface occupancy of State Proprietary Land within the Wister Waterfowl Management Area, Salton Sea Geothermal Field, Imperial County. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (PRC 9116.0; RA# 32314)(A 56; S 40) (Staff: V. Perez)

MARINE FACILITIES - NO ITEMS

ADMINISTRATION - NO ITEMS

LEGAL

C91 CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION (PARTY):
 Consider approval of a Temporary Addendum to the
 1997 Memorandum of Understanding between the City
 of Los Angeles, the County of Inyo, the
 California Department of Fish and Wildlife; the
 State Lands Commission, the Owens Valley
 Committee, and the Sierra Club, for a one-time,
 temporary modification to requirements related to
 the flow of water to the Owens River Delta
 Habitat Area to allow one-time temporary drought
 relief to mitigate severe local water supply
 consequences. CEQA Consideration: statutorily
 exempt. (W 26264) (A 26; S 8) (P. Griggs)

C92 CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION (PARTY):
Consider approval of a Temporary Modification of
the July 11, 2007, Stipulation and Order
implementing the 1997 Memorandum of Agreement's
permanent baseflow criteria and monitoring
regimen for Lower Owens River Project to allow
one-time temporary drought relief to mitigate
severe local water supply consequences. CEQA
Consideration: statutorily exempt. (503-1921) (A
26; S 8) (P. Griggs)

KAPILOFF LAND BANK TRUST ACTIONS - NO ITEMS

EXTERNAL AFFAIRS

GRANTED LANDS

C93 CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION: Consider relieving the City of Martinez from a requirement to transmit 20% of the revenue generated from its granted lands to the state. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (G02-02)(A 11, 14; S 7) (Staff: R. Boggiano)

LEGISLATION AND RESOLUTIONS - SEE REGULAR CALENDAR

VI INFORMATIONAL

94 CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION:
Legislative report providing information
and a status update concerning state and
federal legislation relevant to the
California State Lands Commission. CEQA
Consideration: not a project.
(A & S: Statewide) (Staff: S. Pemberton,
M. Moser)

VII. REGULAR CALENDAR

95 CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION
(INFORMATIONAL): Initial release of Draft
Commission Strategic Plan and opportunities
for public comment. CEQA Consideration:
not applicable. (A & S: Statewide)
(Staff: J. Lucchesi, D. Brown, C. Oggins)

5

| | INDEX CONTINUED | PAGE |
|------------------------|---|------|
| 96 | CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION: Consider supporting AB 1323 (Frazier) that would facilitate the removal and disposal of dilapidated vessels that are unseaworthy and incapable of being made seaworthy from state waterways, public beaches, and state tidelands or submerged lands. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (A & S: Statewide) (Staff: S. Pemberton) | 24 |
| 97 | CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION: Consider supporting AB 888 (Bloom) that would prohibit the sale of personal care products in California that contain plastic microbeads on and after January 1, 2020. CEQA Consideration: not a project. (A & S: Statewide) (Staff: S. Pemberton) | 25 |
| 98 | SANTA CATALINA ISLAND COMPANY (APPLICANT/SUBLESSOR); CATALINA FREIGHT LINE, INC. (SUBLESSEE); AVALON FREIGHT SERVICES, LLC (SUBLESSEE): Consider termination of Lease No. PRC 7378.1, a General Lease - Commercial Use, and an application for a General Lease - Commercial Use, and approval of subleases, of sovereign land located at Pebbly Beach, Santa Catalina Island, Los Angeles County; for an existing concrete freight barge landing. CEQA Consideration: termination and subleases - not projects; lease - categorical exemption. (PRC 7378.1; RA# 20914) (A 70; S 26) (Staff: D. Simpkin) | 35 |
| VIII PU | JBLIC COMMENT | 49 |
| IX CO | MMISSIONERS' COMMENTS | 62 |
| Adjournment | | 86 |
| Reporter's Certificate | | 8 7 |

PROCEEDINGS

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT: I'd like to call the meeting of the State Lands Commission to order. All representatives of the Commission are present. I'm Kevin Schmidt representing the Lieutenant Governor. I'm joined today by Controller Betty Yee and Eraina Ortega representing Department of Finance.

For the benefit of those in the audience, the State Lands Commission manages State property interests in over five million acres of land, including mineral interests. The Commission also has responsibility for prevention of oil spills at marine terminals and off-shore oil platforms and preventing the introduction of marine invasive species into California marine waters.

Today, we will hear requests and presentations involving the lands and resources within the Commission's jurisdiction. We will now adjourn to closed session.

Will the public please clear the room.

(Off record: 9:58 AM)

(Thereupon the meeting recessed

into closed session.)

(On record: 10:22 AM)

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT: I call this meeting back to order. Ms. Lucchesi, is there anything to report from closed session?

2.

```
EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI:
1
                                          No.
             ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT: I'd like to move
 2
3
    Item 95 to the front of the calendar, the strategic plan.
 4
             EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Yes, of course.
5
    you want to have that now or do you want to do the minutes
6
    and the consent item first or do -- okay.
7
             ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT:
                                          First item of
8
    business would be the adoption of the minutes from the
9
    Commission meeting of April 23rd, 2015.
10
             May I have a motion to approve the minutes.
             COMMISSIONER YEE: So moved.
11
             ACTING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Second.
12
13
             EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: And a vote?
14
             ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT: Yep. Next order of
15
   business is adoption of consent calendar.
16
             COMMISSIONER YEE: I'll move the consent
17
   calendar.
18
             ACTING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Second.
19
             ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT: All right. Let's go
20
    ahead.
             EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: So I think
21
22
   we'll -- so if I understand the Chair correctly we want to
23
   move the Item C95 to the beginning of the meeting,
24
    continue on with the regular agenda items, and then have
25
    my Executive Officer's report at the end.
```

3

```
1
             ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT: Perfect.
             EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Okay. Did we do --
 2
3
    I'm sorry. You were moving so fast, Commissioner Schmidt.
    The consent calendar
 4
             ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT:
5
                                          Moved.
 6
             COMMISSIONER YEE: Adopted.
7
             EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Okay. I needed to
8
   pull a couple items from that.
9
             (Laughter.)
10
             EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: They are notified on
11
    our agenda. So I would like to move Items C 56 and C 88.
12
    And it appears that we have two items that the public
13
    wished to speak if it was taken off consent, C 68 is
    being -- or excuse me, C 68 and C 84 are being kept on the
14
15
    consent agenda. So I think we're good to go. So if we
16
    could have the vote now.
17
             ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT: So we're voting to
18
   move consent with pulling off those items?
19
             EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI:
                                          Yes.
                                                Yes.
                                                       56 and
    88.
20
21
             ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT: Do I have a motion?
22
             COMMISSIONER YEE: Yeah, so moved.
23
             ACTING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA:
                                          Second.
2.4
             EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI:
                                          Great.
                                                  Thank you.
25
             ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT:
                                          Yep.
```

```
EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: All right. Then I think we'll start with the strategic plan.
```

MR. GARABEDIAN: A point of inquire. I was the one who asked for the consent for item taking of 84, is there going to be a hearing later?

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: It was not taken off of consent.

MR. GARABEDIAN: So is there a chance to speak to that later?

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: No. If you -- I'm sorry. I read your comment as only wanting to speak if it was taken off consent. So, no, if you want to speak to it, we'll need to pull it off of the consent.

MR. GARABEDIAN: Well, I asked for it on that form to come off consent.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Okay. I'm sorry. We must have read it wrong. So we will need to pull C 84 and move it to the regular agenda.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT: Okay.

MR. GARABEDIAN: Thank you.

21 EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Yeah. We're going 22 to have to revote on that.

23 ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT: All right. Pull C

24 84. Do I have a motion?

COMMISSIONER YEE: So moved.

1 ACTING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Second. EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: 2 Okay. ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT: Good to go. 3 4 EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: All right. So the 5 next item of business is going to be the informational 6 update on the Commission's draft strategic plan. 7 Brown our Executive -- Assistant Executive Officer will be 8 providing that report. 9 ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE OFFICER BROWN: Good morning, 10 Mr. Chair and Commissioners. Do I have a PowerPoint over there, Steve? 11 12 EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT LUNETTA: Ninety-five. 13 (Thereupon an overhead presentation was 14 presented as follows.) ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE OFFICER BROWN: There we go. 15 16 This is the staff's first presentation of the 17 strategic plan to the Commission. I'm going to go over a basic outline of how it's structured and what we have done 18 19 as far as outreach and so on, and we'll kind of take it 20 from there. 21 So next slide, please. --000--22 23 ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE OFFICER BROWN: So the first 24 thing we started with in our strategic plan is a mission

statement and a vision statement. And our mission

25

statement, this is the staff's mission statement, is the State Lands Commission serves the people of California in the stewardship of the lands, waterways, and resources entrusted to its care, through protection, preservation, restoration, and economic development. And our vision to carry out that is that the State Lands Commission is committed to a sustainable public land management and balanced resource protection for the benefit of current and future generations.

Next slide, please.

--000--

ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE OFFICER BROWN: We centered the strategic plan around three strategic goals, one of operational excellence, accountability and transparency, and that of public engagement.

Next slide, please.

--000--

ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE OFFICER BROWN: Do I have a thing here?

We've stated our values in the plan, and I've briefly put them one word values here. They're fleshed out a little bit more in the plan, but those values are integrity, transparency, we're solution oriented, we use objectivity and science in making our decisions, we provide leadership and public land management,

accountability to the people of California, collaboration with our fellow agencies and the public, we are a fiduciary, in that we act as a trustee of those resources, we seek to balance the use of those resources for environmental protection and economic development, and that we value our staff resources as the source of getting these things done.

--000--

ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE OFFICER BROWN: Our goals and strategic actions. This is kind of a recap of where we're at. We want to affirm transparency through a strong and active and engaged public process in the Commission's practices and operations. We're very fortunate to have the Commission where we can vet all of our decisions in a public place, and we feel that it is one of our greatest strengths. We want to provide the highest level of safety and environmental protection for the lands and resources under the Commission's jurisdiction. We have two oil spill programs, as you know, one for marine terminals and offshore platforms, plus our land management program, and we hold that responsibility very highly.

We want to ensure that current and future uses of the sovereign lands are consistent with Public Trust principles. Public Trust pretty much drives every decision that we make.

We want to enhance revenues from sustainable use in development of those State lands, whether it be the extraction of non-renewable resources, renewable resources, or the use of the surface of those lands. And we want to increase development -- investment to develop and retain qualified and committed staff. This has become more challenging over the past few years, but it's one of our goals.

--000--

ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE OFFICER BROWN: Within the plan itself -- oops. What did I do? Here we go. Operator error.

Under operational excellence, I've listed the strategies that we have articulated in the plan. Each one of these strategies is further fleshed out in the plan through guiding principles -- excuse me -- through key actions and targeted outcomes. So we want to provide the highest level of public health, safety, and protection, ensure consistency with Public Trust values, expand public use and access, and address climate change, sea level rise, greenhouse gas emissions, and water conservation.

--000--

ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE OFFICER BROWN: And accountability and transparency. We want to ensure that we're optimizing the returns on the use of public

resources. We want to ensure that we're collecting those resources in a timely manner. We want to leverage technology to improve our processes, and our transparency through open data, and having all of our decisions on line. And we want to align our budget and policy initiatives and staff resources with the priorities of the Commission and the State.

--000--

ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE OFFICER BROWN: Public engagement, our third area. We want to foster relationships and engage the public, legislative grantees, Commission lessees and potential applicants, and the regulated community. We also want to maximize coordination and collaboration with other agencies and our California Indian Tribes.

This is a sample of a page in the strategic plan where you see the key actions and targeted outcomes.

These targeted outcomes are going to be used in our evaluation of our managers going forward as well. So we will be developing a matrix, and we will be holding our managers accountable to achieving those goals.

--000--

ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE OFFICER BROWN: Outreach as far as this plan itself, the draft plan is posted on our website. We sent out 2,700 postcards to our various

mailing lists. We sent out 1,800 emails to those parties that are subscribed to our various listservs, an exact target. And there are also going to be opportunities for public comment at this meeting, August in Los Angeles, and October in San Diego.

This is a sample of the postcard that was sent out to the public, which lists our website and the opportunities for public engagement at the future Commission meetings.

And with that, I'm prepared to take any questions.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Thank you for the report. And I just appreciate the amount of work that went into pulling this draft document together. And I think it's a good memorialization of certainly the mission of the Commission, as well as I think a good balance of really highlighting the challenges and also opportunities that the Commission has to -- going forward. So it's actually a really live document from that perspective. So I appreciate that.

My question has to do with the feasibility of doing some targeted outreach for specific stakeholder input. I think as we have made this available and are entertaining public comment during our regularly scheduled meetings, I would venture to say that there are parts of

this document where certain stakeholders will have more of a particular interest. And I just wanted to kind of get your sense about the feasibility of conducting some of those, or whether individual Commissioners can actually go out and try to seek some of that, so that we can try to make this even more robust should there be issues that arise?

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: So, we are -- as

Dave showcased, we have tried to spread this document

among various different stakeholder groups through email

and mailings to try to reach as many stakeholders as

possible. With that said, we are happy to meet -- as a

staff, meet with any group of stakeholders that may be

interested in getting a little bit more in depth on

certain aspects of the strategic plan. And as it relates

to individual Commissioners, reaching out to talk with

various stakeholder groups that -- I highly encourage

that.

We -- as you know with the Bagley-Keene Act and all the requirements associated with public meetings, we found, as a staff, that our regularly scheduled Commission meetings would be a great opportunity for the public to be able to present directly to the Commission as a unified body, but there's nothing that prohibits Commissioners from individually reaching out to various stakeholder

groups to get kind of that more on one -- one-on-one discussion going on about any particular details of the strategic plan.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Thank you.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT: Is there anybody in the public that would like to speak on this matter?

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Yeah, we have what I see as three requests to speak on this that should be right in front of you. It's item 95, kevin.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT: Yes

Jason Giffen.

MR. GIFFEN: Good morning, Chairman,
Commissioners. My name is Jason Giffen. I come to you
today from the Port of San Diego, where I serve as the
director of environmental land-use management. In the
audience with me is also Job Nelson, our chief policy
advisor and officer for the Port.

First, I'd just like to commend the Commission and the staff for moving forward with drafting the draft strategic plan. We went through a very similar effort at the Port of San Diego several years ago with, what we call, our compass strategic plan. That has served as the foundation for our Commissioners and staff to make solid policy decisions, as well as have good discourse on a lot of the topics that we have to decide and bring forward to

our Commission.

First off, I'd like to point out that we have a great deal of support for the initiation of this effort, similar to what we've done at the Port of San Diego. And in particular, we're enthusiastic about some of the guiding principles that you've -- that the staff just went through in their presentation.

In particular, under guiding principles and values, the focus on objectivity and science, leadership, accountability, collaboration, the fiduciary responsibility and balance are all things that we think are extremely important, especially as we embrace on some topics that we're all going to have to work together to solve, whether it be related to climate change and sea level rise or many of the other challenges that we deal with on State tidelands.

Something else that the staff is particularly interested in. We thought it was unique how you took a SWOT Analysis, but you turned it into a SCOT analysis looking both at challenges and threats. When you look at the 12 challenges listed and the 10 threats that are listed, we think that as a trustee agency we can work quite closely on trying to reach some common ground on achieving success on meeting some of those challenges and threats that we're going to focus on in the future.

I also would like to point out in terms of the strategic goals laid out in the draft plan, we look at that as an opportunity again for some -- for building collaboration and solving some problems of mutual interest. We especially like the fact that there's going to be a focus on additional guidance for waterfront redevelopment projects to ports and harbor districts and other grantees and applicants with their waterfront development.

2.4

We also look forward to collaborating with agencies and other private entities to increase public access to and along sovereign lands, especially as the Port of San Diego is going through an integrated planning effort to update our comprehensive port master plan amendment.

Finally, I'd just like to close and say we look forward to participating in some of your future meetings. And your staff has been terrific in already reaching out to us and telling us a little bit about the strategic plan. And we look forward to and are excited that you're going to have a hearing down in San Diego, where we can also participate there. So thank you very much.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT: Thank you.

Next up Michael Garabedian -- Garabedian.

MR. GARABEDIAN: I have four items for

yourselves.

Michael Garabedian here representing the Committee for 245 Million Acres. That's basic the surface land BLM manages. Two basic points I'd like to bring to your attention to think about here.

First of all, what I handed out shows the -- it's a few years old, but it shows the remaining areas of natural vegetation in the United States. As you can see, California's largest remaining area is the Mojave Desert and the other surrounding deserts. And to us potentially it looks like the State Lands Commission is the only agency that might really be able to sink its teeth into desert land protection. We don't have a desert commission as such. So that's our first point. I may come back to it a little bit.

The other main point has to do with the 16 and 36 sections that we originally got from the federal government, about 70 percent or so which are gone, probably through very good programs, I assume. We would like to see you consider as part of your strategy keeping some of those 16 and 36s when they would facilitate the State having some participation in some leverage in some strategic advantage for surrounding desert lands. I think that's a critical thing that the criteria you've been using that that should be added to it.

The major second point really is about the Commission's use of science. The best kind of case study we know, and I can hand this out, is the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan. I have a few of these, the structure for the plan.

The proposed structure has independent science review panels you'll see down on the right side, State Lands Commission at the top. The two independent science review panels they found have basically found that the DRECP flunked science. What needs to be done is science needs to be infused into the process.

And you mentioned science in your strategic plan in a couple places, like marine and a couple others. Science needs to be an integral part of the strategy and implementation of the other parts, and we look forward to -- you know, a chance to meet with you and discuss that.

And for one example of the needed science, I'll turn to the renewable solar development that's going like wildfire across our desert, fractioning the entire desert ecosystem without initially looking at the ecology, and what the desert needs -- what the Mojave needs to maintain its ecological integrity.

So solar projects have 10 characteristics, which science is not being looked at in adequate detail or at

all by the Energy Commission or the Bureau of Land Management. They enhance global warming, because they eliminate solar absorption into the soil into the plants and they increases the solar heat rise into the area and atmosphere.

They eliminate greenhouse gas reducing and absorbing biological soil crusts, these organisms that oxygenated the earth a couple billion years ago, and are found -- biological soil crusts are found from the Nevada basing and range to the coastal scrub. They reduce evaporation from the soil surface. They increase dust in the atmosphere. They're holding down the desert as some people put it.

As the scientists with the Berkeley Lab Trent
Northern says -- he says the biological soil crusts are
like a leaf covering the surface of the desert,
photosynthesizing away. They destroy the basin and range
diurnal circulation and food, the ecological food web
between the great basins we're filling with these projects
and the mountain, and foraging, and nutrient flow, and so
forth between the mountains and playas, and slopes, the
slopes of these amazingly different kinds of shrubbery in
the Mojave.

They use or block transpiration. They require associated structures, paving roads. They require water

and energy to mine for and manufacture and transport the facilities and so forth. Some of them, especially the -- now, the experimental solar gathering towers, like Ivanpah, which is originally a failed technology, require energy to start up, natural gas in that case.

But ultimately, this fracturing of the desert destabilizes our ecosystems and our biomes. And we need that science, and I hope you can add that to your strategy.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT: Thank you.

MR. GARABEDIAN: Thank you.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT: And I encourage you to also submit written comments throughout the process if you don't think we're addressing what you think should be addressed.

MR. GARABEDIAN: Thank you. I look forward to that.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT: Ricky Russell.

MR. RUSSELL: Good morning, Chair, Commissioners. Ricky Russell with California Coastkeeper Alliance. The California Coastkeeper Alliance represents California's 12 water keeper organizations who are California's on the water pollution watchdogs fighting for swimmable, fishable, and drinkable waters from San Diego to the Oregon border.

I thank the Commission for the opportunity to comment on the draft strategic plan, and would also like to note that my comments are on behalf of Jenn Eckerle of the Natural Resources Defense Council.

Regarding the draft strategic plan, my comments, CCKA and NRDC would like to voice support for the incorporation of California's marine protected areas into Commission planning and leasing practices. MPAs are a vital coastal resource, and significant investment by California's environmental government and fishing communities.

And they are already showing success in terms of the rebound of species, such as rockfish, and increased opportunities for California's recreational community and businesses and commercial fishing fleets.

In addition, we would like to voice support for strategies that address sea level rise through project analysis and decision making processes in this -- in the Commission's strategic plan. And finally, we would like to see a strategic plan that strongly includes comprehensive oversight and guidance to ensure protection of California's Public Trust values.

Thank you.

2.4

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT: Thank you.

Pablo Garza.

MR. GARZA: Good morning. Pablo Garza with The Nature Conservancy. And thank you for accommodating me.

I was running over from the office when I saw you guys get to this item.

But first off, The Nature Conservancy is a nonprofit organization that has been working in California and around the world to protect the lands and waters on which all life depends for over 50 years.

We have a history of partnering with the State

Lands Commission. We're both partners at the Cosumnes

River Preserve here in Sacramento County, as well as work

with you at the Dye Creek Preserve in Northern California.

And I just want to -- principally, I want to commend the Commission for undertaking this plan and this effort. We've reviewed -- done an initial review of the draft, and I think we're very encouraged by what's in there. We think given the scope of the Commission and the lands you manage, it's really important to have this document and plan in place.

I'll focus -- we'll -- we intend to submit written comments -- more detailed written comments as you go through this process. But I would focus first on -- you know, two pieces that really stand out is the planning for the impacts of climate change, particularly in the coastal zone. The Commission has already demonstrated

leadership in this area with AB 691. And I think this is really imperative to analyze where sea level rise -- what resources and infrastructure will be impacted, and plan to prepare accordingly. We also appreciate the emphasis and priority on natural infrastructure. This is using coastal wetlands and other -- and the natural ecological features to, you know, prepare to buffer storm surges or sea level rise. And we're really -- you know, think that is very encouraging.

And the second piece I would focus on is, you know, the renewable energy. The plan talks about the effort in the desert, the DRECP. We've been a stakeholder in that process as well, and really support the Commission's efforts to consolidate lands to -- you know, to enable appropriately cited renewable energy projects, so that we can meet our aggressive goals for renewable energy in the State.

And with that, I'll end my comments there. Thank you for the opportunity and we look forward to working with the Commission and stakeholders as the plan is, you know, finalized and implemented.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT: Thank you. I believe that's everybody who submitted a card. If there's anybody else that would like to speak, come forward?

Otherwise, this is non-voting.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: That's correct.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT: So we will just move on to regular calendar unless the Commissioners have any after-thoughts.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Mr. Chairman, just a couple, if I could.

First of all, thank you for moving this up on the agenda. I wanted to not lose sight of where this Commission has been relative to our own internal resources. And I think one of the greatest things about this Commission is just how well we work in partnership, and how sought out we are with respect to being really active participants in the numerous partnerships in which we're engaged.

But I think moving forward, we have just some tremendous challenges that would suggest that this Commission could be definitely in a leadership role with respect to the ongoing focus on climate change particularly sea level rise. And I appreciated the public comment with respect to science. And hopefully, as we move forward that we continue to keep a focus on our own internal capacity and our ability to really have the staff resources with the expertise that can provide the ongoing leadership in these areas.

This Commission has done a great job of really

doing so much with very few resources for so long, that I hope that this can really be an opportunity for us to think more in advance and certainly more proactively with respect to the types of expertise and just the capacity that this Commission needs to have going forward.

So I appreciate the document from that perspective as well, and I just wanted to make that comment. I mean, the work of this Commission is remarkable. But when I think about how few people it takes to really do what's in front of us, it's quite daunting, and then with the challenges added on top of that, I hope it does open up a conversation about how we can further deepen our capacity to meet the challenges.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT: Okay. All right. Let's move on to regular calendar. Jennifer, can you clarify which we actually pulled off? I know we did a couple motions there.

(Laughter.)

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: We pulled off Item sixty --

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT: Eight.

we're going to -- we're going to start -- we'll move 68 to the end of the regular calendar. We're going to move on, if it pleases the Commission, to Item 96, which is to

2.4

So good.

```
1
    consider supporting AB 1323.
             ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT: Perfect.
 2
             EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: And excuse me, we
 3
 4
   pulled C 84. I'm sorry.
             EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: So Sheri Pemberton
5
6
    will be presenting -- she will make it very quick.
7
             (Laughter.)
8
             EXTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION CHIEF PEMBERTON:
9
   will.
10
             Thank you. Sheri Pemberton. This calendar item
11
    recommends that the Commission support AB 1323 by Assembly
12
   Member Frazier. The purpose of the bill is to try to
13
    remove abandoned vessels and parts thereof that are
14
   polluting the waterways. There's no opposition.
15
    supported by numerous different boating organizations.
16
    And we feel it would help give public agencies the
17
    authority to more quickly deal with these vessels and
18
   parts thereof, and improve the marine environment. So we
19
    recommend that the Commission take a support position on
20
   AB 1323.
21
             COMMISSIONER YEE: I'll move the support
22
   position.
23
             ACTING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: And I will be
24
    abstaining on this item.
```

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT: Second.

25

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: So 2 to 0. Thank you.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT: Yep.

EXTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION CHIEF PEMBERTON: And the next item is also to recommend that the Commission take a support position on legislation. It's AB 888 by Assembly Member Richard Bloom. And it would phase out the use of plastic micro beads and personal care products by 2020, because they often don't get trapped in the wastewater process. And they go out into the marine environment in the waterways. So we think it will help lessen the amount of plastic pollution in the marine environment that's under the Commission's jurisdiction, and recommend a support position

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: We actually have two public commenters on this one.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT: Ricky, if you want to come back up, and also Sue Vang.

waiting, I just want to add in that because these are legislative items and Commissioner Ortega typically abstains, and because of the specific voting requirements when both Commissioners are represented by alternates, only one of those Constitutional offices can vote, so that's why we're rushing to get the legislative items

considered by the Commission, before Commissioner Yee has to leave.

(Laughter.)

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT: Ricky.

MR. RUSSELL: Ricky Russell, California

Coastkeeper Alliance. Coastkeeper Alliance urges the

Commission to support AB 888, which we see as a timely and

very needed legislation by Assembly Member Bloom to

address the harmful impacts of marine plastics in the

marine environment. As our network has scientists on the

ground throughout California, there's hardly an area where

we haven't seen or documented the pervasive impacts of

microplastics and microplastic pollution in the marine

environment.

And we believe this legislation seeks to address impacts of microplastics in a timely way that is protective of the coastal economy, public health, and the ecosystem.

Thank you.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT: Thank you.

Sue, if you'd like to come up.

MS. VANG: Good morning. Sue Vang with the environmental group Californians Against Waste. We've been working from the past 37 years on waste and recycling issues in California. We are pleased to be a sponsor of

27

```
this bill, and I'm also speaking today on behalf of California -- of Clean Water Action, which is another sponsor of the bill. I want to thank you for the opportunity to comment, and we urge your support.
```

This is a bill that is addressing a problem that's prevalent in our waterways. Scientists are estimating 471 million plastic microbeads are ending up in the San Francisco Bay alone every day. So we urge your support.

Thank you.

11 ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT: Thank you. Do I
12 have a motion?

COMMISSIONER YEE: So moved to have Commission support.

15 ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT: Second.

We're good.

17 EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: All right

ACTING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: I will abstain.

19 EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: So the vote is 2 to

20 0.

18

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT: And now we'll go back to C 68.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: We were going to -I can move right on to Item 98 or we can jump to C 84. If
it's the -- it's the Chair's pleasure.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT: Let's do C 84 and get it out of here.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Okay. C 84 we'll have Brian Bugsch, our Chief of our Land Management Division making a brief presentation on staff.

LAND MANAGEMENT DIVISION CHIEF BUGSCH: Hi. I'm Brian Bugsch, Chief of the Land Management Division. This will be very brief. Just to refresh your recollection, this is the -- C 84 is for the sale of seven school lands parcels which totals 2,563 acres to the United States of America, Department of Defense. It is an expansion of the Marine Corps Air Ground Combat Center at 29 Palms.

So we're selling these parcels. These parcels, or lands, are part of a mixed-use area that's also being used by State Parks for an Off Highway Vehicle area for 10 months out of the year, and two months out of the year will be used for combat purses. So it's part of this larger expansion area.

We are just selling the surface rights for these lands. We're reserving all the mineral rights and we also have a Memorandum of Agreement regarding access to one of these parcels for the Los Padres mine, so these parcels are being sold. And one other thing to keep in mind about this is that, I mean, ultimately the U.S. could condemn these areas as well.

So we're going through this transaction. It will result in a gain to the School Land Bank Fund of \$806,000. And I think that summarizes most of this. We have a Jennifer DeLeon that can get up to address anything dealing with the environmental dimensions of this, if that's the focus of these.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT: Thank you. Do you have any questions or you want me to call public comment?

Michael, you want to come speak on public comment?

MR. GARABEDIAN: Thank you for having this opportunity to address this issue. I'm here today to represent a friend I made in junior high school, Roosevelt Junior High in San Francisco in 1959 David Morafka. David Morafka died unfortunately I believe because the Cal State Dominguez was unable to manage its heating and ventilation system properly and he was basic -- the reason he died was because of the toxic chemicals circulated into his office, and where we worked.

A couple years ago, David became -- his name was used for the newest Desert Tortoise, the Morafka Tortoise. They split off, gopherus agassizii and now we have gopherus morafkai.

I have to make a caveat. I haven't seen the lands in question in this case. I wasn't involved in the

extensive environmental review at the federal level with tens of thousands of comments and things like that. So I'm here -- I haven't talked to your staff in advance, which -- because I was only looking at this last night. So I'm not actually qualified to discuss the project itself, but I am going to bring up the question of science with, first of all, one question. I have one question, maybe to answer now or later.

But I couldn't figure out if the ORVs that are going to be permitted in this area will be permitted for the first time on the four square miles of State Lands in question? That's a question basically.

My comment has to do with the 150 to 700 Desert

Tortoises that are expected to perish, and then that the
addition a ORV use will diminish them further.

Apparently, the entire project involves the largest
relocation of Desert Tortoises in history, a massive
project. And so my science question here, very kind of
basic, what -- has the Commission looked at the science in
this case?

Specifically, is their science peer-reviewed science that let's us know about the relocation and other mitigation that's being done here. I'm not bringing up a CEQA issue. I'm bringing up a science issue that may or may not be addressed in CEQA. The critical issue for the

Commission as I see it, and that I hope the Commission will be able to tell me, is how good the science is that's being -- that will affect these tortoises?

And, of course, reserving -- reserving mineral rights and the other -- the 10 months of ORV use, it seems logical to me that you should be also assuring that there was science peer-reviewable science done on this change, and how it affects Desert Tortoises on the State Lands that will be resold.

And we support -- we think that one source of science could be for you to use the universities and the university sciences who you have a certain relationship with historically to bring that kind of information to bear in the UC Granite's Research Station, and the different -- the Riverside campus, in particular, has been doing a lot of good desert work.

And that's what we would hope is happening. We don't think you should let this area go without having that kind of science involvement. And I do want to say I am aware of the military's participation in the Desert Renewable Energy Conservation Plan and also that they have efforts to protect Desert Tortoises. The military isn't here -- isn't an issue here. The issue for us is the science.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT: Thank you.

1 MR. GARABEDIAN: Thank you.

2.4

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT: Jennifer, is staff prepared to answer any of the questions posed.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Yes. Senior

Environmental Scientist, Jennifer DeLeon -- Environmental

Program Manager, Jennifer DeLeon can answer those
questions.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAM MANAGER DeLEON: Good morning.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT: Good morning, Jennifer.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAM MANAGER DeLEON: Thank you for the excellent points that you have brought up. Happy to answer them. So the question from the Commissioners is just to respond generally to the comments?

So the Department of Defense prepared, circulated, and then approved an Environmental Impact Statement under the National Environmental Policy Act. That document went through the entire public process, was considered, was approved.

The Commission's task then was to, as provided in CEQA, to review that previously prepared federal document and to make a determination whether process and substantively that could serve to comply with CEQA, which we did.

In terms of the impacts to Desert Tortoise and the offroad vehicle use, so that entire area has been used historically by offroad vehicles. There are no fences or signs to distinguish when they're on BLM property versus when they're on the school lands property. So we suspect that there was some amount of use by offroad vehicles on our parcels.

So it's not new. The agreement that was made for the 10 months and two months, the original proposal was to have the entire area be an exclusive use for the training center, because of the recreational impacts. The Department of Defense came to a compromise with the interests of the offroad vehicles, and came to the 10-month/two-month compromise.

Does that answer that part of the question?

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT: Yep.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAM MANAGER DeLEON: So this is not new OHV use, and, in fact, the OHV use is expected to decrease somewhat, as compared to current use.

In terms of Desert Tortoise, the 154 to 714 adult tortoises is for the entire expansion area. Our seven parcels comprise only about 2,500 acres of that, I think, 12,000 or more total acres being brought in.

The -- in 2007, there was a biological opinion issued under the federal Endangered Species Act, and also

an adoption of an integrated resources -- Integrated

Natural Resources Management Plan. That has been followed

since that time for the 29 Palms training center and will

be applied then -- expanded to apply to the new training

area that's being added.

So for our purposes when we went through our review and public process, we looked at those measures, we looked at that Integrated Resources Management Plan, we consulted with both the -- informally, with both the federal Fish and Wildlife Service, as well as California Department of Fish and Wildlife, and in doing that analysis, determined that the Integrated Natural Resources Management Plan met our concerns to the extent feasible.

In preparing this for you, we have also prepared findings and a Statement of Overriding Considerations because we do recognize that the impacts to Desert Tortoise are significant and unavoidable, but that the overall benefit of the project outweighs the significant impacts to Desert Tortoise.

ACTING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Mr. Chair, I'm satisfied with staff's response to the concerns, so I would move approval of item C 84.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT: Second.

Unanimous.

2.4

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Can we have a vote?

35

```
ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT:
1
                                           Oh, sorry.
             Second.
 2
 3
             ACTING COMMISSIONER BAKER:
                                          All in favor?
 4
             ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT:
                                           Aye.
5
             ACTING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA:
                                           Aye.
 6
             EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: There can only be
7
    two votes.
8
             Thank you.
9
             ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT: I'll abstain.
10
             EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Okay.
                                                  So the
11
    Controller's office, Commissioner Baker and Commissioner
12
    Ortega voting.
13
             Great.
14
             The next item is Item 98 of the regular agenda.
15
    And this is to consider termination and issuance of a
16
    general lease - commercial use for land located at Pebbly
17
    Beach in Santa Catalina Island.
18
             And Brian Bugsch of our Land Management Division
19
   will be giving staff's presentation
20
             (Thereupon an overhead presentation was
21
             presented as follows.)
             LAND MANAGEMENT DIVISION CHIEF BUGSCH: Good
22
23
   morning, Commissioners. My name is Brian Bugsch. I'm
24
    Chief of the Land Management Division. I'm here to
25
    present information on Calendar Item C 98, which is Santa
```

Catalina Island Company.

On March 27th, 1990, the Commission authorized a 26 year general lease - commercial use to the Santa Catalina Island Company for maintenance of a freight barge landing located at Pebbly Beach on Santa Catalina Island. The Commission also authorized a sublease for freight operations to Jack Finnie, president of Catalina Freight Line. The lease and the sublease both expire on March 21st 2016.

--000--

LAND MANAGEMENT DIVISION CHIEF BUGSCH: The concrete freight ramp provides for loading and unloading of freight from freight barge vessels and handles virtually all freight traffic for Catalina Island.

Currently, there appears to be no other options available for delivering freight to the island without significant infrastructure investments. Potential land available for development of an alternative freight lining appears to be extremely limited at best

--000--

LAND MANAGEMENT DIVISION CHIEF BUGSCH: Beginning in 2011, the Island Company initiated a Request for Proposal process to select a new freight operator following the expiration of their current lease. The RFP stated that one carrier would be selected. The RFP was

sent to eight freight operators and five responded with their proposals. Of the five respondents, three finalists were selected.

--000--

LAND MANAGEMENT DIVISION CHIEF BUGSCH:

Catalina -- the current operator, Catalina

Freight Line, Avalon Freight Services, or AFS, and Curtin

Maritime. In November 2013, the Island Company selected

AFS as their new freight operator beginning in April 2016.

--000--

LAND MANAGEMENT DIVISION CHIEF BUGSCH: AFS and Curtin have filed applications with the California Public Utilities Commission for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity, or CPCN, to become vessel common carriers between Catalina Island and the mainland.

--000--

LAND MANAGEMENT DIVISION CHIEF BUGSCH: The CPUC -- this is the current status. The CPUC has merged the two applications and issued a schedule for its proposed decision. A public hearing was held in Catalina in early June. The main hearing will be held in Los Angeles in mid-July.

The proposed decision on the CPCNs is scheduled to be released in October, and the ruling by the -- and a ruling by the CPUC on the proposed decision is scheduled

for a meeting in November 2015. The antitrust section of the California Attorney General's office has also received a complaint from California -- Catalina Freight, and is investigating the merits of that complaint. There is no time line for completion of the AG's investigation at this point.

--000--

LAND MANAGEMENT DIVISION CHIEF BUGSCH: As you know, this item was heard at the April 23rd Commission meeting in San Francisco. At that meeting, the Commission voted to defer the item until the June 29th meeting today.

Since our last Commission meeting in April, the Commission staff has met separately with the Island Company, with Curtin Maritime, and Catalina Freight.

Staff has also spoken with and consulted with the CPUC staff.

--000--

LAND MANAGEMENT DIVISION CHIEF BUGSCH: Debate surrounds whether or not there is a need for multiple freight carriers and whether or not the facility can accommodate multiple freight carriers. The CPUC is best equipped to answer questions surrounding competition and may or may not choose to address these issues to some degree in their proposed decision. It remains to be seen.

As you know, the Island Company has requested

that the existing lease be terminated, and a new general lease - commercial use be issued for the continued use and maintenance of a concrete freight barge landing. They've also requested to continue the current sublease to Catalina Freight Line through March 31st, 2016, and approval of a new sublease to Avalon Freight Services for 10 years beginning April 1st, 2016.

Staff recommends that the Commission approve the lease and sublease as requested by the Island Company. We also recommend that you direct staff to defer executing the lease documents until staff has received a copy of the CPCN from -- authorizing the proposed sublessee, Avalon Freight Services.

Should the CPUC decision substantively affect the terms of the Commission's authorization as it relates to the lease and the sublease, staff will return to the Commission for further authorization. That concludes -- concludes the staff report. I believe there are representatives from the applicant and the other interested parties here to provide comments.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Yeah. We actually have five requests to speak.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT: Okay. Let's roll into that and then Commissioners can bring Brian up, if we have further questions.

Daniel Reidy, Catalina Freight Line.

MR. REIDY: Thank you, Commissioners. I am

Daniel Reidy, representing Catalina Freight Line. We're

the current and long-time sublessee of the dock in the

adjacent waters at the Pebbly Beach dock that are part of

the sovereign lands of the State.

The matter before you is really all about the subleases. There never was any real question over whether the State's master lease with the Santa Catalina Island Company, the uplands property owner, would be extended with a new long-term master lease. The Island Company is probably not really arguing here about the rent or the insurance coverage or the surety bond, but our particular interest here is that we want to compliment the Commission staff on its staff report.

I think they did a good job of looking into the issues, updating it since the last meeting. And while we would have preferred that the staff's recommendations include a condition approving shared use of the docking facility, along the lines of the one proposed by Curtin Maritime, we appreciate the inclusion of three items that are in the staff report.

On page two, they talk about the general terms of the proposed lease. And in the third subsection on page two requiring amendments or terminations of subleases that have to be brought back to the Commission prior to execution for review and approval. That's important to us, because if AFS does not get its certificate on time or is denied, we don't want our new sublease to expire on the 31st. It would just be dead. You know, we'd want the opportunity that it has to be amended it would come back and it could be amended or extended.

Number two, there's a requirement in the staff report added to authorization number 4 that if the California Public Utilities Commission denies the issuance of Avalon Freight Services request for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity, then the Island Company needs to come back here to the Commission to deal with this lease and sublease issue.

And then as the last bullet point was there, the directions to staff in authorization number 5 in the staff report to defer executing the authorized lease and sublease documents until the Commission has actually received a copy of that Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity from the PUC approving AFS to be able to transport freight to Catalina Island.

And then if the Commission's decision substant -they call it substantively affects the terms of the
subleases, staff will return to the Commission for further
authorization. What could happen is that the California

PUC could arrange some condition that there be shared usage of the dock. And that then would be different from just the way it's done now. And so this is the door that opens that possibility.

So Catalina Freight Line urges the Commission to adopt the staff report's recommended action without deleting or amending these three critical conditions that I have focused on.

Thank you.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT: Thank you.

Martin Curtin, do you want to come up?

MR. CURTIN: Chairman, commissioners, good morning. Thank you so much for taking the time and State Lands staff. Very informative going through this whole process. I'm pretty much in direct agreement with Mr. Reidy. I truly appreciate the time and effort that everyone took digging into this issue and getting to -- getting to the point where you guys are at now.

I feel that the last item here, number 5, really is what needs to take place, and it really keeps the public's interest in the forefront, and let's the PUC decide what's going to happen with freight to Catalina. So I thank you guys and I respectfully request that you maintain the staff report as it reads.

Thank you.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT: Thank you so much.

So I have a number of speaking slips from Catalina Island Company. I don't know if you want to chose order. Jared is on top, if you want to.

MR. FICKER: And I think we can keep it brief.

Chairman Schmidt and members of the Commission, thank you for taking the time. As you all well aware, this item was -- let me get this up here a little bit.

Again, Jared Ficker with -- on behalf of Santa Catalina Island Company. I want to make a few points. As you're well aware, this item was continued from April, and it afforded us some time. And I thank the staff and the Commissioners. I had an opportunity to meet with each of you, and meet -- and the staff provided a generous amount of time, which was traditionally a fairly routine item, this lease renewal.

And I think the process worked well, the additional time afforded us an opportunity to look at the issues surrounding this lease, and proposed sublease. We are in full and strong support of the staff recommendation. I do want to make a couple clarifying points related to the language in this and the comments of some of the other proponents for freight operations on the island.

The Santa Catalina Island Company owns a small

freight yard. And the subject matter -- and I think I do have a slide that I just want to reiterate and show, if that could be brought up.

(Thereupon an overhead presentation was presented as follows.)

MR. FICKER: Thank you. While that's being brought up, this freight yard is a fairly small facility. You can see it here, and it was essentially referred to in the staff's report before. The subject matter related to the State Lands Commission is not the yard itself, but rather the ramp. And if you could fast forward a few of the slides, we'll show and demonstrate.

I do think the pictures are actually informative. The freight ramp area is the subject area for the lease.

And it's actually only a portion of that. We can continue on the slides.

So this is the top of the ramp looking out.
Keep going.

--000--

MR. FICKER: That's the ramp as it goes into the water.

Keep going.

MR. FICKER: That's the warehouse facility that is not subject to this lease area, but part of the Santa

Catalina Island Company's property.

And keep going.

--000--

MR. FICKER: And again, more of the warehouse.

--000--

MR. FICKER: And then this picture in particular, I think demonstrates kind of the subject matter that we're looking at. The ramp essentially extends below mean high tide, and that is the subject matter area for which we're seeking a lease and a sublease before the State Lands Commission. So it's really kind of half of the ramp area.

I will remind the Commission and as I've said in some meetings, there are other ramps and other facilities on the island. The other applicants that were interested and applied to be the sole provider of the use of the Catalina freight facility that the Island Company owns were aware that this was for a sole lease.

The other ramps that could be used on the island have not been pursued by any of those providers. So while we are absolutely in support of the staff's recommendation --

--000--

MR. FICKER: -- you know freight service is a critical operation on that island. We selected Avalon Freight Service because they were the highest scoring

proposal. We anticipate them being approved by the CPUC at the end of the year. And thank you for taking action today. And this will help ensure a very smooth transition for freight on Catalina Island.

And then I just want to make the one final note on the conditions of what the CPUC might take as action. Should this come back and the CPUC won if Avalon Freight Service is not approved or if they provide direction about other providers getting approved or having separate certificates on the island, we are still the upland landowner of the freight yard facility that we have. We have no interest in becoming the Port of Avalon.

It's a very small facility. There are a number of additional considerations related to the environment, particularly barges coming over not full and the additional greenhouse gas and emissions that occur in essentially an air basin that is class one.

So those would be all things that would need to be looked at. And I think they are part of the scope in consideration of multiple providers at the CPUC. And I think your staff accurately portrayed that those are issues that are going to be taken up there.

We thank you for your time, and the staff really did take a lot of time, and I appreciate all of you taking time working with us.

1 Thank you.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT: Thank you.

Jeffrey Rusack, would like to speak or second his comments.

MR. RUSACK: I'm here for any questions. That's why I put a speaker's slip in, but I'm fine.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT: Okay. And then finally, Greg Bombard

MR. BOMBARD: Good morning, Commissioners. My name is Greg Bombard. I'm president of Avalon Freight Services. I want to thank you for offering me the opportunity to participate in this today. We strongly support the staff's recommendation.

Avalon Freight service was awarded the RFP for freight service to and from Catalina Island. We were selected through a process of the Santa Catalina Island Company. It was a very competitive process. Since we were awarded that RFP, we've spent nearly -- well, we've invested nearly \$12 million in infrastructure that needs to go along with this process, that is a new warehouse, a -- barges -- a new barge, and a landing craft. All of these are being worked on as we speak.

We broke ground in June 2nd on the building, so we're moving forward. We've gone through all the CEQA processes for that building and the area that we're

building the new facility into, and that is in the Port of L.A.

And by your approving this measure today, allows us to move forward on a smooth transition, which is only nine months away. All of our opportunities are moving forward. We're on schedule, and we look forward to moving forward and making a smooth transition for everyone involved, the shippers as well as the community.

Avalon Freight Services is the only one with a long-term lease at both ends through the Santa Catalina Island Company but also the Port of L.A. The rest of them were on a month-to-month at this point. So we want to thank the staff. We think they did a superb job in coming up with these findings, and we appreciate the time here today, and we hope to see you move forward on this.

Thank you very much.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT: Thank you.

Any comments, Commissioners?

ACTING COMMISSIONER BAKER: Move adoption.

ACTING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: I will second the motion. And I also just want to say thank you to the staff, because where we started at the last meeting, it's pretty remarkable that the time that everyone, including the parties involved, put into it brought a recommendation here that everyone could support. So I'm happy to second

the motion.

ACTING COMMISSIONER BAKER: I'd like to add that on behalf of the Controller who, as you may remember, felt strongly that we should take the additional 60 days to take a look at this. And I know it was a concern to a number of the parties in this that we were doing that, but I think we ended up with a -- in a good place in an issue that I know was of concern to a lot of people. And we're grateful to the staff in particular for doing that. So thank you.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Can we have a vote?

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT: So we have a motion and a second.

ACTING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: Aye.

ACTING COMMISSIONER BAKER: Aye.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT: And I have to abstain, just so the public know, but I would vote yes had this come my way.

(Laughter.)

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT: Next order of business is our Executive Officer's report.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Yeah. And if I may just offer. It appears that we only have one request for public comment. And I notice that it's from the Port of San Diego staff who flew all the way up here.

1 ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT: Yeah, let's do it.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: So we can certainly take public comment if it pleases the Commission, so that --

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT: Yeah, let's do it, public comment.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: -- they can hopefully catch an earlier flight.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT: Would the representatives of the port like to come forward. I know there's a couple on the slip. State your name for the record.

MR. NELSON: Thank you. I'm Job Nelson, chief policy advisor for the Port of San Diego and I'm joined by Jason Giffen. And we have made it to a point -- we have made it a point as kind of an organization that we want to have even more of a collaborative relationship with State Lands Commission than we already had, which I think was pretty good. And so we have made it kind of an effort over the last year to make multiple trips up here to meet with staff, but also now to meet with you. And I will say that your staff has been great. They've come down and met with us as well. We thought we'd take just a little bit of time this morning to tell you about a few items.

First, the results of our latest economic impact

study, and second to talk about an important issue that is coming at Coastal Commission. And so we'll kind of cover both of those. Jason will cover the second one.

So if we can bring up the PowerPoint.

presented as follows.)

(Thereupon an overhead presentation was

MR. NELSON: So just to give you an idea, every few years, we do a economic impact study to determine the kind of economic impact that we're having on the San Diego region. And so this is just a quick presentation of some of these reports.

So go ahead and go to the next slide.

--000--

MR. NELSON: So just a couple of key findings.

The Port in 2013 created \$4.4 million in direct economic impact. I think that's this one. Most of that 1.9 was in tours and commercial, and 2.5 in maritime and industrial.

We are also directly responsible with our port tenants for about 33,000 jobs.

--000--

MR. NELSON: When you look at the total economic impact, which includes the induced and indirect multipliers, that climbs to \$7.6 billion for the region, which is a significant amount. We looked at it. We would be the largest employer in the region, if you were to add

all those totals by far. And that also accounts for over 58,000 jobs for the San Diego region.

--000--

MR. NELSON: And we're growing in an extremely fast clip. Job growth increased. It's at nine percent increase over 2011, and economic growth increased by eight percent. To put that in perspective, job growth county-wide was 4.7 percent over the same period of time. So we're growing at almost twice the pace of the rest of the region.

--000--

MR. NELSON: So I thought I would break down how we're doing in some specific sectors of interest in terms of what we're doing with trustees lands that we've been entrusted with.

So first, in terms of our two maritime cargo terminals - excuse me - we generated almost half a billion dollars in total impact in 2013 and 2,800 jobs. I would point out that our maritime and maritime industrial jobs are good middle class paying jobs. The average salary for one of these jobs is \$72,000 a year. To put that in perspective county-wide, the average job is about \$55,000 a year. So it -- they're well above the median for the county. We've also added -- just recently, we added a contract with Mitsubishi, and we're going through an EIR

of our 10th avenue marine terminal optimization plan, which could quadruple output over the next 30 years.

--000--

MR. NELSON: In terms of the convention center, it continues to do well. And I should point out the convention visitor's spending is higher on average and spins out sales tax that benefits State and local government. And I think we need to all be thinking about how the State can make it easier to fund expansions moving forward.

You'll see the San Diego Convention Center all over the news in the upcoming weeks Comic-Con rolls into town. They're already starting to show up in costumes.

(Laughter.)

MR. NELSON: Spiderman has let himself go. I will tell you that, having been there last year.

In terms of cruise industry impacts, it's coming back, but we're still -- we're still hurting. It's both a lack of interest in Mexico, as well as just continuing to recover in terms of the economy. We've added a Disney line, but we're still seeing a slight decrease, but it's starting to pick up.

--000--

MR. NELSON: And last, I'll close, before turning

it over to Jason, with real estate. We had a big year in terms of real estate. We signed 21 new agreements. We did a major option development on Lane Field South, which is a second major hotel that's coming on board. We broke ground on Marriott Hall, which is almost like a mini convention center right next to the convention center, added multiple restaurants, and we also implemented smart meters all over the Port, which is already paying off. We're seeing more turnover, which means more people are visiting the Port, and it's generating additional revenue.

To put that all in perspective, last year we processed 175 CEQA determinations with a seven day average, which is pretty extreme when you think about both the number and then how quickly we're able to turn them around.

And with that, I'm going to bring up Jason Giffen who's our director of environmental land use management.

--000--

MR. GIFFEN: Great. Thanks, Joe. Chairman,
Commissioners, good to see you again. I just want to
briefly follow-up on what Joe focused on to talk a little
bit about some of our environmental stewardship,
environmental initiatives. What we've been really
focusing on the last couple years is really focusing on
reducing our carbon footprint. We were the first Port to

complete our climate action plan. And that was quite a milestone both for us and also for the region.

We initially were looking at moving forward with doing both mitigation planning for reducing our carbon footprint, as well as adaptation and dealing with resiliency. We realize at the time it was a little bit too much to bite off, so we decided to bifurcate those.

With now our climate action plan completed, we're beginning now to start focusing on climate adaptation and resiliency, looking at the issue with most of our local partners, whether they be stakeholders, government agencies, or the suite of academic universities and institutions we have in San Diego, Scripps Institute of Oceanography to name one.

The one item I would like to bring up to you today is something we've been following pretty closely since last February, which is the Coastal Commission's draft sea level policy guidance document.

They've gone through two drafts of the document. At the last Board -- at their last Commission meeting in June, they presented the latest draft, which is our for public review. The public comment period is due July 2nd and the Port definitely plans on submitting a written comment letter on that. And then it has -- that item was continued from the Commission to their August 12th through

14th meeting, which is going to be held down in Chula Vista California in San Diego County.

I just want to take a few moments, because there is a focus on -- in the document to deal with the extensive amount of local coastal plans throughout the region and throughout the State, and we want to make sure that there is deservedly so enough focus on port master plans as well. Like I said, we're going to be moving forward with writing a detailed letter. We've spoken to your staff already about some of our initial concerns, and we just thought it would be prudent and appropriate just to bring up some of the items for you to consider.

First off, the draft document seems to be a little bit unclear on its intent and how it should be used in the context of port master plans. The document uses terms like the local coastal process, and refers to other plan documents. However, the guidance is, we think right now, local coastal planning specific and does not equally account for Port policies as defined in Chapter 8 of the Coastal Act.

--000--

MR. GIFFEN: So we're recommending some more port specific guidance be include in this document.

Additionally, the guidance document from our perspective does not provide enough port-specific guidance to help us

adequately respond to the requirements of AB 691 that was passed a couple years ago.

We also believe that the focus that needs to be addressed by folks on this from a cumulative impacts perspective needs to have a regional approach and requires interagency focus. When you go down the lowest common denominator looking at an individual jurisdiction responsible for a local coastal plan, that seems a little bit different than the situation we faced at the Port of San Diego, which is a unified port made up of six members -- sorry, five member cities, as well as close relationships with other stakeholders throughout the region.

We have been a member of the San Diego Regional Climate Collaborative. And it's venues like that that we think we can collaboratively work to find solutions for adaptation of resilience planning, especially given that an urban working port is very different than our precious coastal resources up and down -- up and down the California coast, and we think it deserves a little bit of credence to be treated and looked at a little bit differently than specifically local coastal plans.

A few more points, the list of grant opportunities in the document still only focuses on local coastal plans. An endeavor like this to update a port

master plan is equally challenge, and we would appreciate some additional support financially equal to some of the other jurisdictions going through this process.

At this point, the guidance document also puts a great deal of responsibility on local -- on local authorities and property owners. To solve the issue of climate change and address resiliency, we think it's going to have to take a collaborative effort both between the Port, our member cities, and all of the 600 plus tenants that make up the Port of San Diego.

And we think that that burden should be explained and equal -- and held equally in the guidance document. A couple other comments I just want to point out. As we go through this process of updating our planning documents and dealing with adaptation and resiliency, it's important to distinguish how we're going to be moving forward with processing coastal development permits. What happens in the meantime as far as how we're going to be planning for our development projects on a case-by-case basis versus what we need to be planning for in the mid- and long-term? So some clarity on that issue also would be needed.

A couple other items I just want to briefly comment on are related to the adaptation strategies that are identified in the draft document, the adaptation -- one adaptation strategy recommends and LCP update

development siting and design standards to avoid, minimizing, and reduce risks from coastal hazards and extreme events.

We would recommend as part of that strategy that we also look comprehensively at whether or not it's appropriate to update the building code. So when we typically have extreme events that we're responding to, whether it is related to disaster planning or wildfires or flooding, typically the building code follows. I would suspect as we start looking at sea level rise and adaptation planning, the California Building Code could be a useful tool in helping provide guidance for individual projects.

Just a couple more comments before I wrap-up. There's an entire section -- chapter called legal issues. And in that, we think that there should be some more guidance that clearly distinguishes how the guidance would apply to new and existing development, especially for coastal-dependent uses. We recommend adding a distinction between noncoastal-dependent uses, in front of new development, when describing new development is not allowed on the shoreline.

We recommend adding also clarifications that distinguish between coastal-dependent uses that are related to natural resources and coastal resources that

are tied to operations fundamental to a port. That clarification seems to not be equal throughout the document.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Finally in closing, I just would like to point out that we think that sound science is obviously going to be critical in us moving forward and setting up the appropriate guidance document to help us make informed public policy decisions. And, at this point, there's some inconsistencies between planning for maximum sea level rise scenarios in the document versus what we need to be doing based on the best science available. We have some good partners in San Diego. I mentioned the Scripps Institute of Oceanography, as well as U.S. Navy and other academic Institutions where we're looking at scenario based planning. And we need to have a little bit more flexibility in documents like this, so we can address the issue head on, but also have it be flexible enough for us to accommodate continued economic growth and development while also living up to our environmental stewardship responsibilities in San Diego Bay.

So that concludes both mine and Joe's presentation this morning.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT: Thank you. Any comments, Commissioners?

ACTING COMMISSIONER BAKER: Yeah. I was watching

the last Coastal Commission meeting where they were taking comments and heard the Port of San Diego's testimony. And Jennifer, I'd like to make sure -- I know you've been doing this, but I'd like to put it on the record that for the Lands Commission staff to work with the ports, not only San Diego but the other grantees, to assist them in a dialogue with the Coastal Commission staff to make sure -- you know, climate is new to everybody.

And the flexibility we're all looking for along with this sort of uncertainty about how this is all going to work, I think requires us to be creative in some of our solutions and not to overpanic on all sides.

And I'd like to request that you work with those key stakeholders and grantees to help facilitate that dialogue to make sure that we all move forward in a positive, you know, way, and not dig in too early. So I'd like to request that you do that as we go forward.

mentioned, we've already started engaging in that discussion between the Port and Coastal Commission staff, and we'll certainly expand that to our other trustee ports.

And I just want to also recognize that this type of facilitation that the Commission through its staff, and the benefits derived from that, to helping our trustees

resolve issues and address concerns, is exactly what I think Controller Yee was talking about and acknowledging when discussing our strategic plan -- draft strategic plan.

And it's a real, I think, asset that the Commission thinks in this way, and directs staff to implement that balancing efforts and facilitating discussion and problem solving. So certainly, we will do that. And I'll be happy to report back at the August commission meeting.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT: Thank you.

Is there anybody else in the public that did not fill out a speaker's slip that would like to talk at this time?

All right. With that, Jennifer, would you like to deliver your Officer's report?

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Yes. So I'll briefly mention two items in my Executive Officer's report, and then we actually have two presentations. So we'll -- I'll mention those two brief items, and then we'll move on to Sheri Pemberton who will actually showcase the Commission's new website, and then I will conclude by updating the commission on the Refugio oil spill and also provide some context to our own safety and environmental programs relating to offshore oil and gas

facilities.

So first, just my commission report on Martin's Beach and the negotiations that have been occurring since the beginning of this year. Staff met with representatives of Martin's Beach on May 12th to continue negotiations for an acquisition of a public access easement or right of way. Currently, staff is in the process of preparing an appraisal to be used in the negotiations.

The second item I want to just briefly touch on is on June 16th Commission staff sent a letter to the City of Newport Beach who is a grantee of the Commission requesting the opportunity to discuss with the city their proposed modifications to the mooring permit policies and fee structures for facilities located on legislatively granted tidelands and submerged lands.

Specifically, we were seeking more information about the proposed revisions to the mooring facility rent and permit conditions. The city did defer action on the mooring rents, and lease conditions, and we're looking forward to discussions with city staff on that effort of theirs. I'd actually like to start with our website update, if that's okay. Sorry about that.

So Sheri Pemberton is our Chief of our External Affairs Division who's been leading this effort, along

with a number of our staff that spans across our agency in a number of divisions. And Sheri will certainly acknowledge those staff members that have really done the work in terms of modernizing and updating our website.

So, Sheri.

2.4

EXTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION CHIEF PEMBERTON: Thank you, Jennifer. We just have a very brief PowerPoint. But I am really excited about the new website that we've been working on over the past year.

The impetus for this was the realization that our current website had kind of grown a little bit stale and antiquated and kind of cluttered. And we realized that there's a lot of information -- really fascinating information that people want that we didn't have on our website.

So the other thing that was the impetus is our website was kind of organized by divisions, so users would have to know what division to look under to find information. So if you wanted information about the coastal hazard removal program, for example, you had to know to look under the Mineral Resources and Management Division page.

Thank you.

--000--

25 EXTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION CHIEF PEMBERTON: And

I'm not quite sure -- there we go.

So this is -- this shows you the current page and then the new proposed page on the right. So there's just a few slides.

--000--

EXTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION CHIEF PEMBERTON: This is an example of our interactive school lands map that we put on the new website that allows people to search and find specific information about all of our school lands.

Just to kind of go on to another example. If you wanted information about our Low Energy Geophysical Survey Program, you'd have to go -- you'd have to know to look under the Division of Environmental Management. And then it often required numerous links to get to -- or numerous clicks to get to a link and frequently sought information like how to apply for a lease or a permit was sometimes challenging to find.

--000--

EXTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION CHIEF PEMBERTON: So this is just kind of a brief snapshot and it shows some of the shortened navigation paths in the new website. So what we kind of did, just for context too is we rebuilt the website from the ground up. We redesigned all the pages, revised the tab structure and updated and rewrote virtually all of the information with the objective of

kind of a clean, user-friendly, and modern website with text that was more relaxed in tone and easier to understand, while also having links to more in-depth information, so we could help people who wanted kind of quick information and then those who wanted more in-depth information.

--000--

EXTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION CHIEF PEMBERTON: And this is an example, just to clarify, the current navigation for the Low Energy Geophysical Permit Program.

Just as an example, you have to go through all these links. And now, you can just go through two links and you find the information.

--000--

EXTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION CHIEF PEMBERTON: And then this is our -- another example for the granted lands statutes navigation and how it's much shorter.

So the new site also uses the newest State template. It's mobile device friendly and incorporates a lot of modern features like the interactive GIS map that I showed you for our school lands.

We also have a lot of new content about land classifications and water boundaries and land titles. And that might sound kind of nerdy, but it's really fascinating information about the lands and resources that

are really foundational to California and that the Commission oversees.

And there are also really unique areas of law and policy that our staff has a lot expertise and historical knowledge about. So we have really interesting and hard-to-find historical information that previously we didn't have on our website that now we've pushed out and made available so the public can benefit from it.

And just -- I think that's maybe the last slide, but that's just a couple more examples of the new pages.

--000--

EXTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION CHIEF PEMBERTON:

That's the land classification page, the water boundaries page --

and that's it for the slides. But this is just the biggest endeavor we've undertaken with our website I think ever. And we think it's a really huge improvement and really beneficial to the public. And we also want to, in the future, weave in more pictures and information to kind of warm up and enliven the pages. So we just think this is kind of Phase 1 and we'll keep building from here.

And just to wrap-up, I just wanted to say, it's

really been, as Jennifer said, kind of a team effort. And I just wanted to give special recognition and thanks to some of our staff who were instrumental in developing the new website. Kristin Schwartz of our staff who's here in the audience. And she did an outstanding job. She did all the programming from the ground up and advised on layout and content placement, and just demonstrated tremendous thoughtfulness and dedication. So she really deserves a lot of credit for the new website.

And also, Jessica Rader of our staff was really instrumental. She provided a lot of the historical information, land title information, and contributed just her excellent writing skills. So she did a great job too.

And just last Michaela Moser of our staff, who was amazingly efficient and smart and really helped organize the content as well. So that's it. If you have any questions, happy to answer them.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT: (Shakes head.)

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: And if there's any, as you think about the presentation that was given, and I think we've provided you with the test site to access and play around with our new website, please give us -- send us any suggestions or comments. We're certainly always happy to improve upon what we've already done. We know that we will continue to improve the website, and we'll be

similarly asking that of any of the visitors to our website to make any suggestions.

So I just want to -- even though, I didn't hear all the presentation, I want to probably just emphasize the fact that as was stated by the Controller when talking about the strategic plan, the State Lands Commission, its Commission members, its staff, we are a huge resource that dates back before the State of California became part of the union. We have a tremendous amount of historical information.

We also have a tremendous amount of professional expertise on staff, whether it's relating to water boundaries, surveying, legal expertise, our Minerals Resources Management Division, our Marine Facilities Division with their expertise in spill prevention and just the history of oil and gas production in California.

And then along with our Environmental Planning and Management Division, who does just stellar work on all of our CEQA documents. All of that was, I think, very hard to find for the modern user on our old website. Now, that's at your fingertips, and all that information is readily available. So a member of the public can peruse through that website and go as deep into that rabbit hole of information as they want to very easily. So thank you very much.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: So last but not least, we wanted to take this opportunity to provide the Commission with an update on the Refugio oil spill, particularly in the context of our own -- of our own oil and gas leasing, as well as our oil spill prevention programs.

2.4

(Thereupon an overhead presentation was presented as follows.)

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: So I will move quickly through this, given that we're at the end of the meeting.

So on May 19th, 2015, approximately 101,000 gallons of crude oil was released from a ruptured pipeline near Refugio Beach in Santa Barbara county. Of the 101,000 gallons released, approximately 21,000 gallons reached the Pacific Ocean. As of June 22nd operations continue with a focus shifting from clean up to environmental restoration.

This slide shows that the pipeline is operated by All American -- by Plains All American and consists of approximately 122 miles of pipeline between Las Flores Canyon to the Emidio pump station. Then moving on from that -- moving on from that -- maybe this isn't working.

--000--

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Oh, there we go.

Going east, the pipeline runs a little over 1,000 miles between the Emidio pump station to west Texas. So this portion of the pipeline that ruptured is obviously a portion of a very large system of pipelines transferring petroleum products to the middle of the State and at a point at line -- oh, excuse me, 1903 is when that pipeline actually has been approved for to transport gas.

--000--

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: This is a regional overview of where the ruptured pipeline occurred in the context of the existing oil and gas infrastructure and facilities in Santa Barbara County. So you can see where the Plains All American Pipeline rupture occurred approximately in the context of Platform Holly, which is a State lease operated by Venoco. And that oil is transferred to the EOF, and then gets moved into the Plains All American Pipeline there. There's also three federal platforms that produce oil and gas that also feed into this pipeline at this location.

--000--

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Again, just another shot to provide context and background of this approximate spill location, and less than a half a mile away from the Refugio State Beach, which obviously saw I think the most impact from the spill.

--000--

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Again, another aerial shot looking at where the pipeline ruptured and the oil that spilled out that stayed on site basically, and then where the culvert openings were that transported that oil out into the Pacific ocean.

--000--

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: The agencies responsible for response and for initiating the unified command center are -- is the responsible party Plains All American Pipeline, the U.S. Coast Guard, the United States Environmental Protection Agency, California Department Fish and Wildlife, and the California Office of Spill Prevention and Response, and the Santa Barbara Office of Emergency Management.

I will also note that as soon as State Lands

Commission staff became aware of the spill, we sent our

inspectors up to the site to assist in any way, but

primarily to start the learning process of what went wrong

and how we can improve our program based on the lessons

learned there.

--000--

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Just a couple of pictures showing the clean-up activities at Refugio Beach.

--000--

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: The oil spill impacts as of June 21st, there are approximately 192 dead birds and 103 dead mammals. There are three marine protected areas impacted by the spill, as well as recreational impacts that amounted to the closure of Refugio Beach and El Capitan State Beaches and camp grounds.

There was also some impacts to the Refugio Beach underwater park, which is actually under lease from the State Lands Commission. And, of course, there was approximately 138 square miles of fishing grounds closed to commercial fishing because of those impacts.

On Friday, June 6, El Capitan State Beach was opened to the public, but Refugio State Beach remains closed.

--000--

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Then just some very disturbing pictures of the impacts to the wildlife, because of the spill.

--000--

in the middle of the Natural Resource Damage Assessment process. And this is basically just shows a brief summary of the phases of an oil spill response that includes understanding where the source came from and notification,

mobilizing the response teams to start the clean-up activities -- oh, excuse me. We are currently in the process of a scaled operation, which I'll show you a better slide of that, as clean up objectives are being met along the 96 miles affected.

Then the scale of the clean-up operations are proportionately scaled back. And then we are moving into the documentation and cost recovery. And that will lead to a Natural Resource Damage Assessment.

The goal of a Natural Resource Damage Assessment or NRDA, is to examine the natural resource injuries from oil spills and other pollution events, to quantify the injuries, and to both restore the injured resources and compensate the public for the lost interim ecological benefits and the uses of those resources.

NRDA claims in California have ranged from less than \$1,000 to over \$30 million. The NRDA's ultimate goal is to restore damaged resources and compensate all impacts to public resources, including lost recreational use.

--000--

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: This is the slide I was just talking about. The blue -- this is -- spans approximately 96 miles. The blue line represents where clean-up goals and objectives have been met. The yellow is that there's still some moderate activity of clean up,

and the red, and that's located at Refugio Beach, is where there's still active intense clean-up activities going on.

--000--

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Based on preliminary assessments, the pipeline failure appears to be from external corrosion. In May 2015, smart pig inspection results are still under review and results from that have not been released. The failure section of the pipeline was sent to an independent lab in Ohio for testing and analysis. And our staff is monitoring the results of that, and is in contact with all of our partners at the various agencies, State and federal, involved in that analysis and review.

--000--

me. I think it's important to note that the pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration, the federal agency overseeing the review and analysis of the failed pipeline research and analysis effort has issued a corrective action order and has since amended it. And basically this slide lists those corrective actions that are required of Plains to both understand better how -- why and how the pipeline failed, and then also to lay out the plan forward to potentially returning it to service.

So I think what's interesting about this slide

too is just the amount of days that it's going to take to get through some of these analyses before we have a better understanding of what went wrong.

--000--

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: So while the State
Lands Commission does not have jurisdiction over the
portion of the All American Plains Pipeline that was the
source of the spill, the Commission does have jurisdiction
over various oil and gas pipelines offshore, onshore, and
at marine oil terminals. We also have a number of oil and
gas leases that are active that involve offshore
facilities like platforms and mad-made islands.

So as such, we have a pipeline integrity program. There are 12 oil and gas pipelines from State facilities that our program regulates. We also have 24 oil and gas pipelines from federal facilities that are subject to a Memorandum of Agreement with federal and State agencies to help monitor and inspect those pipelines.

--000--

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Our integrity management -- pipeline integrity management program looks at various aspects of external corrosion control, internal corrosion control, and it also provides for pipeline inspections. So it's a very comprehensive program.

We also have requirements relating to time frames

on when our lessee is to provide reports about inspections, and what those reports are supposed to include, and also safety equipment and procedures dealing with our oil and gas production facilities for high and low pressure shut-in sensors, automatic shut-in valves and other safety control system.

I will say that our Long Beach staff, both in our Minerals Management -- Minerals Resources Management Division and in our Marine Facilities Division have highly skilled professional engineers and other analysts that dedicate their professional lives to ensuring that the State's offshore facilities that are under our jurisdiction are being operated in the most safe and environmentally protective manner. And so these programs are being implemented by our engineers and our analysts working in those divisions. And I'll get into that in a bit.

--000--

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: We also have platforms and associated facilities' inspection program. And this really focuses on the oversight of 23 offshore oil and gas leases, 16 of which are actively producing. These include four offshore platforms and one man-made island located in State waters.

Additionally, the Commission oversees the

development and production at the Long Beach Unit, which includes four man-made islands and the West Wilmington Unit all offshore Long Beach.

The State Lands Commission has a comprehensive inspection and safety and pollution auditing program that monitors all safety and pollution prevention components. Our staff witnesses monthly testing and inspection of the safety systems, inspects the spill prevention and response equipment to ensure compliance with regulations, and confirms that the oil and gas resources produced are measured accurately.

Comprehensive safety audits are conducted on each offshore and onshore facility every five years to verify the adequacy of the design and condition of the facility, their ability to function properly, and the lessee's level of commitment to safety.

Our platform structural inspection program also makes sure that the platform itself remains safe and follows a comprehensive schedule of inspections for that purpose.

--000--

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: You can see here some of the inspection activities, including inspection of required safety devices and royalty verification tasks.

Our inspection program, and this is what we're very proud

of, frankly, that our inspection provides a frequent presence for ongoing compliance ensuring reliability of safety systems and safe operations.

The safety audit, while occurring less frequently but is a very -- and this is the one that occurs every five years, is more comprehensive and detail oriented, looking at facility condition, adequacy of design and hazard analysis, as well as assessing the use of safety management programs by the lessees to address human factors involved with the safety culture at these facilities.

--000--

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Our inspectors working from field offices in Huntington Beach and Goleta are the eyes and ears of the Division, and they're the primary contacts with the operators of the State platforms. They conduct routine visual inspections of these facilities, assist and witness the monthly testing of the required safety systems, and verify that this facility remains in conformance with the approved plans.

--000--

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: The Commission also has regulatory jurisdiction over the State's 58 marine oil terminals. At these marine oil terminals, the Commission's jurisdiction commences at the first pipeline

connection with a ship or barge, and the Commission's jurisdiction ends at the first valve out of containment built around the storage tank, typically less than a mile in length. From that point inland, the State Fire Marshal takes over in terms of regulating those pipelines.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

--000--

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: We have various regulations, which include standards for pipeline integrity management and emergency shut-down procedures.

--000--

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Our last -- our last division that involves pipelines is our Land Management Division, and we have a number of leases for pipelines that cross waterways, rivers, and such throughout this State. And so as you can see, it involves -- our Land Management Division manages and issues leases for pipelines that involve thousands of crossings throughout When the Commission staff is developing its the State. recommendations in negotiating its leases for consideration by the Commission, we are going through a detailed engineering review of the design and installation of these pipelines, we're ensuring the compliance with applicable regulatory requirements, and any inspection requirements and additional State Lands design and operation safety measures are detailed as lease conditions

in the lease document.

2 --000--

want to conclude that the Commission's oil spill prevention programs have been extremely successful. And I just want to throw out some numbers for your consideration. Between 2010 and 2015, there have been three billion -- a little over three billion gallons of oil produced and only five gallons spilled.

That equates to -- and this is where the math loses me, but about -- there's about 11 zeros between the decimal point and one percent between there that's actually spilled into the marine environment. At our marine oil terminals between 2010 and 2015, approximately 148,000 gallons -- or excuse me billion gallons of oil were transferred across those 58 marine oil terminals. Of that, only 6,100 gallons of oil were spilled.

I want to just compare and contrast that to a recent NOAA report talking about natural oil seeps. And in Southern California, according to NOAA, on an annual basis, about five million gallons of natural seeps occur in the Southern California area.

So from a natural seep, you're looking at five million seeps -- five million gallons of oil compared to the success of our safety and spill prevention programs.

You can kind of put it in context.

However, with that said, even a gallon of oil spilled into the marine environment is unacceptable. And Commission staff is currently evaluating its oil spill prevention programs to identify opportunities for improvement, including research and analysis of emerging technologies to assist both staff and our lessees in preventing and detecting leaks in pipeline and facility vulnerabilities.

We are also looking at our current regulatory efforts to incorporate lessons learned from this Refugio incident across all divisions in our Resources Management Division, our Marine Facilities Division, and our Land Management Division.

And finally, we are also looking internally in how our divisions interact with each other, given where our expertise on these types of issues lie, and how we can better facilitate communication and collaboration between -- internally to ensure that the recommendations for lease conditions, including inspection timelines and that sort of thing coming before you, are appropriately safeguarding California's marine environment, as well as ensuring that our lease compliance efforts are being enforced and implemented as comprehensively as possible.

So that concludes my report. I'm happy to answer

any questions. And I will also take note that we have a significant amount of staff members in the audience from each of these divisions where their expertise in how they spend their days in and out are to prevent oil spills from happening in the marine waters.

Thank you.

2.4

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT: Thank you. And those numbers speak for themselves of the job you're doing. A couple questions.

One is the regulations we apply at State Lands to our leases, are those shared and adopted by other regulatory bodies in California or is everybody operating under different regulations?

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: In terms of whether there's any overlap in the regulations and how those regulations are implemented with other sister State agencies, there may very well be some overlap. We are actually in the process of looking at where our -- just confirming our regulatory jurisdiction versus other State agencies' jurisdiction. But I will say, generally speaking, when it comes to offshore oil and gas production, our standards are more stringent than some of our sister agencies as it relates to oil production.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT: And that's I think where I was getting at and the fact that if we are doing

such a good job and other agencies don't have these regulations, how do we help facilitate stronger regulations at sister agencies? And is that something staff can work on or is that something that has to come more from a Commissioner level working with partners in the Governor's office and some of the heads of those different departments?

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Yeah. I would recommend that staff take a stab at that, especially to identify and confirm where those gaps may lie, and then also take a stab at facilitating those discussions. And I'd be happy to report back the status of those to the Commission for the Commission's decision on how to move forward from there.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT: That would be great.

The other thing that maybe you could report back, and you touched on it, was best available technology. And that's of interest to the Lieutenant Governor with regard to is there any technology out there, even pre-commercialization, that could better assist us going forward? And with that said, are there partnerships -- and you can tell me I'm completely wrong, but could we work with maybe pre-commercialized products as almost a testing agency, so people can advance that technology quicker, and if that opportunity exists?

And obviously, you don't have to answer it today, but maybe next meeting come back and report it, if that exists or if I'm just making it up.

(Laughter.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: I'd be happy to do that. What I would like to propose to the Commission, and if -- if I could be given a little bit more flexibility than the next Commission meeting, but at one of the next Commission meetings this year, come back with a formal staff report with a list of recommendations relating to all the different areas I mentioned in my presentation, in terms of revised regulations, research and analysis into emerging technologies that we could potentially leverage on a number of different platforms, as well as some internal improvements, bring that back after we've had a chance to review the conclusions of the pipeline failure analysis and results, and be able to build that into recommendations for improving our own programs and actually make that a formal staff report with formal recommendations for your consideration on all those different avenues?

ACTING COMMISSIONER BAKER: I'd like to add one other one to that. You know, the Fire Marshal's office, it was reported, turned back the responsibility, you know, for some of the reporting, because they didn't have the

1 funds to hire competitively for someone of a senior status 2 to recruit to do the job they needed to do. 3 You suggested on occasion we've had some of those 4 same difficulties. And I would urge you to include that 5 as part of that report that you bring back. 6 EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: I will certainly do 7 that. 8 ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT: Thank you. 9 Any other comments? 10 ACTING COMMISSIONER ORTEGA: (Shakes head.) ACTING CHAIRPERSON SCHMIDT: All right. Well, 11 with that, that concludes our meeting 12 Thank you. 13 EXECUTIVE OFFICER LUCCHESI: Great. 14 (Thereupon the California State Lands 15 Commission meeting adjourned at 12:12 PM) 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

25

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, JAMES F. PETERS, a Certified Shorthand
Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify:

That I am a disinterested person herein; that the foregoing California State Lands Commission meeting was reported in shorthand by me, James F. Peters, a Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of California;

That the said proceedings was taken before me, in shorthand writing, and was thereafter transcribed, under my direction, by computer-assisted transcription.

I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for any of the parties to said meeting nor in any way interested in the outcome of said meeting.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 10th day of July, 2015.

James & Pitter

JAMES F. PETERS, CSR

Certified Shorthand Reporter

License No. 10063